

# INDEX

## A

Abilene Christian University, 174, 195  
Abrahamson, L., 4, 5  
Accessibility, 168  
Adobe PDF, 175  
Advanced Placement exams, 118  
Agile teaching, 3, 39–41; and  
    backchannel, 62; frequently asked  
    questions about, 47–61;  
    question-driven form of, 61–62; two  
    advanced techniques for, 61–62  
Allen, D., 95  
Anderson, C., 59  
Anderson, L. W., 74, 97, 129  
Angelo, T. A., 43–44  
Anonymity, 103, 122, 137, 138, 140, 158,  
    168, 169, 186, 189, 191, 192  
Aristotle, 8  
Assessment: formative, 39, 41, 43–47;  
    peer, 94–96; summative, 39, 41,  
    66–70; and uncovering student  
    learning, 39–62  
Atwood, Bruce, 85, 86, 120, 158  
Audience response systems, 4–5. *See also*  
    Classroom response system  
Augustine, Brian, 52, 116, 127, 201

## B

Backchannel, 62, 110. *See also under* Agile  
    teaching  
Background knowledge probes, 43–44  
Banks, D. A., 5  
Barnes, L. B., 33  
Barnett, J., 130  
Bartsch, Robert, 35, 89, 140  
Beatty, I. D., 3, 14, 39, 61, 91, 92, 125, 146  
Belenky, M. F., 93, 133  
Bell, A., 177

Beloit College, 85, 120, 158; Mathematics  
    Department, 86  
Bender, Holly, 18–20, 24, 79, 190  
Benzing, Thomas, 40, 41, 136, 201–202  
Blayne, P., 177, 186  
Bloom's taxonomy, 74, 86, 97, 129  
*Board of Education, Brown v.*, 42  
Bodie, G. D., 130  
Bombaro, C., 82  
Boscardin, C. K., xiv, 5  
Boston University, 17  
Bowler, Meagan, 95  
Bransford, J. D., 27, 41, 63, 106, 119  
Brickman, P., 33  
Briggs, L., 177  
Brooke, Corly, 47, 67, 100, 109, 198  
Brown, A. L., 41, 106, 119  
Brown, M. I., 39, 127  
*Brown v. Board of Education*, 42  
Bruff, D., 2, 112, 185  
Bunce, D. M., 61, 187  
Burke, Mary, 66, 114, 124, 125, 147

## C

Caldwell, J. E., 5  
*Canterbury Tales* (Chaucer), 87  
Cappellari, A., 118  
Carleton College, 118  
Caron, P. L., 200  
Case, S. M., 56, 72, 93  
Case studies: biological sciences, 10–11,  
    66; chemistry, 27–29; communication  
    studies, 6–8; environmental sciences,  
    40–41; health and physical education,  
    63–64; language instruction, 11–13,  
    17–18; mathematics, 36–37; physics,  
    15; psychology, 30–31; veterinary  
    medicine, 18–20  
Cell phones, 195–196

- Chaucer, G., 86
- Cheating, 36, 136, 138, 139, 149
- Cheung, S. L., 112, 195
- Choral response, 191–192
- Christensen, C. R., 33
- Civilization*, 92
- Class time: and biological sciences case study, 33; and mathematics case study, 36–37; reason to use clickers to structure, 34–35; structuring, 32–35
- Classroom choices, 151–157
- Classroom communication systems, 4–5
- Classroom experiments, 110–112; example of, 111–112
- Classroom response systems: and assessing students, 39–70; and creating times for telling, 27–32; engaging students with, 1–6; and generating classwide discussion, 6–14; and generating small-group discussion, 14–27; and making class more fun, 35–38; and structuring class time, 32–35; technical and logistical choices in, 161–196; technology for, 4–5
- Classwide discussions: and biological sciences case study, 10–11; and communication studies case study, 6–8; generating, 6–14; and language instruction case study, 11–13; reason to use clickers for, 8–13; strategies for leading, 13–14
- Cleary, A. M., 111
- Clicker questions: choice in types of, 171–172; effective grading schemes for, 145–150; grading, 140–145; and notification of correct choices, 156–157; sharing results of, 153–156; in small classes, 158–160; time allowed for answering, 150–153; where to find, 118–119
- Clicker questions, taxonomy, 71–112; and conceptual understanding questions, 75–86; and confidence level questions, 104–107; and content questions, 72–75; and critical thinking questions, 86–89; and free-response questions, 96–98; instructor improvement of, 126–127; and monitoring questions, 107–110; and multiple mark questions, 124; and on-the-fly questions, 126–127; and one-best-answer questions, 91–94; and prediction questions, 85–86; and procedural questions, 83–85; and process questions, 72, 98–112; and student perspective questions, 98–104
- Clickers: anonymity of, 103, 122, 137, 138, 140, 158, 168, 169, 186, 189, 191, 192; assessing students with, 39–70; and biological sciences case study, 66; cost of, 3; and creating times for telling, 29–31; engaging students with, 1–38; final suggestions for, 205–207; and frequent feedback on student learning, 202–204; and generating classwide discussions, 6–14; and generating small-group discussions, 14–15; ideas for summative assessment using, 66–70; and increased student engagement, 6–14, 199–202; and increased student participation, 197–199; supporting and promoting use of, 178–186; for uncovering student learning, 41–47; use of, in evaluating student learning, 64–66
- Clinchy, B. M., 93, 133
- Cline, K., 118
- “Cloaks of invisibility,” 10
- Cocking, R. R., 41, 106, 119
- “Cold-calling,” 160
- Columbia State Community College (Tennessee), 36
- Community College of Allegheny County (Pennsylvania), 63, 73; Health and Physical Education Department, 73
- ConcepTest, 78, 151
- Conceptual understanding questions, 75–80; example of, 75–76, 78–79; in quantitative disciplines, 77–80
- Confidence level questions, 104–107; grading system for, 105; and stacked bar chart showing how confident students are in answers to content question, 107
- Connected* (short film; Abilene Christian University), 172–175
- Content questions, 72, 107; and conceptual understanding questions,

