

# INDEX

- Academic integration, 107*f*, 109  
Academic scholarship optimizer, 19, 21*f*  
ACT scores, 60  
Actionable information: benefits of strategy sessions for, 7–8; challenges of presenting, 71–81; versus pedestrian information, 5  
Active learning, 108  
Active Server Pages (ASP), 42, 68  
Admission reports, 16, 17*f*, 28  
Admission targets, 28  
Advertising, 32  
Advising programs, 35  
African Americans, 116  
Ahlburg, A., 108, 111, 116  
Algorithms, 100, 102, 103  
ALT attribute, 66–67  
Analogies, 72  
Analyses: in BBN example, 88, 91; challenges of, 71–81. *See also specific types*  
Anderson, D. K., 2, 71, 125  
Anecdotal evidence, 23  
Applications, enrollment, 28, 30–31. *See also Enrollment management*  
Arcs, 92  
Arrays, of quantitative data, 6  
Asian Americans, 116  
ASP (Active Server Pages), 42, 68  
Assessment, of ISU's enrollment management plan, 39  
Association of American Universities, 44, 45  
Attrition: developed theories of, 105–106; incidence of, 105; longitudinal time dimension of, 106–109, 110*f*; methodological approach to, 109–116; simulation models of, 116–120; types of, 109  
Audience, of report, 72  
Aylesworth, M., 83  
Baldridge, J., 24  
Bayesian belief networks (BBN): advantages of, 84–85, 102–103; drawbacks of, 100, 102; example of, 87–100; foundations of, 85–87; overview of, 83–84  
Bayes's theorem, 85–86  
Bean, J., 15, 105–108  
Bekele, R., 103  
Belief Network Powersoft (software), 91  
Benchmarks, ISU: decision-making process and, 30–31; enrollment goals and, 29; enrollment management efforts and, 19, 20*f*  
Bers, T., 15, 30, 31, 35  
BI (Business Intelligence) platforms, 42, 46, 55  
Binning, 84, 88  
Bivariate associations, 98–100  
Black box operation, 100  
Black, J., 24, 33  
Blei, D., 103  
Blogs, 35, 36*f*, 37–38*f*  
Bontrager, B., 15, 23, 24  
BORDER attribute, 64  
Bradburn, E., 105  
Brainstorming sessions, 8  
Braxton, J., 106, 108  
Brinkman, P., 11  
BrioQuery (software), 42  
Broward Community College, 11–12  
Budget, ISU, 19  
Bunker mentality, 23  
Burns, L., 111  
Bus transportation, 31, 32  
Business Intelligence (BI) platforms, 42, 46, 55  
Cabrera, A., 106, 108  
California Partnership for Achieving Student Success (Cal-PASS), 10  
Calling campaign, 34–35  
Campus visits, 31–32  
Carnegie Classification, 87–100  
Castaneda, M., 106, 108  
Causality, 100, 102  
Change agenda: in ISU's enrollment management strategy, 18, 23, 24; resistance to, 23, 24, 124  
Cheng, J., 88, 91, 92  
*Chicago Guide to Writing About Numbers* (Miller), 72–73  
Chickering, A., 106

Note: *f* indicates figures, *t* indicates tables.

