

INDEX

Note: Page references in *italics* refer to tables.

- Abuse-related brain injury 7–8
- Accidents 70–1
 - or abuse? 112–13
 - short falls 124–5
- Acute stress and post-traumatic responses 130–1
 - anxiety 130
 - post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) 130–1
 - shock 130–1
- Acute stress disorder (ASD) 130–1
- Adoption 49, 51, 200–1
 - compulsory 1–2
 - factors 200–1
 - genealogical bewilderment 201
 - philosophy and practice 200
 - rights of the child 201
 - secrecy 200
- Adoption and Children Act 2002 205–6
 - special guardianship order 205
- Adoption outcomes and open adoptions 203–5
 - adoptive parent training 205
 - closed adoption 203–4
 - open adoption 204–5
 - placement breakdown rate 203
 - rights of the child 204
- Agency/professional help 183–4
- Ainsworth, Mary
 - attachment theory 157–8
- Ainsworth's Strange Situation Test 147, 158, 160
- Alcohol/substance abuse 34–6, 173
 - cases 34–5
 - effects of misuse 35
 - hair strand toxicology tests 36
- Altruism 33, 40
- Apnoea 122, 128
- Area Child Protection Committees (ACPCs) 27, 55, 64
 - data collection 59
- ASD, *see* Acute stress disorder (ASD)
- Assessment Framework 4, 28, 60, 141–2, 187
 - child's developmental needs 141
 - family and environmental factors 141
 - parenting capacity 141
 - potential for change 163–4
- Assessment sample 65–73
 - explanations for injuries 70–3
 - family structure 65–9
 - nature of injuries 69–70
- Assessments
 - absent or inadequate 53–5
 - core assessment 154–6
 - judicial studies conferences 48
 - kinship care 208
 - unreasonable practice 193
- Attachment assessment 157–62
- Ainsworth's Strange Situation Test 158
- attachment theory 157–8
 - disorganised attachment 158
 - forensic application of attachment theory 158–62
 - insecure attachment 157
 - reactive attachment disorder 158
 - secure attachment 157
- Attachment theory 157–8
 - research base validity 159

- Auditing rationales 194–7
 audit trail 195
 inconsistency 195
 negative transference 196
 professional skills 195
 safety-first response 194
 social work training 195–7
- Australia
 Child Death Review Teams (CDRTs)
 27, 40
 child protection cases 60–1
 intimidation of practitioners
 190–1
 Judicial Commission 40
 social workers 57, 196
 true-positive outcomes 11–13
- Authors' credentials 2–3
- Automatism 134
- Baby blues 32
- Back to Sleep campaign 8
- Balance of probability 129, 185
- Bene–Anthony Family Relations
 Test 147
- Bene–Anthony Thematic Apperception
 Test 147
- Beyond Blame* (Reder research)
 56–9
 closure 57–8
 dependency 57
 disguised compliance (non-compliance)
 57–8
 family-professional systems
 57–9
 reasonableness 58–9
 reparenting 57
- Beyond reasonable doubt 129, 185
- Birth-related injuries 124
 shaken baby syndrome (SBS) 127
- Birth weight low 29
- Bites 118–19
 forensic odontologists 118
- Bowlby, John
 attachment theory 157–8
- Brain injuries 70, 113–14
 diffuse axonal injury (DAI) 114
- Brittle bone disease 126, 136
see also Temporary brittle bone disease
 (TBBD)
- Brittle Bone Society 126
- Broxtowe, Nottingham
 false-positive child protection
 errors 20
- Bruising 118–19
 dating injuries 119
- Burns, bites and bruising 118–19
- Butler-Sloss, Elizabeth 127
- Cannings, Angela 21–2, 123
 sudden infant death syndrome
 (SIDS) 21–2
- Care plans
 changes 49–51
 court orders 50
 direction changes 50–1
 firm plans 49–50
 insufficient resources 50
 non-implementation 48
 resources 50
 wardship proceedings 50
- Care proceedings in family courts 46–53
 Harwin and Owen (2002) 52–3
 helping professions 46
 judicial studies conferences
 47–52
 law, policing and justice 46
The Best-Laid Plans (Hunt &
 Macleod 1999) 49–51
The Last Resort (Hunt
 et al. 1999) 48–9
- Case management 92–5
 Simon 94–5, 97
- Cases
 Ainlee Labonte 14–16
 Bianca 81–2
 Chloe 18–19
 Daniel 74–5
 David 66–7
 Graham 67
 James 17–18
 Luke 193–4
 Maria Colwell 55
 Mary 67–8
 Neil 69
 Rachel 95–101, 103
 Sally 68
 Simon 94–5, 97
 Sophia 66
 Tangaroa Matiu 10, 80, 202
 Victoria Climbié 16–17, 192–3
 Cassel Hospital, London 148–53
 Causation stage 111, 197

