

INDEX

- Aare River Bridge (Vessy, Switzerland), 13, 14
- Abutments:
- functions of, 117
 - height of, 118–119, 122
 - pattern/ornamentation on, 178
 - plantings and appearance of, 192
 - surface treatments for, 179
 - travelers' perception of, 38
 - wing walls for, 119–122
- Abutment placement, 55, 56, 117–123
- guidelines for, 117–122
 - and height, 55, 56
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 201–203
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 241
 - for movable bridges, 256
 - for pedestrian bridges, 278
 - for railroad bridges, 267, 268
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 227, 228
 - for skewed structures, 122–123
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 220
- Abutment-restrained girders, 107
- Abutment shape, 56, 157–160
- for highway-over-highway bridges, 205, 206
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243
 - for movable bridges, 257
 - for pedestrian bridges, 278–279
 - for railroad bridges, 269
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 230
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 221
- Accent colors, 174–175
- ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act), 274
- Advertising, bridges in, 1
- Aesthetic advisor, 92
- Aesthetic excellence, 49
- Aesthetic policy, 285–290
- Aesthetic quality, 5
- and cost, 14–21
 - goals for, 50–51, 126
 - reasons for ignoring, 286–287
 - and structural performance, 10–14
- Aesthetics, 1–10. *See also* Aesthetic quality;
- Appearance
 - acquired skills in, 8–9
 - engineers' responsibility for, 7–8
 - engineers' understanding of, xi
 - in hierarchy of design decisions, 9–10
 - impact of, 5
 - improving skills in, 58–59
 - judgments about, xi–xii
 - public agreement on, 5–7
 - structural members in, xii
 - teaching of, 290, 291
 - theories of, 45–49
- A588 (weathering) steel, 104, 170, 171
- Alberti, Leon Battista, 198
- Alignment:
- with continuous horizontal curves, 124
 - for extreme skewes, 122, 123
 - internal harmony of, 100–101
 - of noise walls, 125
 - positioning for, 98–99
 - and superelevation transitions, 101
- Alsea Bay Bridge (Oregon), 105, 228
- American Association of State Highway Officials, 107
- American Legion Bridge, 2
- American River Bridge (Folsom, California), 89
- American Society of Civil Engineers Achievement Award, 4
- Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 274
- Amman, Othmar, 292
- Analysis:
- of appearance, 35–43
 - as design process stage, 70–72
 - design *vs.*, 22
- Apparent thinness/transparency:
- as aesthetic goal, 50
 - and girder arrangement, 126–128
 - for piers, 156
 - and visual continuity, 128
- Appearance, 27–43. *See also* Aesthetic quality; Aesthetics
- Determinants of, 55–58. *See also* Ten Determinants of Appearance
 - effects of surroundings on, 39–42
 - engineer's role in, 21–24
 - in hierarchy of decision making, 9–10
 - in highway environment, 39–41
 - illusions in, 42
 - judgments about, xi–xii
 - in natural environment, 41
 - public interest in, 5
 - and structural art, 43–51
 - in urban environment, 41–42
 - and viewpoints, 37–39
 - visual aspects of, 27–31
 - and visual perception, 35–36
 - visual qualities in, 30–34
- Appropriateness (as aesthetic goal), 50, 51
- Arches, 102, 109
- with girder-approach spans, 105–106
 - over gorges, 51
 - shape of, 130–132
 - springing point placement for, 112–113
- Architects, 90–92
- Arenal Bridge (Cordoba), 23
- Arizona Department of Transportation, 9
- Arnheim, Rudolph, 17, 59
- Artistic shaping, 54
- The Art of Structural Design, a Swiss Legacy* (David Billington), 292
- Artists, 90, 91
- Artists' renderings, 69
- A-shaped piers, 147, 148
- Aspects, visual, 27–31
- Avery Road (Dublin, Ohio), 159
- Bacchetta, Banzinger, 54
- Background. *See also* Surroundings
- and choice of color, 169–170, 174
 - color and characteristics of, 166–168
 - impact of bridge and, 36
 - 3D modeling of, 68, 69
- Balance, 32, 33
- Baltimore–Washington parkway, 39
- Barcelona Pavilion, 17
- Bascule piers, 254
- Beam seats, 160
- Bearings, 139
- at abutments, 160
 - and pier shape, 155, 156
- Beiswenger, Hoch & Associates, 108
- Benjamin Franklin Bridge (Delaware River), 7
- Bernstein, Leonard, 144
- Big I Interchange (Albuquerque, New Mexico), 34, 71, 122, 168, 177, 244–249
- Big Qualicum Bridge (Vancouver, British Columbia), 109
- Billington, David P., 10, 14, 21–23, 49, 54, 59, 62, 292
- Bird in Space* (Constantin Brancusi), 54
- Bong Bridge (Duluth, Minnesota), 154

