

## INDEX

### A

- Abell Foundation, 11, 26
- Abraham, A., 10, 27, 317
- Academic advising, 88. *See also*
- Advisers, community college;
  - Counselors; Information sources
- Academic Index (University of California, Davis), 39–40
- Academic Index (University of Texas, Austin), 87
- Access: community colleges and, 255–257, 264; in Georgia, 188, 189–191; in Maryland, 227–228; to opportunities to learn in high school, 167–169; redefining, to include college success, 19, 20, 308–309
- Accountability systems, for K–16 collaboration, 7, 291, 307, 308–309, 313
- Accountability-oriented reform, 5, 7, 44; in California, 31, 44; in Georgia, 187; in Maryland, 230; senior year problem and, 302. *See also* Reform efforts
- Accreditation requirements, 314
- ACCUPLACER, 267
- Accuplacer/CPT, 85
- Achievement gap, 13–14, 291
- ACT, 10, 11, 15, 17, 27, 82, 287, 289, 312
- ACT Asset test for English placement, 227
- ACT/COMPASS, 121, 267
- ACT Explore, 22
- ACT preparation courses, 139, 140, 141
- ACT requirements: in California, 42; in Illinois, 117, 118, 120, 121; in Maryland, 228; in Oregon, 156; of selective institutions, 3; in Texas, 84, 85, 90
- ACT scores: in Illinois, 121, 122, 123, 126; in Maryland, 222; in Texas, 79, 82, 90
- Adelman, C., 3, 5, 8–9, 11, 27, 300, 305, 308, 317, 318
- Admissions policies and processes: affirmative action changes in, 6, 31, 33–34, 40–41, 80–81, 298; of California institutions, 34, 36–44, 67–71; changes in U.S., 6; of community colleges, 265–266, 282*n*.6; of Georgia institutions, 184–185, 188–194, 205–206, 218*n*.11; of Illinois institutions, 117–118, 120–121, 122–123; K–12 disconnect from, 286–289; of Maryland institutions, 225, 226–229, 236–239, 250; of Oregon institutions, 155–159; recommendations for changing, 311–313; special, 122–123, 185; of Texas institutions, 80–81, 84–92, 103–104, 108–109. *See also* Selection criteria
- Admissions requirements, parent knowledge of, 71–72, 74, 176–177
- Admissions requirements, student knowledge of: in California study,

- Admissions requirements (*continued*)  
 58–64, 67–71, 73; in Georgia study, 205–206, 213; by grade, 59–61, 67–69; of honors versus nonhonors students, 63–64, 70, 105–106, 134–135; in Illinois study, 134–139, 147–148; by key agent use, 64, 70–71; in Maryland study, 236–241; in Oregon study, 174–176; by race, 61–63, 69; by school performance, 63, 70; in Texas study, 81, 105–106. *See also* *College knowledge headings*; Curriculum requirements, student knowledge of Admissions standards alignment and misalignment: with high school assessments, 16, 117–118, 151–159, 228–229, 250–251, 275, 286–292, 305, 312–313; with high school curriculum, 16, 192–193; with high school exit exams, 15, 16, 109, 288; with high school graduation requirements, 228–229. *See also* Alignment, secondary-postsecondary assessment; Alignment, standards
- Adult Learner Program, 121
- Adult students, 8, 281
- Advanced Placement (AP) assessments, 10, 37, 41, 156
- Advanced Placement (AP) courses:  
 access to, in Oregon high schools, 168; bias of, 34; teachers' college knowledge in, 143–144; weighting of, 311
- Advisers, community college, 270–271
- Affirmative action, in Maryland, 237, 248
- Affirmative action prohibitions:  
 in California, 31, 33–34, 40–41, 298; in Texas, 77, 80–81, 86; trend toward, 6
- Affordability, 5, 128, 295, 297
- African American high school students: in California study, 45–46, 50, 51, 61–63, 65, 66; college knowledge of, 56, 61–63, 65, 66; in college preparatory tracks, 9, 300; inadequate preparation of, 9, 14; postsecondary aspirations of, 50, 51; in Texas, 80, 82. *See also* Minority students; Students of color
- African American postsecondary students, achievement gap and, 12–13
- Algebra II, 9, 300
- Alignment, secondary-postsecondary assessment, 15–16; in California, 38–39, 43–44; community colleges and, 275; in Illinois, 117–118; intrastate, 228, 230, 235; K–16 disconnect and, 286–289, 303, 305–306; in Maryland, 228–229, 249–250; in Oregon, 156–159; recommendations for, 309–310, 311–313; in Texas, 109–110. *See also* Admissions standards alignment and misalignment; Policy alignment and misalignment
- Alignment, standards: in California, 38–39, 43–44; in Georgia, 192–194; in Maryland, 228–229; recommendations for, 313–314; in Texas, 108–109. *See also* Admissions standards alignment and misalignment; Policy alignment and misalignment
- Allen, J., 158
- Ambition, student. *See* Aspirations, students' postsecondary
- American Association of Community Colleges (AACCC), 255, 283
- American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 34, 75
- American College Test. *See* ACT *headings*

- American College Testing Program (ACTP), 113
- American Council on Education (ACE), 2, 27, 259
- Angelis, J., 120
- Anthony, A., 319
- Antonio, A. L., 31, 285, 311
- Application preparation, parent responsibility for, 140–141
- Articulation arrangements, 307; in California, 44, 273–274; community college, 273–274, 275, 279; in Maryland, 274
- Asian American students, in California study, 45, 56, 61–63, 65, 66; college knowledge of, 56, 61–63, 65, 66; postsecondary aspirations of, 50, 51. *See also* Minority students
- Aspirations, students' postsecondary, 1, 2, 7; achievement gap and, 13–14; in California, 45, 49–55, 72; completion rates compared to, 12–13; factors in, 293; in Georgia, 202–205; by grade, 49–50; by grade point average, 50, 52; of honors versus nonhonors students, 53, 127, 128–129, 202, 203–204, 233, 293; in Illinois, 126–132; by institutional selectivity, 72; by key agent use, 54; in Maryland, 232–236; opportunity to learn and, 167–169; in Oregon, 165–169; parental influence on, 127–128, 130, 235, 245; of parents, 130–131, 167; racial differences in, 50, 51, 233; by school performance, 52–53; by socioeconomic status, 50, 127, 128, 129–131, 166, 202–203, 237; teachers', 167; teachers', students' perceptions of, 99–100; teachers' beliefs about, 127–129; in Texas, 97–99; for transfer from community college, 258–259, 273, 281. *See also* Community college aspirations
- Assessments, postsecondary: in California, 36–38; in Maryland, 223; in Texas, 84–86. *See also* Admissions policies and processes; Placement exams
- Assessments, secondary school: in California, 35–36, 38–39; confusing or overwhelming array of, 10, 109–110, 267–268, 286–289; contextualized, 288; in Georgia, 184, 186–188; in Illinois, 117–118; in Maryland, 224; in Oregon, 151, 169; proficiency-based, 151–159, 187; proficiency-based reform policies and, 151–159, 162–165; standardized, 186–188; standardized versus proficiency, 151, 153, 169; standards-based reform policies and, 5, 44, 184, 286–289, 292–293; in Texas, 81–82, 95, 109–110
- ASSET placement exam, 85
- Associate's degree completion rates, 12, 273–275
- Associate's degrees, 25*n*.4
- Associate of arts Oregon transfer degree (AA-OT), 274
- Astin, A., 17
- Atkinson, R., 37
- Averianova, I., 256–257, 283
- Avoidance techniques, counselors', 14

## B

- Bach, 275
- Bachelor's degree completion rates: of community college students, 2; community college students and, 2, 7; racial differences in, 13; statistics for, 1, 12–13. *See also* Completion rates; Degree completion