- Cautions about residential child protection assessments 150–3
 Cassel Hospital, London 151–3
 Children Act 1989,
 Section 38(6) 152
 family assessments 152–3
 Re C (A minor) [1996] 152
 Re G (A Child) Interim Care Order:
 Residential Assessment [2004] 152–3
 therapeutic interventions 152–3
- Change needs 170–8
 interaction between family and professionals 177–8
 kin and friendship influences 176
 nature of original harm 170–1
 parent/carer factors 171–3
 parental relationship 174
 parent–child(ren) relationships 175–6
 social/community influences 177
- Change possibilities 178–82
 cases with few identified psychosocial factors of concern 181–2
 indicators of lack of change potential 180–1
 indicators of potential for change 179–80
- Child
 in need 74
 removal 51
 at risk 75
 unwanted 40–1
- Child abuse and child protection errors 3, 7–25
 abuse-related brain injury 7–8
 accidental injury 7
 Back to Sleep campaign 8
 child protection error inevitability 24–5
 child protection registers 8
 desirable outcomes of child protection interventions 11–13
 drowning 8
 false-negative errors 14–19
 false-positive errors 19–24
 Full Stop campaign (NSPCC) 7
 outcomes of interventions 11
 severe maltreatment of infants 8–10
 sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) 8
- Child abuse research 3, 27–43, 28
 research reviews of samples of child abuse deaths 27–8
 risk research key findings: family factors 28–43
- Child characteristics 29–30
 birth weight low 29
 illness/disability 29
 male 29–30
 only/youngest child 29–30
 poor feeding patterns 29
 poor sleeping patterns 29
 premature birth 29
 screaming 29
 unwanted pregnancy 29
- Child protection error inevitability 24–5
 interventions 10
 registers 8
 repercussions 138
- Child protection assessment contexts 141–62
 assessment framework 141–2
 attachment assessment 157–62
 specialist assessments 152–6
see also Multidisciplinary child protection assessment
- Child protection practice 45–6
 discrepancies and inconsistencies 2
 improvements 1–2
Messages from Research 45–6
 refocus 46
- Child protection research 3, 45–62
 care proceedings in family courts 46–53
 child protection practice 45–6
 interagency communication and professional practice 53–9
 reunification and re-injury rates 59–62
- Child protection system nature of 90–2
 written information 91–2
- Child-protectionist social workers/guardians 64
- Children Act 1989 48–9, 103, 155
 complaints procedure 103
 kinship care 207–8
 reunification 199
 Section 38 (6) 152
 Section 47 (17) 75

- Child's developmental needs
 - Assessment Framework 141
- Child's timescale 38, 48
- Chiswick Women's Refuge 37
- Chronic anxiety 130, 172
- Clark, Sally 21, 123, 126
 - Stolen Innocence* 21
- Cleveland, England 123
 - false-positive errors 20–1, 45
- Climbié, Victoria 16–17
- Clinical psychologists 146
- Closed adoption 203–4
- Closure 57–8
- Coexisting injuries 121
- Cognitive-behavioural approaches 166
 - learning theory 166
 - motivational interviewing 166
- Cognitive disorders 30
- Communication and coordination
 - between agencies 55–6
 - Area Child Protection Committees (ACPCs) 55
 - Maria Colwell case 55–6
 - Part 8 Reviews 56
 - problems 55–6
- Community-based, family-centre assessments 153–4
- Community residential assessment facilities 149–50
- Complaints procedure 103–4
 - Children Act 1989 103
- Compulsory adoption 201–2
 - cultural differences 202
 - sadistic violence 201–2
 - safety and security 201
- Computerised tomography (CT)
 - scan 116
 - dating injuries 119
- Concurrent and parallel planning 97, 100, 206–7
 - removal at birth 206
- Confessions 210
- Contact 175
 - frequency 51
- Cot death, *see* Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS)
- Court of Appeal Judgments, July 2003 23–4
 - case of Baby M 24
 - case of JD 23
 - case of MAK and RK 23
- Court options
 - disposals 197–8
- Court orders 50
- Craniocervical junction 128
- Criminal act (*actus reus*) 131
- Criminal intent (*mens rea*) 131
- Criminal repercussions
 - 137–8
- Crossfire 77
- Crown Prosecution Service 40
- Cultural differences
 - compulsory adoption 202
- Dangerous Families* 56
- Dangerousness 30
- Dartington conferences, *see* Judicial studies conferences
- Dating injuries 119
 - bruising 119
 - computerised tomography (CT) scan 119
 - fractures 119
 - magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan 119
 - skull fractures 119
 - subdural haemorrhage 119
- Defence mechanism 132–3
- Degree of force 119–20
- Denial 72, 132–7
 - see also* Explanations for injuries and 'denial'; Repression, suppression and denial
- Denial approaches: force-field analysis 137–9
 - child protection repercussions 138
 - criminal repercussions 137–8
 - personal and family repercussions 138–9
 - social and community repercussions 139
- Denial significance 4, 129–39
 - acute stress and post-traumatic responses 130–1
 - denial approaches: force-field analysis 137–9
 - false-positive not guilty scenarios 136
 - memory impairment scenarios 131–6
 - secret guilty scenarios 136

