
Index

A

A4L Coaching. *See* Keilty, Goldsmith, and Company (A4SL Coaching)
Ameritech, 121–123, 129
Andersen Consulting, 119

B

Babson College, 125
BankBoston, 84
Basic Blue (IBM), 127–128
Bell Canada Enterprises, 137
BellSouth Corporation, 122–123, 129, 137, 164
Best Practice Champions (Goldsmith, Ulrich, and Carter), 126
Betof, E., 27
Bingham, C., 106, 107, 120
Boise Cascade, 106
Bossidy, L., 118
BP America, 135
British Airways, 118
“Business Impact of Corporate Executive Development” (EDA), 76

C

Cadenhead, M., 122–123
CalPERS Leadership Challenge, 123, 130
Capital One Coaching Initiative, 127, 133
Career Architect (planning tool), 85
Carter, L., 126
Case study composite programs, 31–35 *Tab.3.1*
Cavenham, 102
Center for Creative Leadership, 119
Champion International, 106
Charan, R., 62–65
Chief Executive magazine, 119
Columbia University, 137
Compaq Corporation, 126
Competitive advantages: diminishing, 118; talent as, 118–119
Conlon, T. T., 135
Consolidated Bank (pseudonymous), 31–35; about, 81; assessment process, 84 *Fig.6.2*; challenge, 81–82; Management Assessment Form, 84; Manag-

ing Personal Growth (MPG) process, 84–85; results, 88–89; solution, 82–88; summary, 89–90; top four skill improvements, 88 *Fig.6.4*

Consolidated Bank Accelerated Leadership Program, 80–90; architecture, 84 *Fig.6.2*; business challenges, 86–87; design of, 83; encapsulated, 90 *Fig.6.2*; goals, 83–84; Individual Development Plan (IDP), 85; kickoff, 83–84; Leadership Challenge, 86; objectives, 83; outcomes, 89 *Fig.6.5*; performance coach functions, 85–86; Session One, 86; Session Three, 87–88; Session Two, 87

Consolver, G., 39, 41, 43, 44

Corruption, corporate, 118

Costner, K., 37

Creating Our Future (Texas Instruments), 40–45; encapsulated, 46

Creighton, J., 92

Critical business challenges, identifying and addressing, 29–30

Cypress Semiconductor, 38

D

Dallas, Texas, 38, 39
 Davis, S. R., 124
 DeGeus, A., 101
 Dell Computers, 1, 136
 Dierks, 102
 Driggert, R., 124
 Drotter, S., 61

E

Eastman Kodak, 124, 130
 EDP. *See* Entrepreneurial Development Program (EDP; Siemens Nixdorf Informational Systems)
 Engibous, G., 38
 Enrico, R., 72
 Entrepreneurial Development Program (EDP; Siemens Nixdorf Informational Systems), 125–126
 Executive development: and Ameritech Network Leadership Development (NLDP), 129; and BellSouth Work of Leadership Program, 129; business impact of, 117–133; case studies, 121–128; core trends, 1–16; different ways to measure, 163–165; and ensuring successful transition of new executives, 27–28; importance of, 117–118; measuring impact of, 119–121; typical action-oriented learning activities for design of, 149; typical facilitator activities for, 151
 Executive Development Associates 2004 Trends Survey: and action learning projects, 165; and anecdotal evidence, 163; background, 1–2; best practices, 10–11; comparing results of, to 2000 results, 143–145; and control groups, 165; core trend survey questions, 2; design and development, 8; and development by level, 11–13; and follow-up evaluations, 164; highlights and conclusions, 13–15; influencing conditions, 3–4; learning methods, 8–9; most important topics, 5–6; planning and needs

analysis, 7–8; policy and strategy, 6–7; and readministration of 360-degree leadership inventory, 164; respondent demographics, 139; and return on investment, 165; twelve best practices from, 141–142
 Executive Development Associates, Inc. (EDA), 76; 1983 Trends Survey, 6; 2000 Trends Survey, 143–145; Rapid-Cycle Design (RCD) Process, 24, 153–160; Strategic Executive Development Workshops (SEDW), 81

F

Field of Dreams (cinema), 37
 Fiorina, C., 126–127
First 90 Days, The (Harvard Business School), 64
 First Bank of Sweden (pseudonymous), 28, 31–35; about, 71–72; challenge, 72; results, 79–80; solution, 73–79; summary, 80
 First Bank of Sweden Leadership Forum, 73; Action Learning Phase Segment One, 76–77; Action Learning Phase Segment Two, 77; Action Learning Project Midpoint Review, 77; design, 73–76; encapsulated, 81 *Fig.6.1*; objectives, 73; Phase Two, 78–79; questions, 74–75; Segment Four, 79; Segment Three, 78–79
 Five-step process, 17–24; design (step two), 20–21; examples of documents to gather and review for step one of, 147–148; follow-through and continuous improvement (step five), 23–24; material development and faculty sourcing (step three), 21–22; pilot program (step four), 23; research and analysis (step one), 18–20
 Ford Motor Company, 111
 Fort Hill Company, 24, 76, 77
Fortune magazine, 38, 92
 Friday 5s (Fort Hill Company), 24, 76, 77