- Bailey, T., 255, 256–257, 259, 260, 283
- Baltimore, Maryland, community  
college research in, 254, 261–262
- Baltimore City Community College  
(BCCC), 10–11
- Barnes, R., 183
- Barton, P., 1, 27, 309, 318
- Bates, C., 41
- Berdahl, R., 221, 250
- Bersola, S. H., 31
- Bishop, J. H., 4, 6, 20, 27, 303, 318
- Blended institutions, 311
- Boylan, H. R., 85, 108, 113
- Bracco, K. R., 1, 116, 118, 119, 150,  
192, 219, 256, 283
- Bridge Project: overview and  
background of, 4–5, 24; reform  
recommendations of, 306–317;  
states in, 24
- Bridge Project Community College  
Research Study, 260–282
- Bridge Project conceptual framework,  
4–5, 285; flow model of, 4, 20–24,  
303–305; research findings con-  
nected to, 303–306; signaling  
theory and, 19–24, 303–306
- Bridge Project research methodology,  
24; for California study, 45; for  
community college study, 253–254;  
for Illinois study, 124–125; for  
Maryland study, 231–232; for  
Oregon study, 159–160; for Texas  
study, 78, 92–93, 111*n*.1
- Bridge Project research samples: in  
California, 45–49; in community  
college study, 254, 260–263; in  
Georgia, 197–198; in Illinois,  
124–126; in Maryland, 231–232;  
in Oregon, 159–160; in Texas,  
92–95. *See also* High schools,  
case study
- Broad-access institutions, 2–3.  
*See also* Community colleges;  
Open enrollment institutions
- Brooks, A. P., 82, 113
- Brown, D., 79
- Bueschel, A. C., 151, 252
- Bugler, D. T., 219
- Burd, S., 27
- Burkum, K., 10, 27
- Business Week*, 2, 27

## C

- Cabrera, A. E., 10, 27
- California: admissions policies and  
requirements in, 34, 36–44, 67–71;  
anti-affirmative action law in,  
33–34, 40, 298; assessment align-  
ment and misalignment in, 38–39,  
43–44, 287–288; challenges in,  
overview, 31–32; class size reduc-  
tion in, 5; community colleges in,  
32, 49, 55, 260–261; coordinating  
body in, 31; curricular require-  
ments of, 289, 290; intersegmental  
bodies and collaborative efforts in,  
33, 292; K–12 standards of, 31,  
33, 34–35; K–16 disconnects in,  
38–39, 43–44, 289, 290; K–16  
policymakers in, 18, 292; Master  
Plan of, 32–33, 260–261, 273–274;  
placement processes and policies  
in, 37–44; policy recommendations  
for, 74; postsecondary assessments  
in, 36–39; postsecondary gover-  
nance structures in, 32–33; public  
postsecondary education systems  
in, 32–44, 260–261; reform efforts  
in, 31, 34–35, 44; remediation con-  
cerns in, 42–43; secondary school  
assessments of, 35–36, 38–39;  
three-tiered postsecondary system  
of, 31, 260. *See also* Community  
college(s), California
- California case study research: on  
admission selection criteria and  
student knowledge, 67–71, 73;

- analyses in, 49; on aspirations, 49–55, 72; on curricular requirements and student knowledge, 58–64, 73, 296; high school profiles in, 46–49; on key agent use or information sources, 54, 58, 64, 65, 67, 70–71; methodology of, 45; on parent knowledge, 71–72, 73–74; on placement exams and student knowledge, 64–67, 73; policy recommendations of, 74; on student college knowledge, 55–71, 72–74; summary of, 72–74; on tuition and student knowledge, 55–58, 72–73
- California Community Colleges (CCC): governance structure of, 32; open enrollment of, 32; overview of, 260–261; student aspirations to attend, 49–55; tuition of, 55. *See also* Community college(s), California; Cosumnes River College; Sacramento City College
- California Education Round Table, 31, 33, 75
- California High School Exit Examination, 35, 36, 288
- California Legislative Analysts Office, 34, 75
- California Postsecondary Education Commission, 31, 32, 75
- California Proposition 209, 6, 31, 33–34, 40
- California Senate: Bill 2, 36; Bill 376, 36
- California Standards Test, 23
- California State Board of Education, 34, 35, 36
- California State Department of Education, 35–36, 75
- California State University, Long Beach, 38
- California State University, Sacramento (CSUS), 39; admissions and placement policies at, 42–43, 58–64, 67–71; community college collaboration of, 276; parent knowledge of, 71–72; placement exams at, 64–67; student knowledge of, 45–74, 296; tuition of, 55
- California State University (CSU) Board of Trustees, 26*n.*10, 32–33
- California State University (CSU) System, 23, 27; admissions requirements of, 37, 42, 67–71; charge of, 32; community college transfer to, 273–274; enrollment of, 32; governance structure of, 32–33; placement exams and policies of, 37–38, 43; remediation concerns of, 42–43; student aspirations to attend, 49–55
- Callan, P. M., 7, 29, 116, 118, 119, 150, 192, 219
- Cameron, J., 27
- Campus visits, 104, 139, 140, 141, 212–213, 294
- Carnegie Foundation for Advancement of Teaching, 27
- Carroll, C. D., 28
- Carstensen, D., 23, 28
- Case study descriptions. *See* Community college research; High schools, case study
- Castaneda et al. *vs.* The Regents of the University of California, 34, 75
- Central Texas Pilot study, 78. *See also* Texas; Texas case study research
- Certificate completion rates, 12–13
- Certificate of Advanced Mastery (CAM), 152–153, 154–155; effects of, 179–180; knowledge and perceptions of, 163–164; Oregon University admissions criteria and, 155; PASS alignment with, 156–157, 158; Portland State University admissions criteria and, 158

- Certificate of Initial Mastery (CIM), 152–154; effects of, 179, 288; knowledge and perceptions of, 162–163; Oregon University admissions criteria and, 155; PASS alignment with, 156–157, 158; Portland State University admissions criteria and, 158
- Choy, S. P., 5, 11, 28, 259, 283
- City University of New York, 26*n*.10
- Class rank: calculation of, 118, 311–312; as Illinois admissions criterion, 118, 121, 122, 138
- Class size reduction, 5
- Code of Maryland Regulations, 221
- Cohn, C., 288, 318
- College activity participation, of high school students: factors in, 293, 294; in Georgia, 212–213; in Illinois, 139–140, 141, 145; in Maryland, 247–248; in Oregon, 173, 174; in Texas, 104
- College Board, 37, 82, 85, 90, 91, 113, 289, 316
- College fairs, 104
- College knowledge, counselor: of community colleges, 282*n*.5; in Illinois study, 142–143; in Texas study, 101–103, 110
- College knowledge, parent, 293; in California study, 71–72; in Illinois study, 133–134, 144–145; in Oregon study, 169–178
- College knowledge, student: in California study, 45–46, 55–71; factors in, 18, 296–298; in Georgia study, 198–214; in Illinois study, 132–147; importance of, 17, 18, 20, 22; inequities in, 23–24; in Maryland study, 236–241, 248–249; myths in, 294–295; in Oregon study, 169–178; signals and, 20–24, 38–39, 303–306; socioeconomic status and, 3, 15, 22; of state-level reforms, 298; student misperceptions and, 16–17, 258, 294–295; summary of, 294–298; in Texas study, 105–108, 110. *See also* Admissions requirements, student knowledge of; Placement exams, student knowledge of; Tuition costs, student knowledge of
- College knowledge, teacher: in Georgia study, 213, 215–216; in Illinois study, 143–144; improving, 216; in Maryland study, 243–245, 249; in Oregon study, 174; summary of, 298–299
- College navigators, 315–316
- College night, 140, 141, 294
- College recruiters, 136–137, 145–146
- College-entry rates, 2
- College-talk exposure, 171–173. *See also* College knowledge, student; Information sources
- Collegiate Opportunities Admission Program (COAP), 121
- Commission on the Skills of the American Workforce, 152
- Communication, 3, 309. *See also* College knowledge headings; Information sources; Outreach
- Communication mechanisms, K–16: in Georgia, 183–184, 194–196, 212–213, 214–217; in Illinois, 116, 146–147, 148–149. *See also* K–16 collaboration; Policy mechanisms
- Community college(s): admission and enrollment policies of, 185, 265–266, 282*n*.6; adviser's role in, 270–271; communication and outreach by, 148–149, 265–266; degree completion rates for, 259–260; faculty of, 255, 279, 281; future research areas for, 280–282; in Georgia, 185, 188; high school students' attitudes