- Boundaries:
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 199
 for interchange ramps and viaducts, 237
 for movable bridges, 251
 for pedestrian bridges, 275, 276
 for railroad bridges, 266
 for river and tidal waterway bridges, 225
 for valley and highway cut bridges, 217
- Boundaries of design, 73
- Box girders, 106, 107, 127
- Bracing, 185
- Brainerd Bypass (Minnesota), 30
- Brancusi, Constantin, 54
- Bridges. *See also specific topics*
 highway-over-highway, 198–216
 interchange ramps and viaducts, 235–249
 messages conveyed by, 1–2, 4
 movable, 249–264
 pedestrian, 274–282
 as public works, 4
 railroad, 264–273
 river and tidal waterway, 224–235
 as symbols, 1, 2, 16. *See also Symbolic functions*
 valleys and highway cuts, 216–223
- Bridge Aesthetics Around the World* (Transportation Research Board), 59
- Bridge-building organizations, responsibilities of, 285–290
- Brightness (of surfaces), 36
- Brinkerhoff, Parsons, 249
- Broadway Bridge (Miami, Florida), 191
- Brooklyn Bridge, 1
- Brucken* (Fritz Leonhardt), 59
- Bureaucratic barriers, 288–289
- CA 1 bridge (Pescadero Creek, California), 58
- Cable-supported bridges, 102, 111
- Cactus Road overpass (Phoenix, Arizona), 214–216
- CAD, *see* Computer-aided design
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), 9, 18, 223, 287
- Cantilevers, 47, 48, 148–150
- Canyon Creek Bridge (Anchorage, Alaska), 65
- Capital Beltway (Washington, D.C.), 2, 4
- Caps:
 pier, 144–147, 153–155, 157
 wall, 161
- Cast-in-place concrete:
 for girder bridges, 107
 for retaining wall caps, 161, 162
- Citizen participation, *see* Community involvement
- Civic requirements, 15, 16
- Clarity, structural, 50
- Clearwater Memorial Causeway (Clearwater, Florida), 16, 71, 89, 232–235
- Collaboration (in design process), 62
- Color(s), 28–30, 56, 57, 164–177
 accents of, 174–175
 advice on, 176–177
 background, 36
 and characteristics of backgrounds, 166–168
 choosing, 168–176
 contrast in, 32
 effects of, 46
 of facing materials, 183
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 206
 hues, 165, 166
 intensity of, 166
 for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243
 in landscaping, 193–194
 of materials, 104
 for movable bridges, 257–258
 for noise barriers, 138
 for pedestrian bridges, 279
 for pedestrian screens, 137–138
 and quality of light, 35
 for railroad bridges, 269–270
 reactions to, 174
 for river and tidal waterway bridges, 230
 role of, 164
 shades of, 166
 skill in use of, 59
 sky, 35
 temperature of, 166
 tertiary, 166
 tints of, 166
 for valley and highway cut bridges, 221
 value of, 165
- Color photographs, 168
- Columns:
 bridge height and diameter of, 150
 for skewed structures, 146–147
 span length and spacing of, 115
 for tall piers, 151
 unifying pier can and, 146
 for very wide structures, 116
- Community. *See also* Public
 importance of appearance to, 92–94
 understanding requirements of, 72–73
- Community involvement, 82–90
 in analysis and detailing stage, 72
 in conceptual engineering stage, 70
 foundation for, 84–85
 guidelines for, 85–90
 multiple alternatives in, 76
 as mutual educational process, 84–85
 risk with, 83
 tailoring process for, 85
- Competitions, *see* Engineering design competitions
- Complementary hues, 166
- Composite trusses, 110
- Computer-aided design (CAD), 66–69
- Concept:
 development of, 63–66
 themes for, 75–82
 three-dimensional testing of, 66–70
 unified, 105
- Conceptual engineering, 22
 community involvement in, 70
 concept development in, 63–66
 as design process stage, 63–70
 and testing of concept in three dimensions, 66–70
- Concrete:
 coated, 171, 173
 coloring approaches for, 173
 color of, 104, 170, 172
 for girders, 104, 107
 patterns and textures of, 177–182
 stained, 173
- Cone of vision, 38
- Consistency:
 of facing materials, 183
 of landscaping and environment, 191
 of pier/girder/parapet shapes, 135, 156
 for truss bridges, 110
- Construction stage, design during, 72
- Consultants, 90–96, 290–291
- Contemporary style:
 public perception of, 16–18
 as theme, 79
- Context-Sensitive Design/Context-Sensitive Solutions, 61–62, 70, 72, 82
- Continuity:
 and apparent thinness, 128
 of form/material/depth, 105, 106
 and slope of abutment face, 145
- Contractors, cost of innovation and, 19–20
- Contrast, 32–33
- Cool hues, 166
- Copings, 161
- Corridor theme, 75–76
- Corridors, spatial, 114
- Corven, John, 264
- Cost(s):
 accurate estimates of, 88
 and aesthetic quality, 14–21
 of design time, 21
 in hierarchy of decision making, 9, 10
 of innovation *vs.* aesthetic improvement, 19–20
 and optimum span lengths, 113
 structural performance without increase in, 14
 traps in estimation of, 20
 and use of standard of details, 289–290
- Crest vertical curves, 101–102
- Crooked River Gorge (Terrebonne, Oregon), 51
- Curves:
 of adjoining piers, 153, 154
 continuous horizontal, 124
 coordinating locations of, 100–101
 expansion joints with, 52
 for haunches, 129
 for horizontal/vertical alignments, 100
 for noise walls, 125
 relating structure length to length of, 101
- Dammen Avenue bridge (Chicago), 102
- Daylight, 35, 36

