

Index

A

Activities

- assigning managers to, 74–75
- critical, 206–207
- defined, 69–70
- describing, 73–74
- detail levels, 71–74
- duration, 72, 97–99
- without end points, 71
- in compression process
 - duration estimate, 133
 - favorable cost trade-offs, 133
 - identification, 129–131
 - managers assessment, 131–132
 - selection, 132–133
 - trade-off evaluation, 132
- managers role, 131–132
- missing, 119
- multiple, 86
- non-working days, 93–94
- time consuming, 70
- time estimation, 93

Activity-based costs, 124–127, 218–219

Alltel, 9

Armstrong, Louis, 8

Assumptions, in charters, 58

Authority, lines of, 4

B

Back-scheduling, 95–96, 142

Background, in charters, 53

Backward pass calculations, 200–202

Berra, Yogi, 7

Blanchard, Kenneth, 29

Budgets

- activity-based costs, 218–219
- breaking down, 217–218
- cash flow analysis, 220–221
- charter sections covering, 57–58
- cost control, 219–220
- cross-classified, 221–222
- developing, 17
- organizing, 217–222
- planning process and, 143–144

C

Cash flow analysis, 220–221

Caterpillar, 9

Chartering meetings, 60–61

Charters

- advantages of, 47–48
 - components of, 49, 52–58
 - development process, 58–62
 - function of, 49
 - inadequate, 62–63
 - Melbourne Plant example, 50–51, 69
 - original version, 62
 - purpose of, 47
 - stakeholders participation in, 49
- Coaching, 15–16
- Coca-Cola Company, 9
- Commitment, 15, 151
- Communication, 45–46
- Complexity concerns, 177
- Composite relationships, 196–198
- Comprehensive approach, 213–215
- Compression process

activities

- duration estimate, 133
 - favorable cost trade-offs, 133
 - identification, 129–131
 - manager's assessment, 131–132
 - selection, 132–133
 - trade-off evaluation, 132
- advantages, 142–143
- early penalty rate, 128–129
- late penalty rate, 128–129
- Melbourne Plant example, 133–142
- steps, 128–133
- trade-off concept, 123–128

Constraints, in charters, 58

Control process, 17–19

Costs

- activity-based, 124–127, 218–219
- concerns, 177
- controlling, 219–220
- expectations, 57
- opportunity, 125
- project-based, 125–128, 218–219
- total, 127
- tracking, 223–224

242

INDEX

- Critical paths
 - compression process and, 129–130
 - critical activities vs., 206–207
 - defined, 114
 - finding, 114–118
 - forward pass scheduling in, 111–113
 - Melbourne Plant example, 116–118
 - schedule revision, 118–121
 - schedule validation, 118–121
- Cross-classified budgets, 221–222
- Customers
 - communication failures with, 45–46
 - imposed penalties from, 125–126
 - satisfying, 21–22
 - as stakeholders, 47
- D**
 - Data entry errors, 120
 - Date, in charters, 53
 - Decision making, 151
 - Delta Air Lines, 9
 - Details, level of, 71–74
 - Development process, 58–62
 - Disney, 9
 - Draft charters, 60–61
 - Draft number, in charters, 53
 - Duration estimations
 - case studies, 94–95, 102–103
 - Melbourne plant example, 107–109
 - normal duration and, 97–99
 - potential issues in, 106–107
 - process, 99–102
 - scheduling approaches, 94–97
 - team member commitment to, 104–105
- E**
 - Earliest possible completion time (EPC), 200–203
 - Earliest possible finishing time, 96
 - Early penalty rate in, 128–129
 - Early savings rate, 57–58
 - Education, 9
 - Experience, 8
- F**
 - Facilitators, 59–61
 - Finish-to-start with lag, 192–194
 - FirstStep process
 - activities identification, 69–71
 - case study, 66–67
 - components, 16–17
 - detail level determination, 71–74
 - overview, 65
 - WBS in, 67–69
 - Force-fitting schedules, 95–96
 - Ford, Henry, 32
 - Forward pass scheduling
 - advantages, 142–143
 - calculations, 111–113, 199–207
 - function, 121
 - Forward scheduling, 96–97, 142–143
 - Free slack, 205–206
 - Fujitsu, 9
- G**
 - Generalized precedence diagramming
 - advantages, 191
 - composite relationships, 196–198
 - finish-to-finish with lag, 195–196
 - finish-to-start with lag, 192–194
 - start-to-start with lag, 194–195
- H**
 - Human nature, 4
- I**
 - In Search of Excellence, 11
 - Inflexibility concerns, 178–179
 - Ingersoll Rand, 9
 - Intensive training, 15
 - Interviews, LRC, 186, 188
- K**
 - Katzenbach, Jon, 29
 - Kimberly Clark, 9
 - Knowledge depth, 8
- L**
 - Lag
 - finish-to-finish with, 195–196
 - finish-to-start with, 192–194
 - start-to-start with, 194–195
 - Late penalty rate, 57–58, 128–129
 - Latest allowable completion time (LAC), 200–202
 - Latest allowable time, 96
 - Leadership, 33
 - Least allowable start time (LAS), 200–202
 - Linear responsibility chart (LRC), 79
 - creating, steps in, 186, 188–189
 - function, 186
 - interviews, 186, 188
 - procedures, 189
 - sample, 187
 - signatures on, 189
 - Lombardi, Vince, 8
 - Long-duration projects, 72
 - LRC. *See* Linear responsibility chart (LRC)
- M**
 - Manufacturing process case study, 233–234
 - Marriott, 9
 - Micro-management, 72–73
 - Multiple organization projects, 185–189
- N**
 - Narrative description, 91–92
 - Networks
 - case study, 82
 - generalized precedence diagramming and, 191–198
 - Melbourne Plant example, 90–92
 - overview, 81, 83–84
 - project diagram, 84–90, 119–120
 - Node diagram. *See* Project network diagram
 - Non-working days activities, 93–94