- toward, 233, 234–235, 279–280;  
 history of, 254–255; K–12  
 reforms and, 275, 279, 280; K–16  
 collaboration of, 275–278, 280;  
 open-access mission of, 256–257,  
 264, 271, 278; overview of U.S.,  
 254–260; placement tests and  
 policies of, 267–269, 297; remedia-  
 tion in, 257–258, 271–273; role of,  
 in high school-college transition,  
 252–282; standards of, 289;  
 students' reasons for attending,  
 264–265; transfer from, rates and  
 standards, 258–259, 273–275,  
 281, 289; tuition and financial aid  
 at, 266–267; tuition of, 133, 177,  
 239, 240, 266–267
- Community college(s), California, 32,  
 49, 55; admissions and enrollment  
 policies of, 265–266; case study  
 sites, 254, 261; placement tests at,  
 268, 269; remediation in, 271;  
 system overview of, 260–261;  
 transfers from, 273–274; tuition of,  
 266. *See also* California Commu-  
 nity Colleges; Cosumnes River  
 College; Sacramento City College
- Community college(s), Maryland,  
 10–11; admissions and enrollment  
 policies of, 266; case study sites,  
 262; high school student percep-  
 tions of, 233, 234–235; placement  
 tests at, 267, 268; remediation in,  
 271–272; system overview of, 261;  
 transfers from, 274; tuition of, 266.  
*See also* Community College of  
 Baltimore County; Montgomery  
 College, Rockville
- Community college(s), Oregon:  
 admissions and enrollment policies  
 of, 265; case study sites, 254, 263;  
 placement tests at, 268, 269; reme-  
 diation in, 272; student attitudes  
 toward, 279–280; system overview  
 of, 262–263; transfers from, 274;  
 tuition and financial aid of, 177,  
 266–267. *See also* Mt. Hood  
 Community College; Portland  
 Community College
- Community college aspirations, 279,  
 293; in California study, 50, 52;  
 in Illinois study, 129, 130–131; in  
 Maryland study, 233, 234–235; in  
 Oregon study, 166; of parents for  
 children, 130–131
- Community College of Baltimore  
 County, Catonsville (CCBC), 254;  
 enrollment and demographics of,  
 262; remediation at, 271–272
- Community college research: case  
 study sites and participants in, 254,  
 260–263; key case study findings  
 of, 263–280; methodology of,  
 253–254
- Community college students:  
 inadequate preparation of  
 incoming, 23–24, 257–259,  
 268–273, 278–279, 297; motiva-  
 tions of, 264–265, 279–280;  
 overview of U.S., 255–256;  
 percentage of, who aspire for  
 bachelor's degree, 7; percentage  
 of, who obtain bachelor's degree,  
 2; persistence of, 259–260,  
 274–275; transfer aspirations of,  
 258–259, 273
- Community College Survey of Student  
 Engagement, 258, 259, 283
- COMPASS, 121, 267
- Completion problem, 1–3, 8–14;  
 factors in, 4–5, 8–14, 259–260,  
 308–309; federal role in solving,  
 314–316; K–16 disconnect and,  
 14–19, 303
- Completion rates, 1–3, 259–260,  
 274–275. *See also* Bachelor's  
 degree completion rates; Degree  
 completion

- Computer use, at home, 139, 140, 141
- Confederation of Oregon School Administrators, 156, 181
- Conley, D. T., 155, 315, 318
- Consistency, signal, 20. *See also* Signals
- Cooley, 258
- Cooperative Institutional Research Program, 17, 28
- Costrell, R. M., 4, 20, 28, 303, 318
- Costs, remediation, 43
- Costs, tuition. *See* Tuition headings
- Cosumnes River College (CRC), 254; enrollment and demographics of, 261; K-16 collaboration of, 276-277; remediation at, 271; transfers from, 274
- Counselor knowledge, of college policies and processes. *See* College knowledge, counselor
- Counselors: avoidance techniques of, 14; perceptions of, of Georgia HOPE Scholarship, 200-201; perceptions of, of Oregon K-16 assessments and reforms, 164-165; time constraints and responsibilities of, 14, 101, 102, 141-142, 148, 169-171, 210-211, 213-214, 247, 301
- Counselors, as information sources or college advisers, 258; in California study, 54; in Georgia study, 207, 209-212, 213-214; in Illinois study, 136-137, 141-143; in Maryland study, 241-243, 245-247, 249; in Oregon study, 169-171; summary of, 298-299; in Texas study, 101-102; for underrepresented and economically disadvantaged students, 14. *See also* College knowledge, counselor
- Court rulings, anti-affirmative action, 6, 33-34, 40, 80-81
- Credits, high school, trend toward increased, 8
- Criterion-referenced competency tests, 186-187
- Culture, 22
- Curriculum, high school: disconnection of, with postsecondary standards, 289-290; as predictor of postsecondary success, 8-10, 295, 300; student misperceptions of importance of, 15, 295; in Texas, 82-83
- Curriculum reform: in Georgia, 186-188; recommendations for, 313-314
- Curriculum requirements, student knowledge of: in California study, 58-64, 71-72, 73, 296; in Georgia, 206; in Illinois study, 134-135; in Maryland study, 237-238, 296; in Oregon study, 176-177; summary of, 296-297; in Texas study, 296-297. *See also* Admissions headings; Selection criteria

## D

- Data systems, K-16, 291, 307, 311, 316
- Deci, E. L., 20, 28
- Degree completion: community college, 259-260, 274-275; high school curriculum as predictor of, 8-10; time to, 12. *See also* Bachelor's degree completion rates; Completion rates
- Degree completion problem: achievement gap and, 13-14; community college, 259-260; first-to-second year persistence and, 11-12; K-16 disconnect and, 14-19, 303, 305-306, 308-309; overview of, 1-3, 11-13. *See also* Completion problem

- Del Genio, B., 314, 318
- Demographics, student: in California, 45–46; community college, 255–256, 260–264, 278; in Georgia, 189, 196–197; in honors classes, 45; in Illinois, 125–126; in Maryland, 231–232; in Oregon, 160–161; in Texas, 79–80, 86, 90, 92–95; trends in, 6. *See also* Diversity; Economically disadvantaged students; Minority students; Racial differences and composition; Socioeconomic status; Students of color
- Descriptive Math Series, 91
- Distance education, 227
- Distinguished Graduation Program, 82–83, 106, 109
- Diversity: in California study, 45–46; in Georgia, 189; in Maryland study, 231–232; population trends toward, 6; in Texas, 79–80, 90. *See also* Demographics, student; Minority students
- Dornbusch, S. M., 110, 113
- Dropout rates, high school: in Illinois, 126; in Maryland, 232; in Texas, 77, 79
- Dual-enrollment programs, 276–278, 311, 314
- E**
- Early admissions: to community colleges, 276–278; in Illinois, 121; in Maryland, 225
- Early Admit Program, 121
- Eberhart, N., 18, 30
- Economic development, state, 184
- Economic returns, of postsecondary education, 2
- Economically disadvantaged students: access barriers of, 25*n*.7, 300; achievement gap and, 13–14; EOP status and, 122–123; Georgia HOPE Scholarship and, 200–202, 216–217; outreach to, 89, 222–223; remediation and completion rates of, 2; signal delivery and, 20, 22, 305; in Texas, 80, 89, 96; tracking and, 110. *See also* Socioeconomic status
- Ed Source, 35, 75
- Education for the 21st Century, 115–116
- Education Testing Service (ETS), 1
- Education Trust, 2, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 28, 289, 291, 318
- Educational Opportunities Project (EOP) admissions, 122–123
- Elk Grove Unified School District, 276–277
- English as a Second Language (ESL), 271, 276
- English placement exams, 288–289; in California, 37–38, 43, 64–67, 296; in Illinois, 121–122; in Maryland, 227, 229; student knowledge of, 64–67
- English Placement Test (EPT), 38, 43, 64
- English remediation, 271–272
- English requirements, for college admission: student and parent knowledge of, in Illinois, 134–135; student knowledge of, in California, 58–64
- English standards, in California, 33
- Enrollment levels: completion rates compared with, 12–13; U.S. trends in, 6
- Enrollment policies, community college, 265–266
- Entry Level Mathematics Examination (ELM), 38, 43, 64
- Erlich, T., 313, 319
- Exit exams. *See* High school exit exams

Exposure activities. *See* College activity participation, of high school students  
 Extrinsic motivation, 19. *See also* Incentives; Motivation, student