- Decision making, hierarchy in, 9–10
- Deerfield Trail Interchange (Alberta, Canada), 157
- DeLaunay, Robert, 51
- Delegation of responsibility, 8
- Depth:
 - of cantilevers, 149
 - visual depth/span ratio, 132–133
- Design. *See also* Design intention/vision analysis *vs.*, 22
- boundaries of, 73
- Design competitions, *see* Engineering design competitions
- Design Drawing* (William Kirby Lockard), 66
- Design intention/vision, 62–63, 72–96
- and architectural styles as themes, 77–82
 - citizen participation in, 85–86
 - color effect to meet, 169
 - community involvement in, 82–90
 - corridor and urban design themes in, 75–76
 - and environmental impact statement, 92–94
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 198–200
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 236–239
 - for movable bridges, 250–252
 - for pedestrian bridges, 274–276
 - for railroad bridges, 264–266
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 224–226
 - understanding site and community for, 72–73
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 216–218
 - visual professionals' involvement in, 90–92
 - writing statement of, 74–75
- Design process, 62–72. *See also specific stages; specific types of bridges*
- analysis and detailing stage of, 70–72
 - conceptual engineering stage of, 63–70
 - concern for appearance in, 21–24
 - during construction stage, 72
 - design intention/vision stage of, 62–63
 - for Sunniberg Bridge (Kloster, Switzerland), 54
- Design time, cost of, 21
- Detail(s), 57, 184–186
- attachment, 136
 - for movable bridges, 258
 - for parapet face, 135
 - for pedestrian bridges, 279, 280
 - for pedestrian screens, 137–138
 - public desire for, 16–19
 - on railings, 137
 - for railroad bridges, 270
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 231
 - standardization of, 20, 71, 289–290
 - for truss bridges, 110
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 222
- Detailing (as design process stage), 70–72
- Determinants of Appearance, *see* Ten Determinants of Appearance
- Distance:
 - and perception of texture, 29
 - and visual perception, 38
- Drainage stains, preventing, 135–136
- Drainage systems, 186, 187, 279, 280
- Drawings:
 - artist *vs.* CAD-based, 69
 - for wall visualization, 124
- Duluth Aerial Bridge, 251
- Dusenberry, Kevin, 63
- Eads, James B., 23
- E.C. Driver Associates, 264
- Economy (as structural art discipline), 49, 50
- Education:
 - by bridge-building organizations, 287–288
 - and community involvement, 84–85
 - by professional organizations/consultants, 291–292
 - and responsibilities of educators, 291–292
- Efficiency:
 - and appearance, 15
 - as structural art discipline, 49, 50
- Eiffel, Gustave, 8–10, 51, 301
- Eiffel Tower, 44, 45, 51
- EIS, *see* Environmental impact statement
- Elected officials, education of, 287–288, 291–292
- Elegance (as structural art discipline), 49, 50
- Elliot, Art, 66
- Engineers:
 - aesthetics in decision making by, 9–10
 - aesthetic skills of, 8–9
 - concern for appearance by, 21–24
 - design advisors for, 90–96
 - responsibility for aesthetic impact, 7–8
 - as structural artists, 301–302
 - understanding of aesthetics by, xi–xii
- Engineering:
 - conceptual, 22
 - value, 71–72
- Engineering design competitions, 293–299
- case study of, 294–299
 - in Switzerland, 15
- Engineering educators, responsibilities of, 291–292
- ENTRANCO, 63
- Environment(s):
 - and choice of color, 175
 - determining requirements of, 73
 - highway, 39–41
 - and landscaping, 191
 - natural, 41
 - and type of superstructure, 103
 - urban, 41–42
 - visual, 72–73
- Environmental impact statement (EIS):
 - aesthetics in, 92–94
 - and design intent/vision, 63
- Esthetics in Concrete Bridge Design* (James E. Roberts), 285
- ETH (Swiss Federal Institute of Technology), 292
- Excellence, aesthetic, 49
- Expansion joints, 52
- Expert overload, 84
- Facing materials, 183
- Federal Highway Administration, 61, 293
- Federal Standard 595B colors, 176
- Figg Engineering, 264
- Fishbelly haunch, 129
- Fittings, 138
- Flaming Geyser Bridge (Bellevue, Washington), 63
- Folsom Citizens' Review Committee, 85–89
- Form, 28, 29
- Form liners, 182–183
- Fort Lauderdale–Hollywood International Airport Interchange, 108
- Gantor Bridge (Simplon Pass, Switzerland), 292
- Gauldie, Sinclair, 27
- Gauvreau, Paul, 49, 51, 91
- Geometric theories, 45, 46
- Geometry, 55, 98–101
- for highway-over-highway bridges, 200
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 239
 - internal harmony of alignment, 100–101
 - for movable bridges, 253
 - for pedestrian bridges, 276
 - and position/alignment, 98–99
 - for railroad bridges, 267
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 226–227
 - and type of superstructure, 103
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 218–219
- Gimsing, Niehls J., 47
- Girders, 102, 106–108
- abutment height and depth of, 118, 119
 - abutment-restrained, 107
 - concrete, 104
 - consistency of pier, parapet, and, 156
 - innovations in, 20
 - integrally framed cross, 108
 - pattern of stiffeners on, 139–140
 - patterns on, 178
 - piers and image of, 144
 - relative width of, 6
 - shape and depth of, 126–130
 - for sharp skewes, 116
 - for splits and widenings, 108
 - steel, 105
 - straight, 106
 - for very wide structures, 116
- Glade Creek Bridge (West Virginia), 56, 301
- Golden Gate Bridge, 1, 2, 7, 90, 170
- Golden Mean, 46
- Grieves, Chavez, 249
- Gunnison Road Bridge, 4, 205
- Gunpowder River Bridge (Joppatowne, Maryland), 55