75–86; and critical thinking questions, 86–96; and free-response questions, 96–98; and recall questions, 73–80  
 Contingent teaching, 39  
 Cornell University, 75; Department of Mathematics, 76  
 Cosby, Teresa, 42, 159  
 Cost factors, 166  
 Crawford, V. M., xiv, 5  
 Crider, Anthony, 16, 21, 49, 94, 148, 158, 204  
 Critical thinking questions, 86–96  
 Cross, P., 43–44  
 Crouch, C. H., 17, 114  
 Cullingsford, Elizabeth, 67, 86, 88, 198

**D**

Darwin, C., 76  
 Davies, I. K., 34  
*Day After Tomorrow, The* (movie), 44  
 Deal, A., 185  
 Delivery modes, 169–171  
 Desrochers, C., 130, 189, 191  
 Desrochers, Marcie, 189, 191  
 Dickinson College, 82  
 Disciplinary experts, 119  
 Dorsher, Michael, 6–10  
 Draper, S. W., 39, 127  
 Dripps, Weston, 44, 45, 187, 204  
 Duch, B., 95  
 Dufresne, R. J., 3, 14, 39, 61, 125, 146  
 Dunning, J. B., 130

**E**

Electronic voting systems, 4–5  
 Ellis, A. B., 118  
 Elmore, D., 130  
 Elon University (North Carolina), 16, 21, 49, 86, 94, 101, 148, 158, 201, 204  
*Engagement*, 6  
 Estrada-Belli, Francisco, 92, 93, 121  
 Exams, 68–69

**F**

Fagen, A. P., 17  
 Fassihi, Parvanak, 17, 18  
 Feedback, frequent, 202–204  
 Fies, C., 5  
 Fink, L. D., 18  
 Fitzpatrick, Brian, 160

Force Concept Inventory, 122  
 Formative assessment, 39; defined, 41; occasions for, using clickers, 43–47  
 Free-response questions, 96–98  
 Freeman, M., 177, 186  
 Fun, classroom: and mathematics case study, 36–37; using clickers to make classes, 37–38  
 Furman University, 42, 44, 159, 187, 204; Earth and Environment Sciences, 45

**G**

Gely, Rafael, 80, 81, 99, 100, 200, 203  
 Gerace, W. J., 3, 14, 39, 61, 125, 146  
 Ginns, P., 186  
 Glasgow University, 84  
 Goldberger, N. R., 93, 133  
 GoodQuestions Project (Cornell University Department of Mathematics), 75, 76  
 Grading, 130–157, 175–177  
 Graduate Record Examinations, 71  
 Graham, C. R., 130, 184  
 Green, P., 118  
 Gron, S., 95  
 Group response systems, 4–5

**H**

Hake, R. R., 190  
*Hamlet* (Shakespeare), 86–88  
 Hand-raising method, 186–187  
 Hansen, A. J., 33  
 Hardware, 166–167  
 Harkins, Charlene, 41, 109, 110, 129, 139, 163, 195, 197  
 Harris, A. H., 120  
 Hartley, J., 34  
 Harvard University, 14, 17; Department of Physics, 79  
 Havanki, K., 61, 187  
 Herreid, C. F., 33  
 Hessler, Kristen, 22, 81, 82, 141, 148, 149, 202  
 Hestenes, D., 122  
 High-tech options, 193–196; and cell phones, 195–196; and laptops, 193–195  
 Hill, Bill, 23, 110, 111, 116, 200  
 Hinde, K., 35, 112  
 Hoekstra, Angel, 49, 107  
 Holocaust, 95