## F

Faculty, community college, 255, 279, 281  
 Family obligations, 5, 190  
 Federal role, 314–316  
 Feedback reports, college: in Illinois, 119; in Maryland, 222, 244–245; recommendations for, 312  
 Financial aid: college information on, 146; community college, 266–267; decrease in federal, 25*n*.7; federal role and, 314–315; myths about, 295. *See also* HOPE Scholarship Program  
 Finn, J., 5, 29  
 Finney, J., 116, 118, 119, 150, 192, 219  
 First-generation college students, 5, 236; achievement gap and, 13; in community colleges, 255–256, 280  
 First-grade readiness, 187  
 Flanagan, A., 82, 114  
 Florida, 19, 26*n*.9  
 Focus groups: in California study, 45; in community college study, 253–254; in Illinois study, 125; in Maryland study, 232; in Oregon study, 159; in Texas study, 78, 92  
 Ford Foundation, 316  
 “Freeway fliers,” 281  
 Freshman Index (FI), Georgia, 184–185, 188, 190  
 Friends, as information sources: in Illinois study, 136–137; in Maryland study, 242; in Oregon study, 171–172

Fuhrman, S. A., 19  
 Funding: based on student seat time, 6; community college enrollment cap disincentive of, 265–266; federal, 314–316; for preparation and completion outcomes, 314–316

## G

Galotti, K. M., 111, 113  
 Gear Up, 25*n*.7, 315  
 Gender differences: in aspirations, 127, 131; in honors classes, 45; in information sources, 137  
 General Assembly scholarship recipients, 122  
 General Graduation Program, 82–83, 109  
 Georgia: admissions requirements and policies in, 184–185, 188–194; barriers to a seamless system in, 192–194; communication and coordination bodies in, 194–196; K–12 assessment and curricular reforms in, 184, 186–187; K–16 policy and coordination bodies in, 19, 183–184, 194–196, 292; K–16 reform efforts in, 183–196, 214–217; organizational context of, 185–196; policy recommendations for, 214–217; postsecondary government structures in, 188; postsecondary options in, 187–191; pre-K education in, 184, 186; public education structures of, 184, 186, 188. *See also* HOPE Scholarship Program  
 Georgia Board of Regents, 187, 194  
 Georgia case study research, 185; on admissions requirements knowledge, 205–206; on aspirations, 202–205; case study high schools in, 197–198; on college knowledge,

- 198–214; on HOPE Scholarship knowledge and perceptions, 198–205; on information sources for college knowledge, 207, 209–212; recommendations of, for improving college knowledge, 212–213
- Georgia Department of Education (DOE), 183, 184, 185, 194
- Georgia Department of Technical and Adult Education (DTAE), 184, 187, 191–192, 194, 198, 218*n.7*
- Georgia Education Reform Commission, 183–184
- Georgia High School Graduation Tests (GHSGT), 187–188
- Georgia Kindergarten Assessment, 187
- Georgia Office of School Readiness (OSR), 183, 185–186, 194
- Georgia P–16 councils, 184, 192, 194–196, 214, 215, 292
- Georgia Senate Bill 11 (School Law Code 20-2-281), 186
- Georgia State Board of Education, 186
- Georgia State University, Department of Early Childhood Education, 186
- Georgia Student Finance Commission, 184, 216
- Gifted and talented (GT) students, information sources for, 105
- Golden State Exam (GSE), 35–36
- Golden State Seal Merit Diploma, 36
- Governance structures, postsecondary: in California, 32–33; in Georgia, 187–188; in Illinois, 118–119; in Maryland, 221; in Texas, 83–84
- Government mechanisms, K–16, 292
- Grade inflation, 17, 118, 193, 216
- Grade point average (GPA): calculation of, 193–194, 311–312; in California study, 50, 52; in Georgia, 193–194; in Maryland study, 235; postsecondary aspirations and, 50, 51; in Texas institutions, 86
- Grade point average (GPA) requirements: in California, 39, 40, 42, 67–71; in Georgia, 184–185, 188, 206; in Illinois, 118; in Texas, 87, 88, 90
- Grissmer, D., 82, 114
- Grubb, W. N., 2, 28, 256, 283
- Gumpert, 317
- ## H
- Hamilton, L., 44, 75
- Harman, P., 5, 29
- Haycock, K., 313, 318
- Heller, D. E., 202, 216, 219
- Henry, G. T., 20, 22, 23, 28, 201, 219, 303, 318
- Hershey, A., 313, 318
- High school course-taking patterns, 8–10, 311
- High school exit exams, 10; admission or placement standards and, 15, 16, 288, 309–310; in California, 36, 288; in Georgia, 187–188; in Texas, 81–82, 84–86, 109
- High school performance: admission criteria knowledge and, 70; in California study, 52–54, 56–57, 63, 70; curricular requirements knowledge and, 63; impact of HOPE Scholarship on, 199–200; misperceptions of importance of, 16–17, 295; postsecondary aspirations and, 52–53; tuition knowledge and, 56–57
- High schools, case study: in California project, 46–49; in Georgia project, 196–198; in Illinois project, 125–126; in Maryland project, 231–232; in Oregon project, 159–161; in Texas project, 92, 93, 95–97
- Historically black colleges and universities (HBCUs), 193–194, 233, 314

- Hodgkinson, H., 2, 28
- Hoff, P., 43, 75
- Hoffman, A., 158
- Honors classes: access to, in Oregon  
high schools, 168; in California,  
45; college preparation in,  
100–101; students' understanding  
of, in terms of preparation, 174; in  
Texas, 98–99, 100–101; weighting  
of, 39, 193, 311
- Honors students: admissions require-  
ments knowledge of, versus non-  
honors students, 63–64, 70,  
105–106, 134–135; aspirations  
of, versus nonhonors students, 53,  
127, 128–129, 130, 202, 203–204,  
233, 293; college-related activities  
of, versus nonhonors students,  
139–140, 173, 174, 293; curricular  
requirements knowledge of, versus  
nonhonors students, 63–64,  
134–135; information and prepara-  
tion advantage of, 110–111,  
300–302; information sources of,  
versus nonhonors students, 104,  
105, 110, 136–137, 144, 171, 209,  
294; out-of-state aspirations of,  
130, 131; parent aspirations for,  
versus nonhonors students, 131;  
perceptions of, about Illinois insti-  
tutions, 132; perceptions of, about  
preparedness, 98–99; signal advan-  
tage of, 23; tuition knowledge of,  
versus nonhonors students, 57–58,  
207, 208
- HOPE Scholarship Program (Georgia),  
23, 184, 186, 193, 214; admissions  
policies and, 189, 199, 204, 216;  
knowledge and perceptions of,  
198–205, 298; overview of, 184,  
198; Pell Grants and, 201–202;  
poor and minority students and,  
201–202, 216–217; student  
aspirations and plans for,  
202–205
- Hopwood *vs.* Texas, 5, 80–81, 86,  
89, 108
- Horn, L., 28

## I

- Illinois: admissions and placement  
processes in, 120–126; institutional  
processes in, 117–120; K–12 stan-  
dards, assessments, and class rank-  
ings of, 117–118; K–16 connection  
in, 146–149; K–16 reform efforts  
in, 115–117, 119–120, 149; post-  
secondary governance structures in,  
118–119; remediation problem in,  
116, 121; standards-based reform  
in, 117–118
- Illinois Articulation Initiative (IAI),  
116
- Illinois Board of Education (IBE), 117,  
119, 150
- Illinois Board of Higher Education  
(IBHE), 115–116, 119, 120, 150
- Illinois case study research: on  
admissions processes knowledge,  
134–139, 147–148; on aspirations,  
126–132; case study schools and  
communities of, 125–126; on  
college activities participation,  
139–140, 141; on college knowl-  
edge, 132–149; communication  
improvement recommendations  
of, 146–147, 149; on counselors,  
141–143; on curricular require-  
ments knowledge, 134–135; on  
information sources for college  
knowledge, 142–146; methodol-  
ogy of, 124–125; on parent  
responsibility for application  
preparation, 140–141; on place-  
ment policy knowledge, 139;