- Circular shapes, 65, 66*f*
- Clagett, C., 15
- Classical statistics: versus BBN, 84–85; BBN drawbacks and, 102
- Classification: accuracy of, 92; BBN advantages related to, 85; BBN example of, 92–100
- Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) categories, 9–10
- Coefficient estimates, conditional, 113
- ColdFusion (software), 42
- College Navigator tool, 9
- College ranking systems, 103
- Color, of tables, 72, 78
- Communication: of analyses, 72–73; with data owners, 47; and strategic planning, 6–8
- Community College Initiative, 18
- Competitor analyses, 8–11
- Computer programming, 117–119
- Conditional dependencies, 92
- Conditional probabilities, 100
- Confirmations, 28, 32
- Confirmatory approach, 84
- COOL site, 9
- COORDS attribute, 64
- Correlations, among variables, 98, 100
- Counselor Day program, 26
- Counselors, 21, 58
- County information, 58, 59*f*, 60–68
- Culture, institutional: competitor analysis and, 10; enrollment management and, 24; resistance in, 124
- Cumming, M., 86
- Curricular programs, for retention, 106
- Data: access issues of, 48–49; change agenda and, 23; demands of drillable Web tools on, 47–48; in enrollment simulations, 11–12; High School Profile application and, 58, 62; managers' attitude toward, 36, 38; mining of, 102; misleading, 32; owners of, 47; Purdue's reporting background and, 41; Purdue's technology choices and, 42–43; security of, 45, 47; strategy session sharing of, 8; warehouses for, 42, 48–49. *See also specific types*
- Data Digest* (Purdue University), 42–43, 44, 45, 55
- Data, ISU: in decision-making process, 29–31; enrollment goals and, 25–29; in enrollment management background, 16–17, 21; recruitment challenges and, 32; sharing of, 36, 38; uniformity of approach regarding, 33–35
- DATA null statements, 44
- DATA put statements, 43
- Decision making: about Purdue's Web-based reporting system, 55; in competitor analyses, 8–9; in enrollment simulations, 11–12
- Decision making, data-driven: benefits of, 15; challenges in, 31–32, 71–81; effects of, 38; High School Profile's impact on, 69; ISU's enrollment goals and, 25; ISU's process of, 29–31; regarding attrition, 109, 116–117; success in, 39
- Decision tree analysis, 85
- Degrees of belief, 86–87
- Demographics, 48, 49, 62
- Departure, student. *See* Attrition
- Descriptive reporting, 72
- DesJardins, S., 108, 111, 116
- Dial-a-Student Web site, 35
- Discussions: in ISU's enrollment management background, 18; in mixed methods, 8
- Downloads, 41
- Drop-down boxes, 45
- Duby, P., 106
- Dynamic information, 78–80
- Educational agendas, 83
- Efficiency, 83, 94
- Elder, J., 84
- E-mail, 44
- Enrollment, institutional: ISU's background in, 16–24; strategic planning simulations in, 11–12
- Enrollment management: analytical challenges of, 71–81; data sharing in, 36, 38; definition of, 15–16; importance of, 15; institutional culture and, 24; misleading data and, 32; strategic planning simulations in, 11. *See also* Applications, enrollment
- Enrollment management, ISU: challenges in, 31–32; decision-making process for, 29–31; goal for, 24–29; institution's background in, 16–24; lessons learned from, 38–39
- Enrollment, program, 11
- Entry rates, to college, 105
- Estimation uncertainty, 85
- Ethnic groups, 61, 113, 116
- Event history modeling: drawbacks of,

116; overview of, 109, 111; studies using, 111–116  
 Excel (Microsoft software), 10, 45, 68, 94–98  
 Exploratory studies, 103

Faculty members: blogs of, 37*f*; classification of, 94; in ISU's enrollment management strategy, 33–34  
 Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 48, 49  
 Family income, 116  
 Federal Interagency Committee on Education codes, 62  
 Fern, E., 7  
 Ferren, A., 83  
 Finland, 105  
 First-generation students, 113, 115  
 Focus groups, 7  
 Foley, C. J., 2, 71, 125  
 Formatting reports, 44, 72  
 Free lunch, students receiving, 61

Gabelnick, F., 106  
 Gender information, 73–74, 113  
 Geographic information system (GIS) software, 76  
 Geographical data: in competitor analyses, 8–9; in High School profile application, 63–64; in ISU enrollment management, 16–17, 24–25; ISU's enrollment goals and, 24–26; presentation challenges of, 73–78  
 Gillies, D., 86  
 GIS (geographic information system) software, 76  
 Grade point average, 61, 76–78, 79  
 Graduation, 118  
 Graphics presentations: of attrition studies, 115*f*, 116–120; BBN advantages related to, 85; of ISU's enrollment data, 17, 18*f*, 25; in Web-based reporting systems, 45  
 Green, K., 24  
 Greiner, R., 88, 91  
 Group strategy sessions, 7–8