- Hammerhead piers, 148–150, 153
 Harmony, 32, 33, 100–101
 Haunches, 128–131, 155
 HDR, Engineering, Inc., 216, 235
 Height:
 of abutments, 55, 56, 118–119, 122
 of parapet, 132–133
 of piers, 144, 150
 ratio of length to, 113–115
 of rigid-frame bridges, 109–110
 and visual depth/span ratio, 132–133
 High Bridge (Snohomish County, Washington), 64
 High/Main Street Bridge (Hamilton, Ohio), 81
 Highway 404/410 Interchange (Toronto), 39
 Highway cuts, *see* Valley and highway cut bridges
 Highway environment, 39–41, 50
 Highway overcrossings, 117–118
 Highway-over-highway bridges, 198–216
 case studies of, 209–216
 design intention/vision for, 198–200
 Determinants of Appearance for, 200–208
 Historical bridges:
 new bridges paralleling, 81–82
 reconstruction/rehabilitation of, 82
 replacement of, 79–81
 Historical style:
 public requests for, 16–18
 as theme, 77–82
 Holden, Kelly, 223
 Honigman, Chelsea, 54
 Horizontal alignment:
 from curves, 100
 and pier placement, 98
 Horizontal geometry, 55
 Horizontal lines:
 girder arrangements emphasizing, 126–128
 in highway environment, 41
 for parapets, 133–134
 of pedestrian screens, 137
 of railings, 137
 speed/distance and perception of, 38
 in urban environment, 41
 Horizontal stiffeners, 140–141
 Houston Gateway (US 59), 10
 Hues, 165, 166
 Humboldthaven Railroad Bridge (Berlin, Germany), 271–273

 I-5 (Olympia, Washington), 57
 I-5 (San Diego, California), 41
 I-35E (St. Paul, Minnesota), 77
 I-65 Gateway Arch (Columbus, Indiana), 212–214
 I-66 overpass (Virginia), 9
 I-70 (Columbus, Ohio), 159
 I-70 overpass (Frederick, Maryland), 167
 I-71 (Columbus, Ohio), 180
 I-74 bridge (Davenport, Iowa), 44, 93–96
 I-88 (Binghamton, New York), 243
 I-95 (Cheverly, Maryland), 33

 I-235 (Des Moines, Iowa), 92
 I-440 (Nashville), 57
 I-470 (Wheeling, West Virginia), 217
 I-beams, precast concrete, 105
 I-girders, 106–108, 127, 135
 Illumination, *see* Light
 Illusions, 42, 132
 Improvements:
 aesthetic/structural, 10, 11
 cost of, 15
 source of, 64
 Ingraham Street Bridge (San Diego, California), 232
 Innovation, cost of, 19–20
 Integrally framed cross girders, 108
 Intensity, color, 166
 Intention, *see* Design intention/vision
 Interchange ramps and viaducts, 235–249
 case study of, 244–249
 design intention/vision for, 236–239
 Determinants of Appearance for, 239–244
 simplifying alignment for, 98–99
 Interchanges, structures in, 75–76

 J. Muller International, 214
 Jenkins, Thomas P., 19
 JFP Airport Transit System (Long Island, New York), 243

 KMI International, 92
 Kratz, Andrea, 282

 Lancer, Caius Julius, 3
 Landscape architects, 90
 Landscaping, 57, 191–195
 at abutments, 119, 120
 defined, 191
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 208
 for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243–244
 for movable bridges, 259
 for pedestrian bridges, 280
 for railroad bridges, 270
 for river and tidal waterway bridges, 232
 for valley and highway cut bridges, 222
 Large-water bodies, *see* River and tidal waterway bridges
 Length:
 illusions of, 132
 of piers, 144
 ratio of column spacing to, 115
 ratio of height to, 113–114
 with rigid frames, 132
 Leonard P. Zakim Bridge, 292
 Leonhardt, Fritz, 59, 132
 Lift towers, 255
 Light:
 contrast in, 32
 daylight, 35–36
 and overhang size, 126–127
 and visual perception, 35–36

 Lighting, 57, 189–191
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 208
 for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243–244
 for movable bridges, 258–259
 nighttime, 36
 for pedestrian bridges, 279, 280
 for railroad bridges, 270
 for river and tidal waterway bridges, 231–232
 for valley and highway cut bridges, 222
 Line(s), 27, 28
 continuous, 6
 horizontal, 38
 of patterns, 180
 and speed/distance, 38
 vertical, perception of, 39
 Listening, 84
 Lockard, William Kirby, 66
 Lower Screwtail Bridge, 221