- on tuition costs and knowledge, 133–134
- Illinois College Counselors Association, 142–143, 148
- “Illinois Commitment, The,” 116
- Illinois Community College Board, 119
- Illinois Education Research Council (IERC), 120
- Illinois Goals Assessment Program (IGAP), 117
- Illinois Joint Education Committee, 119, 120
- Illinois K–12 State Board of Education, 119
- Illinois Learning Standards, 117
- Illinois Math and Science Academy, 118
- Illinois Partnership, 116, 119
- Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT), 117
- Illinois State Articulation Conference, 142–143, 149
- Illinois State Board of Education, 125–126, 150
- Illinois State University (ISU), 116, 120–122, 142–143; admission policies of, 120–121, 137–139; aspirations to attend, 129; communications and outreach of, 149; placement and remediation processes of, 121–122, 139; student perceptions of, 132, 138; tuition of, 133
- Incentives: for K–16 collaboration, 291, 314; policy, 4; signals and, 19–20, 22, 23, 303, 305
- Inequalities: in college counseling opportunities, 301–302; in college knowledge, 23–24, 292; in honors and college preparation opportunities, 289, 300–301. *See also* Achievement gap; Economically disadvantaged students; Minority students; Socioeconomic status; Students of color
- Information agents. *See* Counselors, as information sources or college advisers; Friends, as information sources; Key agent use; Parents, as information sources; Teachers, as information sources or college counselors
- Information sources, of college knowledge: in Georgia study, 207, 209–212; of honors versus non-honors students, 104, 105, 110, 136–137, 144, 171, 209, 294; in Illinois study, 136–137, 142–146; insufficiency of, 301–302; in Maryland study, 241–248; in Oregon study, 169–173; racial or ethnic differences in, 104, 209; socioeconomic status and, 136, 137, 144, 172–173, 207, 209; summary of, 298–299; in Texas study, 104–105, 110; trends in, 294. *See also* Counselors, as information sources or college advisers; Friends, as information sources; Key agent use; Parents, as information sources; Teachers, as information sources or college counselors
- In-state institutions, policy to encourage attendance at, 203–205
- Intellectual capital, 184
- Intergenerational Initiative, 116, 120
- International Baccalaureate (IB), 10, 83, 156
- Intersegmental Coordinating Committee (ICC), 31, 33, 75
- Interviews, individual: in California study, 45; in community college study, 253; in Illinois study, 125; in Texas study, 78, 92
- Intrinsic motivation, 19. *See also* Motivation, student

Introducing the University (UC),  
40–41  
Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, 187

## J

Johnstone, D. B., 314, 318  
Joliet Junior College, 254  
Junior college. *See* *Community college*  
*headings*

## K

K–16 collaboration: accountability systems for, 7, 291, 307, 308–309, 313; in California, 33, 44, 74; community colleges in, 275–278, 280; federal role in, 314–316; in Georgia, 194–196, 214–217; governance mechanisms for, 292; in Illinois, 115–116, 119–120, 146–147, 148–149; incentives for, 291; in Maryland, 220, 224–225, 226, 227–230, 250; recommendations for, 74, 146–147, 149, 214–217, 306–317; summary of, 299–300. *See also* Policy mechanisms, for secondary-postsecondary connection; Reform efforts; Reform recommendations, K–16  
K–16 disconnect: areas of, 15–19; Bridge Project conceptual framework applied to, 303–306; in California, 38–39, 43–44, 289, 290; with community colleges, 275–278; in Georgia, 192–194, 213–214; in Illinois, 115, 119, 146, 147–149; in Maryland, 228–229, 250; in Oregon, 151; overview of, 1–3, 14–19, 257–258, 299–300; public perceptions and, 285–286; state policies that perpetuate, 286–292; in Texas, 88–89, 92, 102–104, 108–111

K–16 Leadership Council (Maryland),  
224

K–16 system: defined, 2; federal role in, 314–316; recommendations for, 306–317

Key agent use: admission criteria knowledge by, 70–71; in California study, 54, 58, 64, 65, 67, 70–71; curricular requirements knowledge by, 64; placement exams knowledge by, 65, 67; postsecondary aspirations by, 54; tuition knowledge by, 58. *See also* Counselors, as information sources or college advisers; Friends, as information sources; Information sources; Parents, as information sources; Teachers, as information sources or college counselors

Kirst, M. W., 1, 7, 10, 15, 17, 18, 28, 29, 38, 44, 75, 223, 251, 256, 283, 285, 292, 311, 316, 318, 319

Knowledge, student. *See* College knowledge, student

Koestner, R., 20, 28

Kojaku, L., 28

Korn, J., 14, 29

Koski, W. S., 283

Krop, D., 223, 251

## L

LaNasa, S., 10, 27

Latino high school students: in California study, 45–46, 50, 51, 61–63, 65, 66; college knowledge of, 56, 61–63, 65, 66; in college preparatory tracks, 9, 300; inadequate preparation of, 9, 14; postsecondary aspirations of, 50, 51; in Texas, 80, 82

Latino parents, college knowledge of,  
26*n*.12

Latino postsecondary students,  
 achievement gap and, 12–13  
 Le, V.-N., 10, 16, 29, 44, 75, 289, 319  
 Levin, H. M., 283  
 Long Beach Unified Schools, 287–288  
 Longitudinal data, 291  
 Low-income students. *See* Economically disadvantaged students;  
 Socioeconomic status

## M

MacLellan, A. M., 220  
 Maeroff, G. I., 7, 29  
 MAPS tests, 85  
 Marcus, J., 29  
 Marin, P., 202, 216, 219  
 Mark, M. C., 111, 113  
 Marshall, R., 182  
 Maryland: accessibility in, 227–228;  
 admissions requirements and  
 processes in, 225, 226–229, 241,  
 250; alignment in, 228–229, 250;  
 challenges of, 230; community  
 colleges in, 10–11, 254, 261–262;  
 educational system of, 221–225;  
 enrollments in, 221–222; K–12  
 system of, 223–224; K–16 collabora-  
 tion in, 220, 224–225, 226, 227,  
 229–230, 250; K–16 issues in,  
 227–230, 248–250; K–16 policy  
 and coordination bodies in, 19,  
 221, 222, 224–225, 229–230, 292;  
 out-of-state aspirations and enroll-  
 ments in, 222, 228, 230, 233, 235;  
 out-of-state students in, 228, 230;  
 postsecondary governance struc-  
 tures of, 221; postsecondary system  
 and institutions of, 221–223,  
 225–227; reform efforts in, 220,  
 222–225, 249–250; remediation  
 in, 226, 227, 229, 238, 244. *See*  
*also* Community college(s),  
 Maryland  
 Maryland, State of, 222, 251  
 Maryland Association of Community  
 Colleges (MACC), 221, 261  
 Maryland Association of Private  
 Career Schools, 221  
 Maryland Bridge Student Survey, 232  
 Maryland case study research: analysis  
 and recommendations from,  
 248–250; case study high schools  
 in, 231–232; on college knowledge,  
 236–241, 243–245; on information  
 sources for college knowledge,  
 241–248; methodology of,  
 231–232  
 Maryland Education Coordinating  
 Committee (ECC), 224  
 Maryland Electronic Capitol,  
 223, 250  
 Maryland Functional Tests, 224  
 Maryland Higher Education Commis-  
 sion (MHEC), 221–223, 224, 226,  
 227, 234, 239, 251, 261, 277  
 Maryland Independent Colleges and  
 Universities Association, 221  
 Maryland Partnership for Teaching and  
 Learning K–16, 224, 229–230, 250  
 Maryland State Board for Higher  
 Education, 224. *See also* Maryland  
 Higher Education Commission  
 Maryland State Board of Education,  
 223, 229  
 Maryland State Department of Educa-  
 tion, 223, 224, 251  
 Maryland Student Outcomes and  
 Achievement Report, 263  
 Master Plan, California, 32–33,  
 260–261, 273–274  
 Mathematics Association of America  
 placement test, 227  
 Mathematics curriculum, high school,  
 8, 9; level of, as predictor of college  
 success, 9, 300  
 Mathematics Diagnosing Testing  
 Program (MDTP), 38, 41, 64