- Department of Health 47, 60
 Dependency 57
 Depression 31
 Desirable outcomes of child
 protection interventions
 11–13
 true-negative outcomes 13
 true-positive outcomes 11–13
 Diagnostic issues, uncertainties and
 controversies 112–23
 accidents or abuse? 112–13
 brain injuries 113–14
 burns, bites and bruising 118–19
 coexisting injuries 121
 dating injuries 119
 degree of force 119–20
 experience of pain 120–1
 fractures 117–18
 lucid intervals 115–16
 Munchausen's syndrome by proxy
 (MSBP) 122–3
 're-bleeds' 116
 retinal haemorrhages 116
 'shaken baby syndrome' 121–2
 subdural haemorrhages 114–15
 Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) 114
 Direction changes 50–1
 care plans 50–1
 Discipline 40
 Disclosure interviews 139
 Discrepancies and inconsistencies 2
 Disguised compliance (non-compliance)
 57–8
 Disorganised attachment 158
 Disorientation 42
 Disposal (welfare) hearing 111
 Disposals 197–211
 adoption 200–1
 Adoption and Children Act
 2002 205–6
 adoption outcomes and open
 adoptions 203–5
 care order 197
 care plan 197
 compulsory adoption 197,
 201–2
 concurrent and parallel
 planning 206–7
 court options 197–8
 ECHR 1950 and Human Rights Act
 1998 198–9
 expert witnesses 197
 kinship care 197, 207–8
 reunification 197
 reunification with
 parents/carers 209–11
 Disputed abuse causation 211
 Dissociative amnesia 133–4
 dual consciousness 133–4
 intermittent explosive disorder
 133–4
 post-traumatic stress disorder
 (PTSD) 131
 red-outs 133
 Dissociative disorders 30
 Dodo Bird 169–70
 integrative approach 169–70
 Domestic violence 36–7, 174
 child protection assessment errors 37
 effects on children 37
 male and female violence 36–7
 Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims
 Bill 2003 73
 Drowning 8
 DSM-IV 30
 Dual consciousness 133–4
 Dynamics and context of fatal/serious
 injuries 76–8
 crossfire 77
 mishaps 76
 Munchausen's syndrome by
 proxy 78
 psychosis 77
 revenge 77
 violent outbursts 77–8
 Emergency Protection Orders 15
 Emotional impact for families
 101–3
 Environment 183
 European Convention for the
 Protection of Human Rights
 and Fundamental Freedoms
 (1950) 154, 198–9, 207–8
 significant Articles 198–9
 European Court of Human Rights
 (ECHR) 148
 Experience of pain 120–1
 failure to protect 120
 Expert witnesses 48, 111–12, 155
 disposals 197
 psychiatrists 143–6