 Maillart, Robert, xi, 10–15, 21–23, 44, 130, 131, 275, 292, 301
 Main/Danube Canal pedestrian bridge (Kelheim, Germany), 34
 Major bridges, cost increases for creative detail on, 18
 Martin Luther King/Fleur Drive Interchange (Des Moines, Iowa), 99
 Maryland Department of Transportation, 9
 Maryland State Highway Administration, 118, 211
 Materials:
 coated *vs.* colored, 170
 color of, 104
 for facings, 183
 for girder bridges, 106
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 207
 with historical themes, 78
 natural colors of, 171–172
 patterns and textures of, 177
 for pedestrian screens, 137–138
 for railroad bridges, 270
 and superstructure types, 103–105
 Matys, Paul, 211
 Maury River Bridge (Virginia), 15, 170
 MD 18 overpass, 22, 116
 MD 103 overpass (Columbia, Maryland), 209–211
 Menn, Christian, xi, 8, 10, 44, 47, 49, 52–54, 59, 63, 111, 241, 292, 301
 Messages (of bridges), 1–2, 4
 Metro (Washington, D.C.), 186, 287
 Mies van de Rohe, Ludwig, 17, 70
 Milestones, 192
 Models:
 CAD, 68, 69
 physical, 69–70
 Moment connections, 139
 Mount Vernon Tail Bridge (Washington, D.C.), 277
 Movable bridges, 249–264
 case study of, 259–264
 design intention/vision for, 250–252

- Determinants of Appearance for, 253–259
- Muller, Jean, xi, 8, 10, 301
- Multilevel interchanges, environment in, 40–41
- Mutual education, 84–85
- National Environmental Policy Act, 63
- Natural environment, 41
- Naval Academy Bridge (Maryland), 79
- Nearby and associated uses:
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 198
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 236
 - for movable bridges, 251
 - for pedestrian bridges, 274, 275
 - for railroad bridges, 265
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 225
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 216, 217
- Nesenbach Valley Bridge (Stuttgart, Germany), 51
- New Mexico Department of Transportation, 9, 177
- Nighttime illumination, 36
- 90th Street Bridge (Redmond, Washington), 184
- NJ 52 Causeway Replacement, 67, 68, 74–75, 101
- Noise walls, 124–125, 138–139
 - color choice for, 176
 - panelized, 162–163
 - on retaining walls, 163–164
- Oak Canyon Bridge (San Diego, California), 221
- Ontario Ministry of Transport, 9, 287
- On the Art of Building* (Leon Battista Alberti), 197
- Operator house (moveable bridges), 254–257
- Order, 31
- Oregon Department of Transportation, 9
- Ornamentation, 57, 183–185
 - for pedestrian bridges, 279
 - for railroad bridges, 270
- Overhang(s):
 - maximizing, 126–127
 - and superstructure type, 116
- Pantone system, 176
- Parapets, 132–136
 - consistency of girder, piers, and, 156
 - ends of, 159
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 202–203
 - for movable bridges, 257
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 221
- Pattern(s):
 - for concrete, 177–182
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 206–207
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243, 244
 - for movable bridges, 258
 - perception of, 177
 - for railroad bridges, 270
 - repeating, 179
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 230–231
- Pedestrian bridges, 274–282
 - aesthetics of, 6
 - cable-supported, 111
 - case study of, 281–282
 - design intention/vision for, 274–276
 - Determinants of Appearance for, 276–280
- Pedestrian screens, 136–138
- Pennsylvania Turnpike, 102
- Perception of bridges, 35. *See also* Visual perception
 - and color, 164
 - and distance, 38
 - perception of buildings *vs.*, 91
 - and speed, 38
- Performance, *see* Structural performance
- Personnel, design process and changes in, 70
- Pescadero Creek Bridge (California), 229
- Peters, Robert, 249
- Petroski, Henry, 7
- Phillips, James M., III, 264
- Photographs, color, 168
- Physical models, 69–70
- Physical requirements, 72
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 198
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 236
 - for movable bridges, 250
 - for pedestrian bridges, 274
 - for railroad bridges, 264–265
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 224
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 216
- Piers:
 - consistency of girder, parapet, and, 156
 - families of, 152–154
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 240–241
 - joint between superstructure and, 155
 - protection for, 157
 - for skewed structures, 115–117
 - span length and height of, 113–115
 - top details for, 139
 - travelers' perception of, 39
- Pier caps, 144–147, 153–155, 157
- Pier placement, 55, 111–117
 - general guidelines for, 111–115
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 201–202
 - and horizontal alignment, 98
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 240–241
 - for interchanges, 98–99
 - for movable bridges, 253–255
 - for pedestrian bridges, 277
 - for railroad bridges, 267, 268
 - in relation to topographic features, 112
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 227
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 220
- Pier shape, 56, 143–157
 - A-shaped, 147, 148
 - for families of piers, 152–154
 - hammerhead, 148–150, 153
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 203, 205
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 242–243
 - for movable bridges, 253–255
 - nuances of, 154–157
 - for pedestrian bridges, 278
 - for railroad bridges, 269
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 229–230
 - short piers, 144–150
 - tall piers, 151–152
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 221
 - V-shaped, 147–149
- Pilasters, 157
- Pima Freeway (Phoenix, Arizona), 178, 214–216
- Pirsig, Robert M., 301
- Placement:
 - abutment, 55, 56, 117–123. *See also* Abutment placement
 - pier, 55, 98, 111–117. *See also* Pier placement
 - springing point, 112–113
 - wall, 123–125
- Plants, *see* Landscaping
- Plate-girder bridges, 107
- Polaris Interchange (Columbus, Ohio), 159
- Pont Charles de Gaulle (Paris), 79, 80
- Pont d'Avignon (France), 3
- Pont Neuf (Paris), 46
- Pont Valentre (France), 3
- Portage Viaduct (New York), 65
- Posts, appearance of structure and, 137
- Post-tensioned concrete slab bridges, 105
- Pragsattel I pedestrian bridge (Stuttgart, Germany), 30
- Precast Bridges* (Christian Menn), 53
- Precast concrete, 107
- Precast parapets, 134, 135
- Precision trap, 20
- Preliminary Design, 63
- Presentations:
 - artists' renderings for, 69
 - in citizen participation process, 88
 - skills for, 91
 - Type, Size, and Location, 63
- Prestressed Concrete Bridges* (Christian Menn), 59
- Pre-stressed trusses, 110
- Primary hues, 166
- Professionals, visual, *see* Visual professionals
- Professional organizations, responsibilities of, 290–291
- Project teams, 62, 90
- Proportion, 31–32
 - in Golden Mean, 46
 - of height to length, 114
 - of piers, 144, 147–150