Hall, R., 88  
 Hand, D., 84, 88, 102  
 Hanson, G., 15  
 Hawkins, D., 57  
 Head count data, 11  
 Heckerman, D., 84, 85, 88, 100, 102, 103

Hengstler, D., 106  
 Heterogeneous focus groups, 7  
 Hierarchical variable relationships, 84  
 High school: accurate data for recruitment from, 57–58; calling campaigns for, 34–35; graduation exams in, 31; university integration with, 26  
 High School Profile application: data sources of, 62; description of, 58–62; implications of, 68–69; technical aspects of, 62–68  
 High school profile report, 20–21, 22*f*  
 Hispanic students, 116  
 Hossler, D., 15  
 HREF attribute, 64  
 HTML code: in High School Profile application, 62–69; in Purdue's Web-based reporting system, 42, 43, 50  
 Huddleston, T., 15  
 Human resource data, 49  
 Hyperlinks, 45, 63, 67

Image maps, 63, 65  
 Indiana Commission for Higher Education, 18  
 Indiana Department of Education, 21, 58, 62  
 Indiana State University (ISU): background of, 16–24; challenges of data-drive decision making at, 31–32; data sharing and, 36, 38; decision making process at, 29–31; enrollment management goal of, 24–29; lessons learned from, 38–39; misleading data at, 32; progression of efforts at, 33–36  
 Indiana Statewide Testing for Education Progress-Plus (ISTEP) Visit Week, 31  
 Information technology department, 46, 55  
 Input variables, 85, 88, 98  
 Installation, software, 43, 44  
 Institutional planning, 123–126  
 Institutional researchers: changing roles of, 1; primary functions of, 83  
 Institutional variables, 94  
 Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) Executive Peer Tool, 9  
 Integration, university–high school, 26  
 Interactive programs, 94–98  
 Internet access, 21  
 Internet middleware, 42  
 Interval variables, 84  
 Interventions: and ISU's enrollment goals, 28–29. *See also specific interventions*

- Interviews, 6–7  
 IP addresses, 50, 54  
 Ishitani, T. T., 2–3, 19, 83, 105, 111, 123, 125–126  
 ISTEP (Indiana Statewide Testing for Education Progress-Plus) Visit Week, 31  
 ISU. *See* Indiana State University
- Jacobson, R., 98  
 Jargon, 72  
 Johnson, I. Y., 2, 57, 124–125  
 Joint probability distribution, 88, 91  
 Joint probability table, 94, 95–96t  
 Jordan, M., 103  
*Journal of College Student Retention*, 105
- Kahneman, D., 71  
 Kemerer, F., 24  
 Kerr, H., 15  
 Kisling, R., 18, 23  
 Knight, W., 31  
 Kroc, R., 16
- Lautz, J., 57  
 Learning communities, 106  
 Lee, Y., 106  
 Licensing, software, 42  
 Linear multiple regression, 98  
 Liu, E., 108  
 Liu, R., 108  
 Logging codes, 50, 54, 55  
 Longitudinal departure studies. *See* Attrition  
 Low-income students, 116  
 Luan, J., 83, 102
- MacGregor, J., 106  
 Macro variables, 43  
 Mannila, H., 84, 88, 102  
 Maps: GIS software and, 76; of high school data, 58, 59f, 63–67  
 Market shares, 11, 24–27  
 Mathematical symbols, 72  
 Matthews, R., 106  
 Maxwell, C. J., 2, 41, 124  
 McCall, B., 108, 111, 116  
 McClintock, P. J., 2, 15, 124  
 McIntyre, C., 11  
 McRoberts, C., 31  
 Menzel, W., 103  
 Metzner, B., 106, 108
- Microsoft Excel (software), 10, 45, 68, 94–98  
 Microsoft Sharepoint Designer (software), 35  
 Microsoft Visual Basic (software), 117  
 Milem, J., 108  
 Miller, J., 72–73  
 Milner, B. J., 2, 71, 125  
 Mission, of institution, 24  
 Mixed methods: competitor analyses in, 8–11; definition of, 5; enrollment simulations and, 11–12; necessary communication in, 6–8; temporal sequences in, 6. *See also* Qualitative methods; Quantitative methods  
 Models, BBN: advantages of, 84–85; example of, 88, 91–100  
 MS Paint (software), 64, 65f  
 Multicollinearity, 98, 100  
 Murtaugh, P., 111  
 MySQL (software), 35, 48
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 9, 87  
 National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF:04), 87–100  
 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 119, 120f  
 New Zealand, 105  
 Ng, A., 103  
 Niedermayer, D., 91  
 Nodes, 92  
 Nominal variables, 84, 85  
 Nonlinear relationships, 84  
 Nontraditional students, 9  
 Nora, A., 106, 108  
 Norway, 105  
 Notepad editor, 62  
 NSSE (National Survey of Student Engagement), 119, 120f  
 Numbers, presentation of, 72
- Open-ended questions, 26  
 Opinions, of stakeholders, 7–8  
 Organizational structures, 24  
 Output delivery system statements, 43
- Parameters, 85, 103  
 PAS (Peer Analysis System), 9  
 Pasarella, E., 106  
 Patterns, 73  
 Pearl, J., 88