G

General Electric (GE), 119–121
 General Motors (GM), 124–125; Service Parts Organization (SPO), 124, 131
 Georgia-Pacific, 106
 Global 500, 2
 Globalization, 118
 “GM Links Better Leaders to Better Business” (*Workforce*), 124
 Golden Age of chips, 38
 Goldsmith, M., 126
 Gregory, R. A., 135
 Guenther, L. M., 136

H

Harvard Business Review, 91, 108
 Harvard Business School, 64, 114
 Hayes, H., 39, 44
 Heezen, A., 136
 Hewlett-Packard Dynamic Leadership, 126–127, 132, 165
High Flyers (McCall), 76
 Honeywell International, 118
 Horner, S., 127
Human Resource Planning (Psalis), 121*n.1*

I

IBM, 1, 119, 125; Accelerating Change Together (ACT) Initiative, 125, 131, 165; Basic Blue, 127–128, 133; On Demand Learning, 127, 136; Learning Management System, 128; Role of Manager@IBM, 128
 INSEAD. *See* Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires (INSEAD)
 Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires (INSEAD), 114
 Intel, 38
Intrapreneuring (Pinchot), 98–99

J

Johns Hopkins University, 136
 Junkins, J., 38
Just Promoted! How to Survive and Thrive in Your First 12 Months as a Manager (Betof), 27

K

Keeley, L., 44
 Keilty, Goldsmith, and Company
 (A4SL Coaching), 123
 Keith, A., 136
 Kodak Professional Business Advan-
 tage Program, 124, 130, 165

L

Leaders, emerging, 28, 70–90
 Leadership Institute (UBS), 7
 “Leading Change: Why Transfor-
 mation Efforts Fail” (*Harvard
 Business Review*), 91
 Learning activities, typical action-
 oriented, 149
 Leiden University (Netherlands), 136
 Leider, R. J., 79
 Lewis, N. J., 127, 128, 136
 Lominger Ltd., 85
 Lucas, J. H., 124

M

MacMillan Bloedel, 92, 102
 Mann, R., 48, 50, 52, 57
 Marcotte, D. R., 124
 Markos, V. H., 137
 Marshall, C., 118
 MBTI. *See* Myers-Briggs Type Indi-
 cator (MBTI)
 McCall, M., 76, 79, 118
 McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 137
 McKinsey Consulting, 76
 Miller, K., 43
 Mitchell, B., 44
 Myers-Briggs Type Indicator
 (MBTI), 96, 97

N

National Semiconductor, 38
 Network Leadership Development
 Program (NLDP: Ameritech),
 121–122, 129
 Nielson, C., 44
 NLDP. *See* Network Leadership
 Development Program (NLDP:
 Ameritech)
 Noel, J., 61

O

O’Hara, M., 137
 On Demand Learning (IBM), 127
 Organization, transforming, 28–29,
 88*Fig*6.4, 91–104

P

PaineWebber, 47
 Palmisano, S., 119, 127
 Parker, H., 92, 93, 107, 108, 112,
 114
 Parker, K., 112
 Pasalis, D. M., 121 *n*.1
 PepsiCo, 72
 Personal leadership stories process,
 99–100
 Pilot Consulting Corporation, 125
 Pinchot, G., 98–99
Power of Purpose, The (Leider), 79
 Presbury, C., 137
 Procter & Gamble, 102

R

Rapid-Cycle Design Process (RCD;
 EDA), 24, 153–160; Design
 Workshop, 157–160; Executive
 Development Needs Analysis,
 156*Fig*H.2; outcomes to expect
 from, 159–160; and Rapid-
 Cycle Diagnostics, 153–160;
 sponsor review and input, 159;
 typical process, 158*Fig*H.3
 Rexam, PLC, 136
 Robinson, P. A., 37
 Rogel, S., 92, 93, 101, 102, 107, 108

S

Sandifer C., 102
 SARS, 51
 Scandals, corporate, 118
 Scope creep, 87
 Seattle, Washington, 92
 SEDW. *See* Strategic Executive
 Development Workshops
 (SEDW)
 ServiceMaster Companies, 136
 Siemens International Venture
 Capital Program, 126