- Public. *See also* Community
 agreement on aesthetics by, 5–6
 costs of responding to objectives of, 15–19
 education of, 287–288, 291–292
 interest in appearance of bridges by, 5
- Public works, bridges as, 4
- Puente de Alcantara (Spain), 3
- Puente de la Barqueta (Seville, Spain), 24
- Qualities, visual, 31–35
- Quality, aesthetic, *see* Aesthetic quality
- Railings, 135, 137–138
 aluminum, 173
 ends of, 159
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 202–203
 for movable bridges, 257
 for pedestrian bridges, 280
- Railroad bridges, 264–273
 case study of, 271–273
 design intention/vision for, 264–266
 Determinants of Appearance for, 267–270
- Rainbow Bridge (Folsom, California), 82, 83, 131
- Rationalist theories, 46
- Reconstruction, bridge, 82
- Redding Bridge (California), 80
- Reedy River Pedestrian Bridge (Greenville, South Carolina), 281–282
- Reflections, 29–31
- Rehabilitation, bridge, 82
- Reichenau Bridge (Switzerland), 47, 49
- Responsibility(-ies):
 for aesthetic impact, 7–8
 of bridge-building organizations, 285–290
 delegation of, 8
 of engineering educators, 291–292
 of professional organizations and consultants, 290–291
- Retaining walls, 123, 124
 abutments adjoining, 160
 abutments connected to, 120, 122
 color choice for, 176
 noise walls on, 163–164
 organizing surfaces of, 179
 and wing walls, 160–163
- Rhythm, 31, 32
- Ridge Road overpass (Frederick, Maryland), 167
- Rigid frames, 102, 109, 110
 and continuity, 105–106
 shape of, 132
 springing point placement for, 112–113
- Riprap, 194
- River and tidal waterway bridges, 224–235
 aesthetics of, 6, 7
 case study of, 232–235
 design intention/vision for, 224–226
 Determinants of Appearance for, 226–232
- Roberts, James E., 285
- Roebing, John, 8–10, 301
- Rogue River Pedestrian Bridge (Grant's Pass, Oregon), 47, 279
- Rosales, Miguel, 92, 282
- Rosales Gottemoeller & Associates, 235, 282
- Rosenstein I bridge (Stuttgart, Germany), 276
- Safety. *See also* Structural performance
 and aesthetic objectives, 50
 in highway environments, 40
 with highway overcrossings, 117–119
- Saint Exupery, Antoine de, 97
- Salginatobel Bridge (Shiers, Switzerland), 12, 13, 47, 49, 130, 131
- San Mateo Bridge (California), 66
- Sassafras River Bridge (Maryland), 44
- Scale, 33–34
- Schlaich, Jörg, xi, 7, 29, 34, 51, 276, 301
- Schlaich Bergermann und Partners, 273, 282
- Schuyler, Montgomery, 1
- Sculptural theories, 46–47
- Scuppers, 127, 186
- Secondary hues, 166
- Serra, Richard, 125
- 17th Street Bridge (Fort Lauderdale, Florida), 249, 259–264
- Severn River Bridge, *see* U.S. Naval Academy Bridge (Maryland)
- Shade(s), 30
 designers' control of, 36
 of hues, 166
- Shadow, 30
 as contrast, 32
 designers' control of, 36
 illusions with, 42
 and overhang size, 127
- Shakers, 46
- Shape(s), 27, 28
 of abutments, 56, 57, 157–164. *See also* Abutment shape
 of arches and frames, 130–132
 landscaping, 193–194
 on parapets, 135
 of piers, 56, 143–157. *See also* Pier shape
 of superstructure, 56, 126–141. *See also* Superstructure shape
 for truss bridges, 110
 of walls, 157–164
- Shaping, artistic, 54
- Short piers, 144–150
- Signature bridges, 16, 19
- Significant bridges, cost increase for creative detail on, 18
- Signing, 57, 188–189
 and color selection, 168, 175
 for highway-over-highway bridges, 207–208
 for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243–244
 for movable bridges, 258
 for pedestrian bridges, 280
 for railroad bridges, 270
 for river and tidal waterway bridges, 231
 for valley and highway cut bridges, 222
- Simplicity, 5
 as aesthetic goal, 50
 in pier design, 154
 for truss bridges, 110
- Site, understanding, 72–73
- Sketches, three-dimensional, 66
- Skewed structures:
 abutments for, 122–123
 haunches with, 130
 highway-over-highway bridges as, 202, 205
 pier placement for, 115–117
 piers for, 146–147
- Skills:
 aesthetic, 8–9, 58–59, 288, 291
 presentation, 91
- Slab/parapet joint, 134
- Slenderness, relative, 105
- Slip-forming, 134
- Slopes:
 of abutments, 158
 of adjoining piers, 153, 154
 landscaping for protection of, 195
- Space, visual, 41
- Span(s):
 abutment placement and length of, 121, 122
 column spacing and length of, 115
 costs and optimum length of, 113
 for movable bridges, 253
 odd number of, 111
 pier height and length of, 113–115
 type of superstructure and requirements for, 103
 visual depth/span ratio, 132–133
- Spandrel columns, thickness of, 131
- Spatial corridors, 114
- Speed:
 and perception of texture, 29
 and visual perception, 38
- Spring point placement, 112–113
- Squaw Valley Parkway (Phoenix, Arizona), 180
- St. Croix River Bridge (Stillwater, Minnesota), 68, 69
- Standardization of details, 20, 71, 289–290
- Stauffacher Bridge (Zurich, Switzerland), 11
- Stayed-girder bridges, 111
- Steel:
 A588 weathering steel, 104, 170, 171
 characteristics of, 105
 for girder bridges, 107
- Stein am Rhein bridge (Switzerland), 79, 80
- Stiffeners, 139–141
- Structural art, 43–51
 case study of, 52–54
 creating, 49–51
 engineers' creation of, 301–302
 keys to success of, 43–45
 philosophical underpinnings of, 45–49
 potential for, 21–22