- Explanations for injuries 70–3
 accident 70–1
 denial 72
 Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Bill 2003 73
 false confession 72
 individual culpability 72–3
 no explanations 70
 respectful uncertainty 73
 self-inflicted accidents 71
 sequence of different accounts 72
 tacit admissions 72
 uncertain perpetrator (attribution) 70
- Explanations for injuries and ‘denial’ 4, 111–40
 causation stage 111
 diagnostic issues, uncertainties and controversies 112–23
 disposal (welfare) hearing 111
 expert witnesses 111–12
 findings of fact 111
 Munchausen’s syndrome by proxy (MSBP) 122
 non-abuse explanations 123–9
 significance of ‘denial’ 129–39
- Eysenck Personality Inventory 146
- Fabricated or induced illness, *see* Munchausen’s syndrome by proxy (MSBP)
- Failure to protect 78–80, 120, 174
 bystander 78–9
 delayed help seeking 79
 joint enterprise 79–80
 vicarious assault 79–80
- Faking good 173
- False confession 72
- False-negative errors 3, 14–19, 53, 60, 185
 accident or abuse? 112
 Ainlee Labonte 14–16
 ‘Chloe’ 18–19
 ‘James’ 17–18
 Victoria Climbié 16–17
 see also Undesirable outcomes
- False-positive errors 3–4, 13, 19–24, 43, 185
 accident or abuse? 112
- Angela Cannings 21–2
 brittle bone disease 126
 Broxtowe, Nottingham 20
 Cleveland, England 20–1, 45
 Court of Appeal Judgments, July 2003 23–4
 New Zealand 20–1
 Orkney 20
 Rochdale, England 20
 Sally Clark 21
 Trupti Patel 22–3
 see also Undesirable outcomes
- False-positive not guilty scenarios 136
- Family
 assessments 152–3
 structure 28–9, 65–9
 support 2
 see also Parental perceptions of child protection interventions
- Family–agency dynamics 56
Dangerous Families 56
- Family and environmental factors
 Assessment Framework 141
- Family-centre assessments, *see* Community-based, family-centre assessments
- Family dynamics
 kinship care 208
- Family-preservationist social workers/guardians 64
- Family–professional systems 57–9
- Fatal cases: Part 8 Review sample 73–85
 ‘Bianca’ 81–2
 cases with few psychosocial factors of concern 81–3
 child at risk 75
 child in need 75
 Children Act 1989 75
 ‘Daniel’ 74–5
 dynamics and context of fatal/serious injuries 76–8
 failure to protect 78–80
 Messages from Research 75
 police call-outs 75
 preventable deaths 76
 psychosocial concerns 80–1
 reunification and re-injuries 83–5
- Feminist approaches 167–8
- Findings of fact 111, 129, 197

- Fine judgments 4, 185–211
 balance of probability 185
 beyond reasonable doubt 185
 disposals 197–211
 false-negative errors 185
 false-positive errors 185
 reasonableness 186–97
 unreasonableness 186
- Five Percenters 128
- Forensic application of attachment theory 158–62
 parent–child interactions 160–2
 validity of research base 159
- Forensic odontologists 118
 Forensic psychologists 146
- Fostering 51
- Fractures 117–18
 dating injuries 119
 rib 117–18
 skull 117
- Full Stop campaign (NSPCC) 7
- Genealogical bewilderment 201
- General Medical Council 127, 187
- General Social Care Council 187
- Guardian *ad litem* 49, 52, 63–4, 150
- Hair strand toxicology tests 36
- Harwin and Owen (2002) 52–3
 contact plan 52
 guardian *ad litem* 52
 placement plan 52
 services plan 52
- Helping professions 46
 continuous culture 47
- Human Rights Act 1998 48, 97, 198–9, 207–8
 Article 8 204–5
 principle of proportionality 199
 rights of the child 204
- Humanistic approaches 166
 core conditions 166
- Hypoxia 128
- Hysterical personalities 132
- Iatrogenic harm 187
- ICD-10 30
 personality disorders 33
- Illness/disability 29
- Impulse-control disorders 30
- Incident
 motive 42
 nature of 42–3
 severity of injury 42
- Independent social work assessments 154–6
 Children Act 1989 155
 European Convention on Human Rights 154
 expert witnesses 155
 initial (core) assessments 154
 risk factors 155–6
 session focus 155
- Independent specialist assessment service 63–5, 64
 ACPCs 64
 child-protectionist social workers/guardians 64
 family-preservationist social workers/guardians 64
 guardians *ad litem* 63–4
 research project 64–5
 SIDE (serious injury–discrepant explanation) 63–5
 social services 63–4
 solicitors representing parents 63
- Individual culpability 72–3
- Infants, *see* Severe maltreatment of infants
- Injuries
 accidental 7
 dating 119
 nature of 69–70
see also Explanations for injuries
- Inquiries
 statutory 54
- Insecure attachment 157
- Institutional residential assessment facilities 148–9
 Cassel Hospital, London 148–9
- Integrative approach 169–70
- Intellectual impairments 30
- Interactional style of child protection practitioners 100–1
 concurrent planning 100
- Interactions between family and professionals 177–8
 concurrent planning 178
 reasonableness 177