Homan, S. R., 130  
 Homework quizzes, 68  
 HTML format, 175  
 Hunt, A., 35, 112  
 Hutson, Shane, 79

**I**

Iowa State University, 18, 24, 47, 67, 79,  
 100, 109, 183, 190, 198

**J**

Jackson, M. H., 130, 184  
 Jacobs, Dennis, 27–29, 54–55, 85, 104,  
 105, 147  
 James Madison University (Virginia),  
 11–13, 40, 52, 69, 79, 114, 116, 127,  
 136, 148, 201–203; Chemistry  
 Department, 80, 90  
*Jaws* (movie), 30  
 Jenkins, A., 84  
 Joeckel, G. L., 130, 184  
 Johnstone, Linda, 147  
 Joosten, T., 130  
 Judson, E., 4, 5, 39, 49, 61  
 Julius, J., 177

**K**

Kahneman, D., 111, 112  
 Kaleta, R., 130  
 Kalish, A., 34, 116  
 Kennesaw State University, 23, 110, 116,  
 200  
 Khichadia, S., 130  
 Klein, Stacy, 52, 68, 77, 120  
 Kline-Gabel, Karina, 11–13, 69, 70,  
 203  
 Knapp, Andrew, 189  
 Knight, A. B., 18, 130  
 Knight, Curtis, 129–130  
 Korn, J. H., 34  
 Krathwohl, D., 74, 96–97, 129

**L**

Laptops, 193–195  
 LCD. *See* Liquid crystal display (LCD)  
 Len, P. M., 21  
 Leonard, W. J., 3, 14, 39, 61, 125, 146  
 Levine, Philippa, 76, 99, 140,  
 198–199  
 Licensure exams, 71  
 Linux, 167–168

Liquid crystal display (LCD), 166–167,  
 169, 171  
 Lisensky, G. C., 118  
 List, Adam, 113, 132  
 Logan, Margaret, 84, 115–116, 148  
 “Long tail,” 59–60  
 Lorenz, J. K., 118  
 Low-tech options: and choral response,  
 191–192; and hand-raising method,  
 186–187; and response cards,  
 187–191; and written response,  
 192–193  
 Lucas, A., 24, 25, 84, 85, 115, 133  
 Lyman, F., 6

**M**

Mac OS (Apple), 167–168  
 MacGeorge, E. L., 130  
 Marshall, J., 5  
 Marshall, S., 195  
 Masyn, K., xiv, 5  
 Mazur, E., xi, 2, 14, 15, 17, 78, 79, 114  
 McClamrock, Ron, 45, 46, 92, 119, 137  
 McCoy, Meredith, 36  
 McKinney, K., 122  
 McTighe, J., 76  
 Meeker, K., 118  
 Mellow, J., 10, 135  
 Metacognition, 106  
 Michaelsen, L. K., 18  
 Microsoft Excel, 175  
 Microsoft PowerPoint, 164, 165, 168–171  
 Microsoft Windows, 167–168  
 Middendorf, J., 34, 116  
 Mill, John Stuart, 8  
 Monitoring questions, 107–110  
 Moore, D., 118  
 Mount Royal College, 67, 95  
 Multiple mark questions, 124; example  
 of, 125  
 Mulvaney, Matthew, 21, 97  
 Murphy, T. J., 129–130  
 Murphy-Boyer, L., 177

**N**

Nagy-Shadman, E., 130, 184  
*National Geographic* magazine, 94, 204  
 National Science Foundation, 129  
 Nelson, J. M., 189  
 Nuhfer, E., 106

**O**

Ohio State University College of  
Pharmacy, 91  
Oliver, M., 5  
On-the-fly questions, 126–127  
One-best-answer questions, 91  
Online test banks, 119  
Oregon State University, 66, 114, 124,  
125, 147

**P**

Palmeri, Thomas, 44, 106, 149, 150, 198  
Paluti, Lori, 63, 64, 73  
Parker, M., 118  
Participation, 130–157  
Peer assessment, 94–96  
Peer instruction (PI), 14–15; defined, 2;  
frequently asked questions about,  
20–27  
*Peer Instruction: A User's Manual* (Mazur),  
14–15  
Peer mentors, 181  
Penn State Berks, 21, 73, 115, 129, 157  
Penuel, W. R., xiv, 5  
Perry, W. G., 93, 133  
Personal response systems, 4–5  
PI. *See* Peer instruction (PI)  
Pollock, S., 15, 78, 118, 123  
Prediction questions, 85–86  
Prisoner's Dilemma, 112  
Procedural questions, 83–85  
Process questions, 72, 98–112; and  
classroom experiments, 110–112; and  
confidence level questions, 104–107;  
and monitoring questions, 107–110;  
and student perspective questions,  
98–104