- Structural clarity, 50
- Structural elements, 57, 97, 106
- Structural performance:
- and aesthetic quality, 10–14
 - in hierarchy of decision making, 9, 10
 - and increases in cost, 14
- Structural shapes, forces reflected in, 6
- Structural theories, 47–49
- Suboptimization trap, 20
- Sunniberg Bridge (Kloster, Switzerland), 52–54, 111
- Sunshine Skyway (Tampa), 1, 2, 5, 18, 47
- Superelevation transitions, 101, 135
- Superstructure:
- blending pier shape and, 155
 - joint between pier and, 155
 - and pier cap, 144–145
 - relation of pier width to, 150
- Superstructure shape, 56, 126–141
- arches and frames, 130–132
 - bearings and stiffeners, 139–141
 - girder shape and depth, 126–131
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 202–204
 - for movable bridges, 256, 257
 - and noise walls, 138–139
 - and parapets, 132–136
 - for pedestrian bridges, 278
 - pedestrian screens, 137–138
 - and railings, 135, 137–138
 - for railroad bridges, 268–269
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 228–229
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 220–221
- Superstructure type, 55, 102–111
- considerations in choice of, 105–106
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 200–201
 - influences on choice of, 103–104
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 239–240
 - and material characteristics, 104–105
 - for movable bridges, 256
 - and overhangs/supports, 116
 - for pedestrian bridges, 277
 - for railroad bridges, 267
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 227, 228
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 219–220
- Surfaces, 57. *See also* Detail(s); Ornamentation; Pattern(s); Texture(s)
- brightness of, 36
 - and impression of structure, 164
- Surroundings. *See also* Background
- for arch and rigid-frame bridges, 109
 - bridges' reflections of, 50
 - nearby/associated uses of, 73
 - and perception of bridge, 39–42
- Swing piers, 255
- Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), 292
- Symbolic functions, 1, 2, 16
- for highway-over-highway bridges, 199
 - identifying, 73
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 236–237
 - for movable bridges, 251
 - for pedestrian bridges, 275
 - for railroad bridges, 265–266
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 225
 - and type of superstructure, 104
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 217
- Tall piers, 151–157
- Tavenasa Bridge (Switzerland), 12
- Technical jargon, 84
- Telford, Thomas, 9
- Temperature, color, 166
- Ten Determinants of Appearance, 55–58, 97–141, 143–195
- abutment and wall placement, 117–125
 - abutment and wall shape, 157–164
 - color, 164–177
 - in concept development stage, 63, 64
 - geometry, 98–101
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 200–208
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 239–244
 - for movable bridges, 253–259
 - for pedestrian bridges, 276–280
 - pier placement, 111–117
 - pier shape, 143–157
 - priorities established by, 18
 - for railroad bridges, 267–270
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 226–232
 - signing/lighting/landscaping, 188–195
 - superstructure shape, 126–141
 - superstructure type, 102–111
 - surface patterns/texture/ornamentation/details, 177–186
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 218–222
- Tennessee Department of Transportation, 9, 287
- Terracing, 158, 159
- Tertiary colors, 166
- Texas Department of Transportation, 10
- Texture(s), 29, 30
- for concrete, 177–182
 - of facing materials, 183
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 207
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 243, 244
 - for movable bridges, 258
 - for pedestrian bridges, 279
 - for pedestrian screens, 137–138
 - perception of, 177
 - for railroad bridges, 270
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 230–231
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 221, 222
- Themes:
- corridor/urban, 75–76
 - historical/contemporary styles as, 77–82
- Theories, aesthetic, 45
- Thinking, three-dimensional, 59
- Thinness:
- apparent, 50. *See also* Apparent thinness/transparency
 - relative, 105, 128
- Third Street Bridge (Napa, California), 81
- Three dimensions:
- presenting alternatives in, 88
 - testing concepts in, 66–70
- Three-dimensional (3D) thinking, 59
- Three-hinged arch, 11–14
- Tidal waterways, *see* River and tidal waterway bridges
- Tints (color), 166
- Topographic features, pier placement in relation to, 112
- Torroja, Eduardo, 22
- Torsional resistance, 107
- Toss River Bridge (Winterthur, Switzerland), 275
- The Tower and the Bridge* (David Billington), 59
- Traditional architectural styles, adapting, 77. *See also* Historical style
- Transparency:
- apparent, 50. *See also* Apparent thinness/transparency
 - for pedestrian screens, 137–138
- Transportation Research Board, 59
- Truckee Valley Bridge (Truckee, California), 222–223
- Trusses, 102, 110
- Tuhirangi Contour (Kaukapakapa, New Zealand), 125
- Twain, Mark, 4
- Type, Size, and Location presentation, 63
- Unit cost trap, 20
- Unity, 34
- with interest (as aesthetic goal), 50, 51
 - of structural concept, 105
- Upper Middle Road (Oakville, Ontario), 220
- Urban designers, 90
- Urban design theme, 75–76
- Urban environment, 41–42
- URS Corporation, 249
- U.S. 33 overpass (Dublin, Ohio), 159
- U.S. 50, MD 18 overpass on, 22
- U.S. 50 overpass (Queenstown, Maryland), 78
- U.S. 59 Houston Gateway, 10
- U.S. Naval Academy Bridge (Maryland), 18, 19, 126, 293, 294
- Valley and highway cut bridges, 216–223
- aesthetics of, 6
 - case study of, 222–223
 - design intention/vision for, 216–218
 - Determinants of Appearance for, 218–222
- Value, color, 165, 169
- Value engineering, 71–72
- Van der Veen, Theun, 235