- Interagency communication and professional practice 53–9
 assessments absent or inadequate 53–5
Beyond Blame (Reder research) 56–9
 communication and coordination between agencies 55–6
 family–agency dynamics 56
- Intergenerational parenting problems 208
- Intermittent explosive disorder 30, 133–4
- Intoxication 135
 alcoholic blackout 135
- Ireland
 child protection cases 60
- Jealousy or rejection 40
- Judgments, *see* Fine judgments
- Judicial Studies Board 47
- Judicial studies conferences 47–52
 assessments 48
 child's timescale 48
 Department of Health 47
 expert witnesses 48
 Human Rights Act 1998 48
 Judicial Studies Board 47
 legal/psychotherapeutic culture interaction 48
 non-implementation of care plans 48
 psychoanalytic psychotherapy 48
- K and T v Finland* [2000] 148
- Key themes of parental perceptions research 88–90
- Kin and friendship influences 176
 assessments 176
- Kinship care 51, 149–50, 207–8
 assessments 208
 Children Act 1989 207–8
 children's guardians 207
 family dynamics 208
 Human Rights Act 1998 207–8
 intergenerational parenting problems 208
- Labonte, Ainlee 14–16
 Newham Area Child Protection Committee (ACPC) 14–16
- Lack of change potential indicators 180–1
see also Potential for change
- Laming inquiry
 Victoria Climbié 16–17, 192–3
- Law, policing and justice 46
 dichotomous culture 46–7
- Legal/psychotherapeutic culture interaction 48
- Lennox–Gastaut syndrome 128
- Lucid intervals 115–16
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan 116
 dating injuries 119
- Male 29–30
- Male and female violence
 domestic violence 36–7
- Meadow, Roy 22, 122–3, 126
 Meadow's law 126
- Memory impairment scenarios
 automatism 134
 dissociative amnesia 133–4
 injuries to infants 135–6
 intoxication 135
 personality factors 132
 psychotic and neurological conditions 135
 repression, suppression and denial 132–3
 state-dependent memory 134
- Men
 nature of incident 42
- Mental health problems 34
 without psychiatric disorder 34
- Mercy killing 33, 42
- Messages from Research* 59–60, 75
- Microskills use 183
- Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory 146
- Miscarriage of justice 1
- Mishaps 76
- Mistaken diagnosis 127–9
- Mood disorders 30
- Motive unknown 40
- Multidisciplinary assessment 156
 key skills 156
 psychiatric disorder 31–2
- Multidisciplinary child protection assessment, 141, 144, 156

- Multiple hypotheses 183
- Munchausen's syndrome by proxy (MSBP) 23, 40, 78, 122–3, 148
fabricated or induced illness 122
Roy Meadow 122–3
- Nature of original harm 170–1
- Negative assessment bias 96–9
concurrent planning 97
Human Rights Act 1998 97
unreasonableness 97
- Negative parental perceptions in context 104–9
parental perceptions of positive child protection practice 105–9
- Negative professional style 99–104
complaints procedure 103–4
emotional impact for families 101–3
interactional style of child protection practitioners 100–1
- Neonaticide 40–1
- Neurotic/anxiety disorders 30
- New Zealand
adoption 201
false-positive child protection errors 20–1
kinship care 207–8
Maori kinship (*whāngai*) 207
severe maltreatment of infants 10–11
- Newham Area Child Protection Committee (ACPC)
Labonte, Ainlee 14–16
- No explanations 70
- No intent to kill/injure 41
- Non-abuse explanations 123–9
accidents 124–5
birth-related injuries 124
Brighton 124
brittle bone disease 126
Cleveland 123
mistaken diagnosis of shaken baby syndrome 127–9
sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) 125–6
'temporary brittle bone disease' 126–7
- Non-implementation of care plans, *see* Care plans
- NSPCC (National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children) 3–4
research 173
- NSPCC serious injury–discrepant explanation (SIDE) research 3–4, 63–85, 64
assessment sample 65–73
fatal cases: Part 8 Review sample 73–85
independent specialist assessment service 63–5
- Observation 183
- Open adoption 204–5
- Organic/neurological disorders 30
- Original harm
nature of 170–1
- Orkney
false-positive child protection errors 20
- Osteogenesis imperfecta 24
- Outcomes
of child protection interventions 10
of independent assessment sample 83
- Overreactions 171
- Pain, *see* Experience of pain
- Parallel planning, *see* Concurrent and parallel planning
- Paranoid delusions 41–2
- Parent/carer factors 30–40, 171–3
alcohol/substance abuse 173
chronic anxiety 172
faking good 173
NSPCC research 173
overreactions 171
potential-for-change issues 172–3
underreactions 171
- Parent–child interactions 160–2
Ainsworth's Strange Situation Test 160
secure attachment 160
separation and loss 161
- Parent–child(ren) relationships 175–6
contact 175
potential for change 175
separation 175