Siemens Nixdorf Information Sys-
 tems AG (SNIS) Entrepreneur-
 ial Development Program
 (EDP), 125–126, 132
 Stockholm, Sweden, 71–72
 Strategic Executive Development
 Workshops (SEDW; EDA), 81, 82
 Strategic unity, creating, 26–27
 Survey, web-based, 161

T

“Tailor Executive Development to
 Strategy” (*Harvard Business
 Review*), 108
 Talent: as competitive advantage,
 118–119; new war for, 118
 Texas Instruments (TI), 14, 27,
 30–35; about, 38; challenge,
 38–39; Creating Our Future
 workshop, 40–46; results,
 42–45; solution, 40–42; Strategy
 Leadership Team, 38–41, 44;
 Strategy process, 45*Fig* 4.2
 Thornberry, N., 125–126
 Trinity Technologies (pseudony-
 mous), 31–35; about, 59–60;
 case study, 59–68; core tasks
 on effective transition, 64–65;
 results, 68; solution, 62–68
 Trinity Technology New Directors
 Program: core and foundation
 needs from interviews, 63*Fig*5.1;
 design, 62–65; encapsulated, 69;
 logistics, 64–68; objectives, 63;
 windowpane agenda, 66–67
 Trus Joist, 92, 102

U

UBS, 7, 14, 31–35; about, 47;
 Annual Strategic Forum, 49–51;
 business group structure, 47*Fig*
 4.3; challenge, 47–48; at a
 glance, 56*Fig* 4.5; Global Lead-
 ership Experience (GLE),
 52–55; Group Executive Board
 (GEB), 47, 48; Group Manag-
 ing Board, 48; Identity Work-
 shop, 50; Leadership Institute,
 48–56; results, 56–57; Senior
 Leadership Conference, 51,

52; value delivery system, 52
Fig.4.6; value proposition, 51;
 Vision and Values for action, 49
 UBS Leadership Institute, 27,
 48–56; communicating the
 vision, 50; encapsulated,
 56*Fig.4.5*; leadership develop-
 ment framework, 53*Fig.4.8*; lead-
 ership development system,
 49*Fig.4.4*; value delivery system,
 52*Fig.4.6*; value proposition, 51
 Ulrich, D., 126
 University of Michigan Business
 School, 70, 71
 University of Nebraska, 136
 University of Rochester, Simon
 School of Business, 135
 University of South Carolina, 136
 University of Southern California
 (USC), 79, 118
 University of Tennessee, 135
 University of Toronto, Rotman
 School of Business, 137
 USC. *See* University of Southern
 California (USC)

W

Watkins, M., 65
 WD&OE. *See* Hewlett-Packard);
 Workforce Development and
 Organization Effectiveness
 Group (WD&OE)

Welch, J., 119–121
 Weyerhaeuser (case study), 14,
 28; about, 92; challenge,
 92–93; Forest Products Com-
 pany (FPC), 30–35; results,
 101–102; Roadmap Leadership
 Competencies feedback instru-
 ment, 96
 Weyerhaeuser Forest Products
 Company (FPC; case study),
 107–116, 120; about, 105–106;
 challenge, 106–107; encapsu-
 lated program, 116*Tab.8.1*;
 Excellent Executive model, 112;
 results, 114–115; solution,
 107–116
 Weyerhaeuser Forest Products
 Company Leadership Institute
 (FPC Leadership Institute),
 111–112; birth of, 108–109;
 goals, 107; objectives, 109;
 Spouse Program, 112–113;
 Week Four (Leading Charge-
 Running Total Business),
 111–114; Week One (Leader-
 ship Challenge), 109–110; Week
 Three (Developing Capabilities
 and Creativity), 111–112; Week
 Two (Creating and Leading a
 Customer-and Market-Driven
 Enterprise), 110–111
 Weyerhaeuser Leadership Institute
 (WLI), 31–35, 93–101, 120,

155, 164; Building Tomorrow's
 Team session, 100–101; busi-
 ness simulation components,
 97*Fig.7.2*; commitment to
 course of action, 97–98;
 design, 94; encapsulation,
 103*Tab.7.1*; Four Demands
 of Leadership session, 99–100;
 leader's role in sponsoring
 focused innovation, 98–99;
 Roadmap, 93, 94, 97; Week
 One, 95–97; Week Two, 98
 Whirlpool Corporation, 119
 Whitman, D., 119
 Willamette Industries, 92, 102
 WLI. *See* Weyerhaeuser Leadership
 Institute (WLI)
 Work of Leadership program
 (BellSouth), 122–123, 129
 Workforce Development and
 Organization Effectiveness
 Group (WD&OE; Hewlett-
 Packard), 126
Workforce magazine, 124
 Wuffli, P., 47, 48

X

Xerox Corporation, 1, 135

Z

Zurich, Switzerland, 47