**Q**

Quantitative disciplines, 77–80  
Question-driven instruction, 61–62. *See*  
*also* Agile teaching

**R**

Rawls, John, 7  
Reading quizzes, 67  
Recording options, 175–177  
Registration methods, 168–169  
Reisner, Barbara, 79, 80, 90, 114, 148  
Response cards, 187–191  
Result displays, 172–175

Rich, Adam, 10–11, 187, 198  
Richman, Michael, 129–130  
Roschelle, J., 5  
Ross, Edna, 25, 30–31, 115, 139

**S**

Saint Mary's College (California), 24, 84,  
115, 133; Mathematics Department,  
85  
Sawada, D., 4, 5, 39, 49, 61  
Schwartz, D. L., 27  
Science Education Resource Center, 118  
Scornavacca, E., 195  
Seawright, L., 130, 184  
Shakespeare, W., 87–88  
Shibley, Ivan, 21, 73, 115, 129, 157  
Shulman, Lee, 10  
Simpson, V., 5  
Slater, T., 163, 188  
Small-group discussions: generating,  
14–15; and language instruction case  
study, 17–18; and physics case study,  
15; reason to use clickers for, 16–20;  
and veterinary medicine case study,  
18–20  
Smith, M. K., 129, 177  
Smith, Shelley, 129  
Socratic method, 160, 200  
Software, 167–168  
State University of New York, Albany, 22,  
45, 81, 92, 119, 137, 141, 148, 202;  
Philosophy Department, 46, 82, 92  
State University of New York College at  
Brockport, 10–11, 21, 115–116, 148,  
187, 189, 191, 198; Chemistry  
Department, 84; Department of  
Psychology, 97  
Stowell, J. R., 189  
Street, Kori, 67–68, 95, 96  
Stuart, S.A.J., 8, 91, 92, 127  
Student engagement, increased, 199–202  
Student learning, evaluating, 63–70; and  
health and physical education case  
study, 63–64; and increased feedback,  
202–204; reason to use clickers for,  
64–66  
Student learning, uncovering, 39–62;  
and environmental sciences case  
study, 40–41; reason to use clickers  
for, 41–47

Student participation, increased, 197–199

Student perspective questions, 98–104

Student response, teaching choices regarding, 130–157; example, 134

Student response systems, 4–5. *See also* Classroom response systems

Summative assessment, 39; ideas for, using clickers, 66–70

Swackhamer, G., 122

Swanson, D. B., 56, 72, 93

Syllabus reminder question, 133

## T

Tapler, Amanda, 86, 101, 102, 201

Tarule, J. M., 93, 133

Teaching choices, 113–160; and classroom choices, 151–157; and high-tech options, 193–196; and low-tech options, 186–193; and small classes, 158–160; and student response, participation, and grading, 130–157; and use of class time, 113–117; and writing questions, 118–130

Technical and logistical choices, 161–196; and supporting and promoting use of clickers, 178–186; and technical problems, 161–165; and vendor selection and adoption, 165–178

Terrell, M., 76

Terry, Robert, 129–130

Times for telling; and chemistry case study, 27–29; creating, 27–32; and psychology case study, 30–31; reason to use clickers to create, 29–31; strategies for creating, 31–32

Trees, A. R., 130, 184

Tripp, T. R., 130, 184

Tulenko, J. D., 135

Tversky, A., 111, 112

Twetten, J., 177

## U

Ultimatum game, 112

Unabomber, 6–7

University of Cincinnati College of Law, 80, 81, 99, 200, 203

University of Colorado, Boulder, 15, 49, 78, 107, 123

University of Houston, Clear Lake, 35, 89, 140

University of Louisville, 25, 30–31, 115, 139

University of Minnesota, Duluth, 41, 109, 129, 139, 163, 197

University of Notre Dame, 27, 54–55, 85, 104, 105, 147

University of Oklahoma, 129

University of South Carolina, Aiken, 147

University of Southern California, 76, 140, 198–199; Evolution Debates, 99

University of Texas, Austin, 67, 86, 198; English Department, 88

University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire, 6

U.S. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 169

## V

VandenPlas, J., 61, 187

Vanderbilt University, 2, 52, 68, 77, 79, 92, 106, 112, 113, 120, 132, 149, 198; Department of Psychology, 44; School of Law, 160

Vendor selection and adoption, 165–178; and accessibility, 168; and choice in question types, 171–172; and cost factors, 166; and delivery modes, 169–171; and hardware factors, 166–167; and recording and grading options, 175–177; and registration methods, 168–169; and result displays, 172–175; software factors in, 167–168

## W

Walch, Resa, 101, 102, 201

Wang, Yaoling, 183

*Washington Post*, 6–7

Wells, M., 122

Wiggins, G., 76

Wilson, K., 34

Workshops, 184

Written response, 192–193

## Z

Zhu, E., 185

Zullo, H., 118