- Vehicular-suspension bridges, 111
- Vertical alignments (from curves), 100
- Vertical clearances:
 - and abutment-restrained girders, 107
 - and type of superstructure, 103
- Vertical curves, crest, 101–102
- Vertical elements:
 - speed/distance and perception of, 39
 - for tall piers, 151
- Vertical geometry, 55
- Vertical stiffeners, 140, 141
- Viaducts, *see* Interchange ramps and viaducts
- Viewpoints, 37–39
 - in drawings, 43
 - priorities among, 37
 - and type of superstructure, 103, 104
 - in urban environment, 41–42
- Vision:
 - cone of, 38
 - in design, 22. *See also* Design intention/vision
- Visual aspects, 27–31
- Visual depth/span ratio, 132–133
- Visual environment:
 - exploration of, 72–73
 - for highway-over-highway bridges, 198
 - for interchange ramps and viaducts, 236
 - for movable bridges, 250
 - for pedestrian bridges, 274
 - for railroad bridges, 265
 - for river and tidal waterway bridges, 224–225
 - for valley and highway cut bridges, 216
- Visual field, 168, 193
- Visualization, 93, 94, 174
- Visual perception, 35–36, 42
- Visual professionals:
 - design involvement of, 90–96
 - responsibilities of, 8
- Visual qualities, 31–35
- Visual space, 41
- Visual Thinking* (Rudolph Arnheim), 59
- Voided slabs, 106
- V-shaped piers, 147–149
- Walls:
 - noise, *see* Noise walls
 - patterns on, 178–181
 - retaining, *see* Retaining walls
 - shape of tops for, 124
 - wing, *see* Wing walls
- Wall piers, 145
- Wall placement, 123–125
 - noise walls, 124–125
 - retaining walls, 123, 124
- Wall shape:
 - noise walls, 163–164
 - retaining walls, 160–164
 - wing walls, 160–163
- Warm hues, 166
- Washington, D.C., Metro, 186, 287
- Washington Department of Transportation, 9
- Waterloo Bridge (London), 16
- Weathering steel, *see* A588 steel
- Whitehurst Freeway (Washington, D.C.), 241
- Whitney, Alex, 249
- Wing walls, 119–122, 160–163, 178
- Woodrow Wilson Bridge (Washington, D.C.), 2, 293–295
- Zen and the Art of Motorcycle Maintenance* (Robert M. Pirsig), 301
- Zuk, William, 46, 174
- Zuoz Bridge (Switzerland), 11–12