- Pedestrian information, 5
- Pednault, E., 84, 88
- PEDS (Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System) Executive Peer Tool, 9
- Peer Analysis System (PAS), 9
- Pell Grant, 61
- Penn, G., 16
- Percentages, 73, 100
- Pérez, A., 57
- Perfect data, 48
- Period-specific piecewise hazard model, 113–115, 116
- Persistence rates: importance of, 105; longitudinal time dimension of, 106–109, 110f; theories of, 106
- Personal contact, 28
- Personalized script, 34–35
- PHP (software), 42
- Picture editors, 64
- Policymakers, 78, 80
- Pollock, K., 33
- Polygon shapes, 65, 66f
- Population counts, 11
- Porter, M., 8
- Posterior probability, 86–87, 88
- Pregibon, D., 84
- Presentations, of data: analytical challenges and, 72–73; BBN advantages related to, 85; in BBN example, 94–98; dynamic information in, 78–80; of enrollment data, 17, 25; simplicity of, 74–78; usefulness of data in, 72–74
- Primary queries, 50
- Prior probabilities, 86–87, 91, 102
- Privacy issues, 48–49
- Probability, 85–87, 100, 102
- Problem solving, 35
- Program enrollments, 10
- Provan, G., 85
- Public tools, 48–49
- Purdue University Office of Institutional Research Web-based reporting system: background of, 41–43; challenges of, 46–49; implementation of, 44–45, 47–48; outcomes of, 54–56; technology of, 43–44; use details of, 49–54
- Qualitative methods: focus groups in, 7; function of, 5–6; interviews in, 6–7; in strategy sessions, 7–8. *See also* Mixed methods
- Quantitative methods: function of, 5–6; in strategy sessions, 8. *See also* Mixed methods
- Ratio variables, 84
- Real-time parameter input, 117
- Recruitment: accurate high school data and, 57–58; benefits of data-driven decision making in, 15; High School Profile's impact on, 68–69
- Recruitment, ISU: challenges in, 31–32; decision-making process and, 29–31; enrollment goals and, 28; high school reports and, 21
- Redundant programs, 8
- Regional Campus Agreement, 18
- Reisser, L., 106
- Reports: analytical challenges in, 71–81; change agenda and, 23; Purdue's Web-based system for, 41–56
- Reports, ISU: in decision-making process, 30; enrollment goals and, 26–27; in enrollment management background, 16, 17f, 20–21, 22f; uniformity of, 33–35
- Results, presentation of, 72
- Retention, student: longitudinal time dimension of, 106–109, 110f; methodological approach to, 109–116; simulation models of, 116–120; theories of, 105–106
- Riggs, R., 18, 23
- Rural institutions, 9
- Salary information, 49
- Sample sizes, 84
- SAS/IntrNet (software), 42, 43–44, 46, 50, 56
- SAT scores, 60
- Sauter, D., 17
- Scheduling, of focus groups, 7
- Scholarships, 19, 78
- School Corporation Day initiative, 26
- Schuster, J., 111
- Security, of data, 45, 47
- Serban, A., 102
- Seybert, J., 15, 30, 31, 35
- Shading, 78
- SHAPE attribute, 64, 65
- Sharepoint Designer (Microsoft software), 35
- Significance tests, 84