- Mathematics placement exams, 289; in California, 37–38, 43, 44, 64–67; in Illinois, 121; in Maryland, 226, 227, 229; student knowledge of, 64–67
- Mathematics remediation, 10–11; in community colleges, 271–272; in Maryland, 227, 229; in Texas, 91
- Mathematics requirements, in California, 58–64
- Mathematics standards, in California, 33
- McDonald, D., 157
- McDonough, P. M., 14, 20, 29, 49, 75, 235, 251, 301, 319
- McRobbie, J., 5, 29
- Media attention, on selective institutions, 3, 308
- Meister, G., 316, 318
- Merchant, B., 115
- Merit-based scholarships, 184, 202, 216–217. *See also* HOPE Scholarship Program
- Metropolitan Life Survey of the American Teacher, 23, 29
- Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF), 82
- Middle school case study, Texas, 96, 97
- Military academy, 166
- Miller, Z., 184
- Milton, T. H., 220, 251
- Minority students: achievement gap and, 13–14; in college preparatory tracks, 9; in community colleges, 255–256; in Georgia, 188; in high school math classes, 9; in Maryland, 231–232; remediation and completion rates of, 2, 13–14; SAT score bias and, 34; in Texas, 79–80, 82, 86, 90; tracking and, 110. *See also* African American high school students; Asian American students in California study; Diversity; Latino high school students; Racial differences and composition; Students of color
- Mintrop, H., 220
- Montgomery College, Rockville, 254; enrollment and demographics of, 262; K–16 collaboration of, 277; remediation at, 271
- Montgomery College (MC)/Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) Partnership, 277
- Morgan State University, 221
- Mortenson, T., 11, 29, 201, 219
- Motivation, student: for community college, 264–265, 279–280; factors in, 4–5; signals and, 19. *See also* Aspirations, students' postsecondary; Incentives
- Mow, S. L., 20, 29
- Mt. Hood Community College (MHCC), 254; enrollment and demographics of, 263; K–16 collaboration of, 277–278; remediation at, 272
- Murdock, S., 80, 114
- Myths about college, 294–295

## N

- Nardulli, P. F., 118, 150
- Nation at Risk, A* (National Commission on Excellence in Education), 5, 78, 152
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 82, 310
- National Association of System Heads (NASH), 16, 29
- National Center for Education Statistics, 228, 251
- National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education, 5, 29
- National Center on Postsecondary Improvement, 285, 286, 319
- National Commission on Excellence in Education, 5, 29, 78, 114, 152, 182
- National Merit Scholarship, 169

National policy issue network, 316  
 Navigators, 315–316  
 Nelson-Denny Reading Test, 85, 227  
 Nettles, M. T., 20, 29  
 Nevada, class size reduction in, 5  
*Newsweek*, 8, 29  
 No Child Left Behind, 291, 314–315  
 Nonselective institutions. *See* Broad-access institutions; Community colleges; Open-enrollment institutions  
 Nontraditional students, 190  
 Norm-referenced tests, 187  
 North Carolina, 82  
 Northeastern Illinois University, 118

## O

Oakes, J., 14, 20, 29, 289, 319  
 Oklahoma, K–16 system in, 22–23  
 Open-enrollment institutions: elimination of, in Georgia, 188, 190; performance problems in, 17; in Texas, 84. *See also* Broad-access institutions; Community colleges  
 Opportunity to learn, 167–169.  
*See also* Access  
 Oregon: admissions policies and processes in, 155–159; community colleges in, 262–263; proficiency-based assessment in, 151–159, 162–165; reform efforts in, 151–159, 178–181; reform efforts in, stakeholders' knowledge of, 162–165. *See also* Community colleges, Oregon  
 Oregon Bill 2991, 152  
 Oregon case study research: on access and opportunity to learn in high school, 167–169; on aspirations, 165–169; case study high schools in, 159–161; on college counseling access, 169–171; on college knowledge, 169–178; on high school test-taking patterns, 169; on information sources for college knowledge, 169–173; on knowledge of K–16 reforms, 162–165; methodology of, 159–160; summary and analysis of, 178–181  
 Oregon Department of Community Colleges and Workforce Development (CCWD), 262–263, 283  
 Oregon Department of Education (ODE), 153–155, 156, 164, 179, 182  
 Oregon Education Service Districts, 156  
 Oregon Educational Act for the Twenty-First Century, 152–159  
 Oregon Joint Boards Committee, 292  
 Oregon Plan for Excellence, 152  
 Oregon Report Cards, 179  
 Oregon State Board for Technical and Vocational Education, 263  
 Oregon State Board of Education, 263  
 Oregon University System (OUS), 151, 153, 182, 274; Proficiency-Based Admission Standards System (PASS) and, 155–157  
 Orfield, G., 14, 29, 77, 114  
 Out-of-state institutions: Georgia HOPE Scholarship and, 204; Illinois aspirations to attend, 130, 131; Marylanders' enrollment in or aspirations to attend, 222, 228, 230, 233, 235; Oregon aspirations to attend, 166, 167  
 Out-of-state students, in Maryland, 228, 230  
 Outreach, 307; by community colleges, 265–266; by Illinois institutions, 124, 136–137, 145–146, 148–149; perceptions of, 299–300; by Texas institutions, 88–89, 92, 102–104, 108

## P

P–16. *See* K–16 headings  
 Parent aspirations, for children's post-secondary plans, 130–131, 167, 235

- Parent educational level: college activity participation and, 248; college knowledge and, 3; in Texas study, 94
- Parent influence on student aspirations, 235; parent responsibility and, 140–141, 245, 247; students' perceptions of, 130; teachers' beliefs about, 127–128, 245
- Parent knowledge. *See* College knowledge, parent
- Parents, as information sources: in California study, 54; in Georgia study, 207, 209; in Illinois study, 136–137, 144–145; in Maryland study, 242; in Oregon study, 171–173; in Texas study, 104. *See also* College knowledge, parent
- Partnerships, secondary-postsecondary. *See* K–16 collaboration
- Paul, F. G., 14, 29, 77, 114
- Pell Grants, 25*n*.7, 201–202, 314
- Perceptions. *See* Student perceptions
- Persistence, first-to-second year: factors in, 9, 11; problem of insufficient, 11–12. *See also* Completion problem; Completion rates
- Persistence rates: community college, 259–260, 274–275; at University of California, Davis, 42
- Personal Characteristics Index (PCI), 87
- Personal statements or essays, importance of: in California, 40, 42, 67–68; in Illinois, 138; in Maryland, 236; in Texas, 87, 90
- Pesqueria, R., 43, 75
- Phillippe, K. A., 259, 283
- Pilot projects, 315–316
- Pitts, M., 157
- Placement exams, 10; in California, 37–39, 41–42, 43, 64–67, 296; community college, 267–269; community college students' reactions to, 268–269, 279, 281, 297; confusing array of, 287, 288–289; in Illinois, 121–122, 123–124; in Maryland, 226, 227, 229, 238–239; recommendations for, 309–310, 312–313; student misperceptions of, 295; in Texas, 85, 88, 91
- Placement exams, alignment and misalignment of: in California, 38–39; with high school exit exams, 15, 16, 309–310, 312–313
- Placement exams, student knowledge of: in California study, 64–67, 73; by grade, 64–65; in Illinois study, 139; by key agent use, 65, 67; in Maryland study, 238–239; in Oregon study, 174, 175; by race, 65, 66; summary of, 296–297
- Placement exams, teacher knowledge of, 244
- Placement policies and processes: in California, 37–44; community college, 266, 267–269; in Illinois, 121–122, 123–124, 139; recommendations for changing, 311–313; in Texas, 88, 91
- PLAN assessment, 22–23, 287
- Policy alignment and misalignment: in California, 38–39, 43–44; completion problem and, 4–5, 303; federal role in, 314–316; in Georgia, 183–188, 192–194, 214–217; in Maryland, 224–225, 228–229, 250; in Oregon, 151–159; policy mechanisms for, 18–19, 44, 184, 192, 194–196; recommendations for, 306–317; with reform efforts, 3, 5–7, 151–159, 192–194, 286–293; secondary-postsecondary disconnect and, 15–16, 18–19, 286–292, 303–306; signals and, 19–24, 38–39, 303–306; state-level changes for, 308–311; in Texas, 108–111