- Parent factors
 - alcohol/substance abuse 34–6
 - domestic violence 36–7
 - mental health problems 34
 - parents abused as children 37–8
 - parents brought up in ‘care’ 38–40
 - personality disorders 33
 - post-natal depression 32–3
 - psychiatric disorder 30–2
- Parental behaviour
 - key assessment factor 34
- Parental motivations for harming
 - infant 40–1
 - altruism 40
 - discipline 40
 - jealousy or rejection 40
 - no intent to kill/injure 41
 - parental psychosis 40
 - retaliatory killing 40
 - sexual/ritual abuse 41
 - uncaused syndrome by proxy 40
 - unknown motive 40
 - unwanted child 40
- Parental perceptions of child protection
 - interventions 4, 87–109, 105
 - key themes of parental perceptions
 - research 88–90
 - nature of child protection system 90–2
 - negative parental perceptions in
 - context 104–9
 - negative professional style 99–104
 - unfair treatment 92–9
- Parental perceptions of positive child
 - protection practice 105–9
- Parental psychosis 40
- Parental relationship 174
 - domestic violence 174
 - failure to protect 174
- Parenting capacity
 - Assessment Framework 141
- Parents abused as children 37–8
 - assessment of parents 38
 - child’s timescale 38
 - court decisions 38
 - statistics 37
- Parents brought up in ‘care’ 38–40
 - case 39
- Part 8 Reviews 14, 19, 27, 54
 - fatal cases 73–85
 - Lost Innocents* 56
- Patel, Trupti 22–3
- Paterson, Colin 127
- Personal and family
 - repercussions 138–9
- Personal/family history 183
- Personality disorders 30–1, 33
 - ICD-10 33
- Personality factors 132
 - hysterical personalities 132
 - psychopathic personalities 132
- Pizzey, Erin 37
- Placement breakdowns 51–2
 - kinship carers 51–2
 - rate 203
- Placement plan 52
- Poisoning 70
- Police call-outs 75
- Poor feeding patterns 29
- Poor sleeping patterns 29
- Positive child protection practice 105–9
- Post-natal depression 29–30, 32–3
 - baby blues 32
 - post-natal psychosis 32–3
- Post-natal psychosis 32–3
 - psychotic altruism 33
- Post-traumatic stress disorder
 - (PTSD) 130–1
- Potential for change 4, 163–84
 - assessing and promoting therapeutic
 - change 182–4
 - Assessment Framework 163–4
 - change needs 170–8
 - indicators 179–80
 - issues 172–3
 - therapeutic change theories
 - 164–70
 - what can change? 178–82
 - see also* Lack of change potential
- Premature birth 29
- Preventable deaths 76
- Principle of proportionality
 - Human Rights Act 1998 199
- Professional style 99–103
- Psychiatric approach 165
 - Mental Health Act 1983 165
- Psychiatric assessments 143–6
 - adult psychiatrists 143–4
 - child psychiatrists 143–4
 - expert witnesses 143
 - methodology 144–6