- Simple presentations, 74–78, 92
- Simulation model programs, 116–120
- Singh, M., 85
- Smith, B., 106
- Smyth, P., 84, 88, 102
- Snider, K.J.G., 2, 15, 124
- Social integration, 107*f*, 108, 109
- Software: for blog creation, 35; in competitor analysis, 10; for Purdue University's Web-based reporting system, 42, 43–44. *See also specific software*
- Soldner, L., 106
- Spin buttons, 80
- Sponsored Program Services (SPS), 45, 50
- Spreadsheet programs, 10, 45, 68, 80, 81*f*
- SQL statements, 48
- SRC attribute, 64
- Stakeholders: creating openness to change in, 24; strategic planning communication with, 6–8
- Status quo projection, 11
- Stop-out behaviors, 115–116
- Strategic planning: communication and, 6–8; competitor analysis in, 8–11; description of, 5; enrollment simulations in, 11–12
- Strategy sessions, 7–8
- Structural equation modeling, 109
- Structural simplicity, 92
- Student data, 34, 48–49
- Student departure, from institutions. *See* Attrition
- Student involvement, 106
- Student profiles, 119
- Students. *See specific types*
- Student-to-environment interactive retention theory, 106
- Subbaccalaureate institutions, 10
- Subjective knowledge, 102
- Sullivan, A., 108
- Surveys: in competitor analysis, 10; and ISU's enrollment goals, 26, 27*f*
- Survival analysis. *See* Event history modeling
- Sweden, 105
- Sycamore Advantage program, 35
- Synonyms, 72
- Tables: in BBN example, 94–98, 100; challenges regarding, 72; for dynamic information, 78–80; in High School Profile application, 60, 61*f*, 68; simplicity of, 75–78; types of information displayed in, 1; usefulness of, 74
- TARGET attribute, 64
- Tashakkori, A., 6
- Technological advancements, 83
- Technology. *See specific types*
- Teddle, C., 6
- Telecounseling site, 34–35
- Templates, 44
- Territoriality, 23
- Test installations, 44
- Threshold value, 91, 92
- Tinto, V., 106–109
- Transfer retention statistics, 19
- Trends, in data, 71
- Tufte, E., 73
- Tversky, A., 71
- Unduplicated head count data, 11
- Uniform distribution, 88
- U.S. Census Bureau, 11, 21, 60
- U.S. college entry rate, 105
- Unit-level reporting, 41
- Urban institutions, 9
- USEMAP attribute, 64
- User interface, 94–98
- User names, 50
- Validity, 102
- Variables: BBN advantages related to, 84–85, 92; BBN example and, 87–100; BBN foundation and, 86–87; presentation of, 73
- Vertical lines, in tables, 72
- Visual Basic (Microsoft software), 117
- Vocabulary choice, 72
- Voorhees, R. A., 1, 5, 6, 123
- Ward, J., 24, 39
- Warning systems, 28
- Web sites, of institutions: in competitor analysis, 9–10; for ISU's enrollment management, 20*f*, 21, 23*f*, 33–35
- Web-based reporting system. *See* Purdue University Office of Institutional Research Web-based reporting system
- Western, B., 85, 86, 102, 103
- Winkler, R., 86, 87, 88, 91
- WordPress MU 1.0 (software), 35
- Xu, Y. J., 2, 83, 125
- Zhang, R., 31
- Zhao, C., 102