- O**
- Objections
 - case study, 173–175
 - common types, 176–179
 - reasons for, 175
 - responding to, 175–179
 - Objectives, in charters, 54–55
 - One Minute Manager Builds High-Performing Teams*, *The* (Blanchard), 29
 - Operating procedures, 185–189
 - Operational teams, 3
 - Opportunity costs, 125
 - Overload anticipation
 - comprehensive approach, 213–215
 - overview, 212–213
 - resolving, 215–216
 - short-cuts to, 213
- P**
- Penalties, 125–126
 - Performance
 - basic dimensions, 21–22
 - key dimension, 27–28
 - Peters, Tom, 11
 - Planned activity duration, 97–99
 - Planning process
 - analysts use in, 38
 - benefits of, 27
 - budgeting, 143–144
 - building on, 16–17
 - cross-functional team-based, 33, 35–38
 - efficiency maximization, 37–38
 - elements of, 17
 - functional area representative approach, 36–37
 - one-person approach, 35–36
 - resource planning in, 143–144
 - PMO. *See* Project management office (PMO)
 - Precedence relationships
 - chart construction, 84–85
 - policy/preference reasons for, 88
 - technical reasons for, 88
 - Precedence relationships in, 84–85
 - Problem solving, 151
 - Product development case studies, 235–238
 - Project components, 53
 - Project control process
 - approaches to, 150
 - case study, 147–149
 - function, 149
 - meeting phases, 150–152
 - Melbourne Plant example, 152–165
 - requirements, 149–150
 - Project deliverables, 53
 - Project management
 - acquiring skills for, 9–10
 - case study, 5–6
 - challenges, 3–4
 - credentials, 9
 - failures, 10–11
 - human nature and, 4
 - ineffective cycles in, 23–25
 - lines of authority, 4
 - qualifications, 4, 7–9
 - Project management office (PMO)
 - characterization, 171
 - function, 226
 - need for, 225
 - purposes, 226–227
 - requirements, 229
 - responsibilities, 227–229
 - Project management system design
 - case study, 167–170
 - key questions, 171–172
 - PMO approach, 171
 - structured approach to, 167–168
 - Project managers
 - appointment of, 38–41
 - charter description of, 56
 - construction industry, 47–48
 - job description for, 39
 - Project name, 52–53
 - Project network diagram
 - analysis, 87–88
 - defined, 84
 - development, 87–88
 - examples, 85–87
 - multiple activities and, 86
 - narrative description, 91–92
 - precedence relationships in diagram, 84
 - types of, 88–89, 91
 - restricted resource, 89
 - time flows in, 86
 - Project Success Method. *See also specific concepts*
 - applying, 181–182
 - benefits, 181–182
 - benefits of, 10–11
 - defined, 10
 - management processes, 16–19
 - objections to, 173–179
 - power of, 9
 - worry curve shifts with, 27–28
 - Project-based costs, 125–128, 218–219
 - Project-planning analysts, 38
 - Projects
 - multi-organizational, 79
 - overhead, 125
 - phases, 53
 - processes *versus*, 2
 - sponsor, 55
 - status, 151–152
 - teams, 3
 - time considerations, 72
- Q**
- Qualifications, 4, 7–9
- R**
- Radio Shack, 9
 - Resource planning
 - availability increases, 215
 - case study, 210–212
 - overload anticipation, 212–216

244

Resource planning (*Continued*)
 overview, 143–144
 purpose of, 209–210
 workload decreases, 215–216

Resources
 defined, 209
 usage tracking, 223–224

Risks, charter, 58

S

Schedule calculations
 activities vs. paths in, 206–207
 assumptions, 199–200
 backward pass, 200–202
 slack interpretation, 202–206

Schedules
 developing, 17
 revision, 118–121
 updating, 151
 validation, 118–121

Scope, in charters, 53

Service provider case study, 234–235

Short-duration projects, 72

Slack interpretation, 202–206

Stakeholders, 55–57

Start-to-start with lag, 194–195

Stovepipe planning, 36

Strategic compression, 96

Success
 essential ingredients for, 14–16
 factors maximizing, 18
 rate of, 13

T

Team building
 case studies, 30–31, 33–35
 essence of, 32
 leadership and, 33
 opportunities, 36

Team work, 29, 31–32

Teams, 43
 charters and, 49
 construction industry, 47–48
 differences among, 3

INDEX

first tasks, 47
 guiding, 39
 members, 56–57, 104–105
 organizing, 29
 planning process and, 33, 35–38
 pressures on, 26–27
 scope creep example, 43–46
 typical project scenario, 22–25
 WBS by, 68–69

Technology case studies, 231–233

Time
 activities consuming, 70
 charter development, 60
 concerns, 176
 cost versus, 123–128
 earliest possible finishing, 96
 expectations, 57
 latest allowable, 96
 management, 4
 performance and

Total costs, 127

Total slack, 202–205

Trade-off concept, 123–124

TRW, 9

Turner Broadcasting, 9

Typical project
 deadlines, 24
 defined, 22
 honeymoon period, 23–24
 Project Success Method applied to,
 25–26
 start of, 23
 surviving, 24–25
 worry curve in, 23, 26

W

Wisdom of Teams, The (Katzenbach), 29

Woods, Tiger, 8, 14–14

Work breakdown structure (WBS)
 development, 67–68, 78–79
 Melbourne plant example, 75–78
 outline format, 70
 pyramid format, 68

Worry curves, 23, 26–27