- Psychiatric disorder 30–2
 depression 31
 multidisciplinary assessment 31–2
 nature of incident 43
 personality disorder 31
 psychosis 31
 Psychoanalytic psychotherapy 48
 Psychodynamic approach 165–6
 Psychological assessments 146–7
 Ainsworth's Strange Situation
 Test 147
 Bene–Anthony Family Relations
 Test 147
 Bene–Anthony Thematic
 Apperception Test 147
 clinical psychologists 146
 Eysenck Personality Inventory 146
 forensic psychologists 146
 Minnesota Multiphasic Personality
 Inventory 146
 story-stem completion tasks 147
 Wechsler Adult Intelligence
 Scale 146
 Psychopathic personalities 132
 Psychosis 77
 Psychosocial approaches 167
 Psychosocial concerns 80–1
 cases with few identified factors
 81–3, 181–2
 Psychotic altruism 33, 42
 Psychotic and neurological
 conditions 135
 Psychotic disorders 30–1, 41–2
 PTSD, *see* Post-traumatic stress disorder
 (PTSD)
 Puerperal psychosis 30, 32–3, 42

 Re-bleeds 116
 computerised tomography (CT)
 scan 116
 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
 scan 116
 Re C (A minor) [1996] 152
 Re G (A Child) Interim Care Order:
 Residential Assessment [2004] 152–3
 Re-injuries 84
 see also Reunification and re-injuries
 Reactive attachment disorder 158
 Reasonable child protection and
 reasonable family 188–9
 Assessment Framework 188
 Children Act 1989 188
 Reasonable child protection and
 unreasonable family 58–9, 189–91
 behaviour 190
 intimidation of practitioners 191
 Reasonableness 4, 58–9, 186–97
 auditing rationales 194–7
 children's guardians 186–7
 iatrogenic harm 187
 reasonable child protection and
 reasonable family 188–9
 reasonable child protection and
 unreasonable family 189–91
 reasonable professional 186
 unreasonable child protection and
 reasonable family 192–3
 unreasonable child protection and
 unreasonable family 193–4
 what is reasonable? 187–8
 Red-outs 133
 Reder, Peter 56–9
 Refocus 46
 Rejection 40
 Removal at birth 84–5, 148, 206
 Reparenting 57
 Repression, suppression and
 denial 132–3
 defence mechanisms 132–3
 Research reviews of samples of child
 abuse deaths 27–8
 Area Child Protection Committees
 (ACPCs) 27
 Assessment Framework 28
 Child Death Review Teams
 (CDRTs) 27
 Part 8 Reviews 27
 Residential assessments 147–53
 cautions about residential child
 protection assessments 150–3
 community residential assessment
 facilities 149–50
 European Court of Human Rights
 (ECHR) 148
 institutional residential assessment
 facilities 148–9
 K and T v Finland [2000] 148
 Munchausen's syndrome by proxy
 (MSBP) 148
 removal at birth 148

- Residential child protection assessments,
see Cautions about residential child
 protection assessments
- Resources 50
 insufficient 50
- Respectful uncertainty 7, 73, 183
- Retaliatory killing 40–1
 cases 41
- Retinal haemorrhages 116
- Reunification 3, 209–11
 assessments 209–11
 re-abuse 210
 re-injury 210
 successful outcomes 209
- Reunification and re-injuries 83–5
 outcomes of independent assessment
 sample 83
 re-injuries 84
 removals at birth 84–5
 reunifications 83
- Reunification and re-injury rates 59–62
 Assessment Framework 60
 data collection 59
 Department of Health 60
Messages from Research 59–60
 risk research 60
- Revenge 78
- Rights of the child 201, 204
- Risk factors 155–6
- Risk research key findings: family
 factors 28–43
 child characteristics 29–30
 family structure 28–9
 nature of incident 42–3
 parent factors 30–40
 parental motivations for harming
 infant 40–2
- Robertson, James
 attachment theory 157–8
 hospitalised children 161
- Rochdale
 England
 false-positive child protection
 errors 20
- Schamberg's disease 23
- Schizophrenia 30
- Scotland
 child protection cases 60
- Screaming 29
- Secrecy 95
- Secret guilty scenarios 136
- Secure attachment 157, 160
- Self-inflicted accidents 71
- Separation 175
 and loss 161
- Sequence of different accounts 72
- Serious injury–discrepant explanation
 (SIDE), *see* NSPCC serious
 injury–discrepant
 explanation
 (SIDE) research
- Services plan 52
- Severe maltreatment of infants 8–11
 New Zealand 10
 UK 9
 USA 9
- Sexual/ritual abuse 41
- Shaken baby syndrome (SBS)
 121–2, 124
 balance of probability 129
 Five Percenters 128
 Lennox–Gastaut syndrome 128
 mistaken diagnosis 127–9
 symptoms 122
 triad of injuries 122, 128
 vaccine reactions 128
 vitamin deficiency 128
 whiplash shaken infant syndrome 122
- Shock 130–1
- SIDE, *see* NSPCC serious injury–discrepant
 explanation (SIDE) research
- Social services 63–4
 social work training 184
- Social work assessments, *see*
 Independent social work
 assessments
- Social/community influences 177
- Social/community repercussions 139
- Solicitors representing parents 63
- Solution-focused approaches 168
- Specialist assessments 152–6
 community-based, family-centre
 assessments 153–4
 independent social work
 assessments 154–6
 multidisciplinary child protection
 assessment 156
 psychiatric assessments 143–6
 psychological assessments 146–7
 residential assessments 147–53