- Policy changes: recommendations for, 306–317; reform context for, 5–7, 44; state-level, 308–311; in Texas, 81, 103–104, 108. *See also* Reform efforts
- Policy knowledge. *See* *College knowledge headings*
- Policy mechanisms, for secondary-postsecondary connection, 15, 18–19; in California, 44; in Georgia, 183–184, 192, 194–196; insufficiency of, 18–19, 291, 292; in Maryland, 224–225; recommendations for, 306–317. *See also* Communication mechanisms, K–16; K–16 collaboration; Reform efforts
- Portland, Oregon, community college research in, 254
- Portland Community College (PCC), Sylvania, 254; enrollment and demographics of, 263; K–16 collaboration of, 277; transfers from, 274, 275
- Portland State University (PSU), 153; admissions requirements of, 177; aspirations to attend, 165–166, 167; K–16 reform and, 158–159; parent knowledge of requirements for, 176–177; student knowledge of requirements for, 175–176; tuition costs of, 177–178
- Postsecondary education, defined, 25*n*.2
- Postsecondary Readiness and Enrichment Program (PREP), 190–191, 194, 215
- Postsecondary success: factors in, 4–5, 8–14, 233; public perception of, 285–286
- Powell, A. G., 4, 6, 29, 303, 319
- Powell, B., 190
- Prairie State Achievement Exam (PSAE), 117, 120
- Prairie State/ACT exam, 117, 120
- Precalculus, 9
- Preferential admissions, for community college students, 273–274
- Prekindergarten education, in Georgia, 183, 186
- Preliminary SAT (PSAT), 83, 169, 187, 287
- Preparation: federal role in, 314–316; misperceptions of importance of, 205.16–17; as predictor of college success, 8–10, 295; student understanding of, 174
- Preparation, inadequate: of African Americans and Latinos, 14; of community college entrants, 23–24, 257–259, 268–273, 278–279, 297; educators' concerns about, 294; misalignment and, 16, 303–306; prevalence of, 1–3; problem of, 7–14; remediation problem and, 10–11, 42–43, 116. *See also* *Remediation headings*
- President's Award Program recipients, 122
- Pre-TASP test, 85
- Private career schools, 222
- Professional development, school staff, 74
- Proficiency-Based Admissions Standards System (PASS), 153; alignment of, with CIM and CAM, 156–157; effects of, 178–180; knowledge and perceptions of, 164–165, 298; Oregon University System and, 155–157; Portland State University and, 158–159; University of Oregon and, 157
- Proficiency-based assessment, 151–159, 162–165, 187
- Promotional materials, perceptions of, 145–146, 148, 299
- Public education structure, 2
- Public opinion and attention: focus of, on selective institutions, 3, 308; of

Public opinion (*continued*)  
 postsecondary performance,  
 285–286; social charter and,  
 316–317

## Q

Quality Core Curriculum (QCC),  
 Georgia, 187  
 “Quick Reference for Counselors”  
 (UC), 39

## R

- Race, as admission criterion, student  
 perceptions of, 69, 236, 237,  
 298. *See also* Affirmative action  
 prohibitions
- Racial differences and composition: in  
 admission criteria knowledge, 69;  
 in aspirations, 50, 51, 233; in  
 bachelor’s degree completion rates,  
 13; in California study, 45–46, 50,  
 51, 56, 61–63, 65, 66; in curricular  
 requirements knowledge, 61–63; in  
 information sources, 104, 209; in  
 placement exams knowledge, 65,  
 66; in tuition knowledge, 56. *See  
 also* African American high school  
 students; Asian American students  
 in California study; Demographics,  
 student; Diversity; Latino high  
 school students; Minority students;  
 Students of color
- RAND, 16
- Readiness, misperceptions of, 15–16,  
 295
- Reading remediation, 227
- Recommendation letters, importance  
 of: in California, 40, 42, 68; in Illi-  
 nois, 122, 138; in Maryland, 236;  
 in Texas, 90
- Recommended Graduation Program,  
 82–83, 109
- Reform efforts: in California, 31,  
 34–35, 44; community colleges  
 and, 275, 278, 279; in Georgia,  
 183–196, 214–217; in Illinois,  
 115–118, 119–120; in Maryland,  
 220, 222–225, 249–250; in  
 Oregon, 151–159, 178–181; in  
 Oregon, stakeholders’ knowledge  
 of, 162–165; overview of, 5–7;  
 policy alignment and misalignment  
 with, 3, 5–7, 151–159, 192–194,  
 286–293, 303–306; student knowl-  
 edge of, 162–165, 298; in Texas,  
 78–81. *See also* Accountability-  
 oriented reform
- Reform recommendations, K–16:  
 Bridge Project, 306–317; Bridge  
 Project conceptual framework for,  
 303–306; for California, 74; on  
 federal role, 314–316; funda-  
 mental questions for, 306–308; for  
 Georgia, 212–213, 214–217; for  
 Illinois, 146–147, 149
- Regents of the University of California,  
 Castaneda et al. *vs.*, 34, 75
- Remediation, at community colleges,  
 257–258, 267; financial aid for,  
 267; rates of, 271–273
- Remediation assessment: in Maryland,  
 227, 229, 238, 244; in Texas, 85,  
 88, 91
- Remediation minimization, 26*n.*10; in  
 California, 43; recommendations  
 for, 313–314
- Remediation problem: in California,  
 42–43; in community colleges,  
 271–273; in Illinois, 116, 121;  
 policy and, 6; as predictor of  
 college incompleteness, 11, 14;  
 recommendations for solving,  
 312, 313–314; in Texas, 79, 91
- Remediation rates: achievement gap  
 and, 14; community college,  
 271–273; high, 10–11; in

- Maryland, 226, 227, 229; in Texas, 79; in United States, 1, 2, 10–11, 14
- Research clearinghouse, Illinois, 120
- Reserve Officer Training Corps, 83
- Resistance to change, 285–286, 316
- Responsibility for student success:
  - parental, 140–141, 245, 247;
  - public perception of, 286
- Retention: community college, 259; high school, 6
- Richardson, R. C., 116, 118, 119, 150, 192, 219
- Robyn, A., 10, 16, 29, 44, 75
- Rodriguez, E., 6, 30
- Rosenbaum, J., 13, 14, 15, 16–17, 20, 30, 254, 257–258, 259–260, 269, 284
- Rowley, S., 316, 318
- Rubenstein, R., 20, 28, 202, 219, 318
- S**
- Sacramento City College (SCC), 254; enrollment and demographics of, 261; K–16 collaboration of, 276; remediation at, 271; transfers from, 274
- Sacramento metropolitan area: Bridge Project secondary school research in, 45–74; community college research in, 254
- Sacramento State. *See* California State University, Sacramento
- St. Mary's College, 221
- San Francisco, U.S. District Court, 34
- SAT preparation courses and workshops, 139, 140, 141, 248
- SAT requirements, 10; bias in, 34; in Georgia, 206; in instructional, 117; in Maryland, 228, 243–244; of selective institutions, 3; in Texas, 84
- SAT scores: in Maryland, 222; in Texas, 79, 82
- SAT test-taking, socioeconomic status and, 169
- SAT I, 10; writing component, 289, 312
- SAT I requirements, 289; in California, 37, 39, 42, 67–71; in Georgia, 185, 188; student knowledge of, 67–71; in Texas, 90
- SAT I scores: California EPT and ELM scores and, 38, 43; in Texas, 90; weighting of, 39–40
- SAT II, 10; writing component, 312
- SAT II requirements, 287; in California, 37, 38, 39–40, 41, 67–71; in Oregon, 156; student knowledge of, 67–71; in Texas, 88
- SAT II scores: placement assessments and, 41; weighting of, 39–40, 46
- Savage, D., 3
- Schmidt, P., 202, 219
- Schmidt, W. H., 311, 319
- Schmidtlein, F., 220, 221, 250, 251
- Schneider, B., 2, 5, 7, 8, 30
- School administrator knowledge and perceptions: of Georgia HOPE Scholarship, 201–202; of Oregon K–16 assessments and reforms, 162–165
- School rankings, admissions policies for top, 26*n*.8
- Second-chance institutions, 15, 17, 257–258, 264, 282*n*.4. *See also* *Community college headings*
- Selection criteria: anti-affirmative action laws and, 34, 40–41, 80–81; of California institutions, 40–41, 73; of Georgia institutions, 184–185, 188; of Illinois institutions, 118, 121, 122–123, 137–139; of Maryland institutions, 225, 226–227, 234, 235–238, 241; of Texas institutions, 86–92. *See also* *Admissions headings*