- Standards of proof 95–6
 case of Rachel 95–6
- State-dependent memory 134
- Story-stem completion tasks 147
- Strengths and resources 184
- Structure of book 3–5
- Subdural haemorrhages
 114–15
 causes 114–15
 dating injuries 119
- Subjective experience 183
- Substance-related disorders 30
- Sudden infant death syndrome
 (SIDS) 8, 125–6
 Angela Cannings 21–2
 diagnosis 125–6
 Roy Meadow 126
 Sally Clark 126
 sudden unexpected deaths in infancy
 (SUDI) 126
- Sudden unexpected deaths in infancy
 (SUDI) 126
- Suffocation 70
- Suppression, *see* Repression, suppression
 and denial
- Systemic approaches 167
- Systemic engagement 184
- Tacit admissions 72
- Temporary brittle bone disease
 (TBBD) 126–7
- The Best-Laid Plans* (Hunt &
 Macleod 1999) 49–51
 changes in care plans 49–51
 placement breakdowns 51–2
- The Last Resort* (Hunt et al. 1999)
 48–9
 assessment reports 49
 Children Act 1989 48–9
 court time and assessments 49
 families and legal proceedings 49
 rights of parents and children 49
- Therapeutic change assessment and
 promotion 182–4
 agency/professional help 183–4
 environment 183
 microskills use 183
 multiple hypotheses 183
 observation 183
 personal/family history 183
 respectful uncertainty 183
 strengths and resources 184
 subjective experience 183
 systemic engagement 184
 therapeutic needs assessment 184
 transference and
 counter-transference 184
 what if? 183
 working relationship 183
- Therapeutic change theories
 164–70
 cognitive-behavioural
 approaches 166
 Dodo Bird 169–70
 feminist approaches 167–8
 humanistic approaches 166
 psychiatric approach 165
 psychodynamic approach
 165–6
 psychosocial approaches 167
 solution-focused approaches 168
 systemic approaches 167
- Therapeutic needs assessment 184
- Transference
 and counter-transference 184
 negative 196
- Transparency 108
- Triad of injuries 122, 128
- True-negative outcomes 13
- True-positive outcomes 11–13
 Australia 12
 UK 13
- Twin-track planning, *see* Concurrent and
 parallel planning
- UK
 adoption 202
 Crown Prosecution Service 40
 kinship care 207–8
 severe maltreatment of infants 8
 true-positive outcomes 11–13
- Uncertain perpetrator (attribution) 70,
 211
- Underreactions 171
- Undesirable outcomes, 14, 19
- Unfair treatment 92–9
 arbitrary case management
 92–5
 negative assessment bias 96–9
 standards of proof 95–6

- Unreasonable child protection and
 - reasonable family 59, 192–3
 - unreasonable assessment
 - practice 193
 - unreasonable practice 192
- Unreasonable child protection and unreasonable family 193–4
 - Luke 193–4
- Unreasonableness 4, 97, 186–8
- Unwanted child 40–1
- Unwanted pregnancy 29
- USA
 - adoption 202
 - Child Death Review Teams (CDRTs) 27
 - child protection workers 97
 - kinship care 207–8
 - severe maltreatment of infants 9–10
- Vaccine reactions 128
- Victim characteristics 29–30
- Violent outbursts 78–9
 - chance factors 112
- Vitamin deficiency 128
- Wales
 - child protection cases 61
 - Wardship proceedings 50
- Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale 146
- Welfare stage, *see* Disposals
- What if? 183
- Whiplash shaken infant syndrome 121
- Women
 - nature of incident 42
- Working relationship 183
- Written information 91–2