- Selingo, J., 219
- Senior Assessment for College (SAC) program, 276
- Senior year performance: admissions policies about, 40, 42; disincentives for, 302; misperceptions of importance of, 17, 70, 295, 302; reform of senior year and, 310, 311–312; setting standards for, 312; student slacking in, 17, 302
- Shults, C., 257, 283
- Signal delivery paths, 21, 22–24, 303–306
- Signaling theory, 19–20, 303
- Signals: application of, to research findings, 303–306; to community college students, 258, 281; impact of, on student success, 4, 5; K–16 disconnect and, 303–306; mixed, 4–5, 16, 19, 38–39, 151, 258; theoretical framework of, 19–24, 303–306
- Siporin, R. L., 37, 76
- Sloan Foundation, 7, 8
- Social charter, 316–317
- Social criteria for admissions, 236, 237
- Socioeconomic status (SES): achievement gap and, 14; as admissions criterion, 237; admissions requirements knowledge and, 135, 139; aspirations and, 50, 127, 128, 129–131, 166, 202–203, 237; in California study, 45, 50, 56, 57; college activity participation and, 140, 141, 145, 173, 174, 293; college knowledge and, 3, 15, 22, 237, 293; high school preparation and, 8; in Illinois study, 126–131, 127, 128, 133–137, 144; information sources and, 136, 137, 144, 172–173, 207, 209; in Oregon study, 166, 172–174, 180–181; test-taking patterns and, 169; in Texas study, 94; tuition knowledge and, 56, 57, 107–108, 133–134, 177–178, 207, 208. *See also* Economically disadvantaged students
- Southern Illinois University, 120
- Southern Regional Education Board, 23
- Southwest Texas State University (SWT), 78, 84; admissions policies of, 89–92, 106; assessment in, 85; communication and outreach of, 92, 102–104; enrollments in, 89–90; K–12 connections with, 102–104; overview of, 89; placement policies of, 91; policy evolution at, 91–92; remediation in, 85, 91; student demographics of, 90
- Spanish Assessment of Basic Education, second edition (SABE/2), 36
- Special admissions, 122–123, 185
- Special review high schools, 118, 123
- Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program, 35, 36, 43, 44
- Standards, K–12: in California, 31, 33, 34–35, 43–44; class ranking and, 118; curriculum reform and, 313–314; in Georgia, 186–188; in Illinois, 117–118; postsecondary disconnect from, 286–289; reform context for, 5–7, 44, 188, 223–224, 275; senior slacking and, 302; in Texas, 82–83
- Standards, postsecondary: communication of, and student success, 4–5, 233; community college, 289; disconnection of secondary standards with, 286–289
- Standards for California High School Graduates, 33

- Standards for California High School Graduates in Mathematics and English (ICC), 33
- Standing Policy-1 (SP1), 34
- Stanford 9, 36, 46, 48
- STARR program, 190
- State University of West Georgia (WGA), 189–191, 206, 208, 296
- Steinberg, L., 30
- Stevenson, D., 2, 7, 8, 30
- Student Outcome and Achievement Report (SOAR), 222, 229, 244–245
- Student perceptions: about preparedness, in Texas, 97–99; of community colleges, 234–235; of counselors, 141–142; of Georgia HOPE Scholarship, 199; of high school curriculum importance, 15; of high school performance importance, 16–17; and myths, 294–295; of Oregon K–16 assessments and reforms, 162–165; of senior year importance, 17, 70, 295, 302; of teachers' expectations, in Texas, 99–100. *See also* College knowledge, student
- Students of color: achievement gap and, 13–14, 291; clear signals and motivation for, 20; in college preparation courses, 289; information sources of, 104; in Texas, 79–80; in Texas study, 94–95, 104. *See also* African American high school students; Asian American students in California study; Latino high school students; Minority students; Racial differences and composition
- “Study Cites Inability Among Many Latino Parents to Advise Children About College,” 30
- Subject A English Examination, 38, 41–42, 64
- Surveys: in California study, 45; in Illinois study, 124–125; in Maryland study, 232; in Oregon study, 159; in Texas study, 92, 93
- Swanson, R., 79, 81
- Swirling, 259, 275

## T

- Tafel, J., 18, 30
- Talent Program, 121
- Teacher expectations, Texas student perceptions of, 99–100
- Teacher knowledge. *See* College knowledge, teacher
- Teacher perceptions: of Georgia HOPE Scholarship, 199–200; of Oregon K–16 assessments and reforms, 162–165; of student aspirations in Illinois, 127–129
- Teachers, as information sources or college counselors: in California study, 54; in Georgia study, 207, 209–212, 214; in Illinois study, 136–137, 143–144; in Maryland study, 241–243, 245–247, 249; in Oregon study, 171; summary of, 298–299; in Texas study, 100–101, 104–105
- Technical colleges, in Georgia, 191–192
- Technical or Professional Certificate, 152
- TEKS, 109
- Tell, C., 153
- Texas: admissions policies in, 80–81, 84–92, 103–104, 108–109; challenges in, overview, 77–81; context of, 78–81; governance structures in, 83–84; high school graduation requirements in, 82–83; high school tracks in, 82–83, 95, 98–99, 109, 110–111; K–12

- Texas (*continued*)
- assessments in, 81–82, 109–110;
  - K–12 government structures and policies in, 81–83; K–16 connections and disconnections in, 88–89, 92, 102–104, 108–111, 288; K–16 data system of, 291; placement exams in, 10, 85, 91, 288; postsecondary assessments in, 84–92;
  - postsecondary enrollments in, 84;
  - postsecondary policies in, 84–92;
  - public postsecondary institutions in, 83–84; reform efforts in, 78–81;
  - remediation problem in, 79, 85, 91;
  - selective institutions in, 84; Top Ten Percent Rule in, 26*n*.9, 80–81, 86, 89, 106, 108
- Texas, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, 5, 80
- Texas, Hopwood *vs.*, 5, 80–81, 86, 89, 108
- Texas, House Bill 588, 86
- Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP), 78, 84–86, 288; communication and knowledge about, 103–104, 108, 109, 296–297, 298; remediation and, 79, 88, 91; TAAS compared with, 81
- Texas A&M University System, 81, 83, 84
- Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS), 81–82, 85, 95, 109, 110
- Texas Assessment of Basic Skills, 81
- Texas case study research: on aspirations, 97–100; on counselors' roles and knowledge, 101–103, 110; on information sources of college knowledge, 104–105; on K–12 stakeholder knowledge of college policies, 92–108; on K–16 connections, 102–104; methodology of, 78, 92–93, 111*n*.1; secondary school profiles in, 95–97; on student perceptions of preparedness, 97–99; on students' college knowledge, 105–108, 296–297; on teachers' roles, 100–101
- Texas Education Agency (TEA), 81, 83, 84, 114
- Texas Educational Assessment of Minimum Skills, 81
- Texas Educational Code, 84
- Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB), 78, 79, 84, 90, 108, 114
- Texas Learning Index, 85
- Texas Legislature, 80
- Texas Southern University, 84
- Texas State Board of Education (SBOE), 81, 83
- Texas State University System, 83
- Texas Tech University, 84
- Texas Work Group, 81
- Timar, T., 223, 251
- Timpane, P. M., 2, 18, 30, 117, 150
- Tinto, V., 5, 30
- Title 5, 276
- Title inflation, 193–194
- Top Ten Percent Rule, 26*n*.9, 80–81, 86, 89, 106, 108
- Towson University (TU), 221, 225–227; admissions requirements and processes of, 226–227, 228, 235–236; aspirations to attend, 234; enrollments of, 225–226; student perceptions and knowledge of, 236–241; tuition of, 239, 240
- Tracks, academic: in California study, 53, 57–58, 63–64, 70; inequality of, 300–301; student aspirations and, 53; in Texas, 82–83, 95, 98–99, 109, 110–111; tuition knowledge and, 57–58, 207, 208. *See also* Honors classes; Honors students
- Transfer rates, community college, 258–259, 273–275, 281

Transition, secondary-postsecondary:  
 community college role in,  
 252–282; federal role in, 314–316;  
 K–16 disconnect and, 1–3, 14–19,  
 303–306; reform recommendations  
 for, 306–317. *See also* K–16 collabor-  
 ation; K–16 disconnect

Transportation problems, 188, 191

Trends in International Mathematics  
 and Science Study (TIMSS), 311

Tucker, M. S., 182

Tuition costs, actual: of California  
 community colleges, 55, 266;  
 community college, 133, 239, 240,  
 266–267; of CSU Sacramento, 55;  
 of Illinois public institutions, 133;  
 of Maryland institutions, 239,  
 266; of Oregon community  
 colleges, 177, 266–267; of Texas  
 public institutions, 107; of UC  
 Davis, 55

Tuition costs, parent knowledge of: in  
 California study, 71, 73–74; in Illi-  
 nois study, 133–134; in Oregon  
 study, 177–178

Tuition costs, student knowledge of: in  
 California study, 55–58, 72–73; in  
 Georgia study, 207, 208; by grade,  
 55–56; of honors versus nonhonors  
 students, 57–58, 207, 208; in Illi-  
 nois study, 133–134; by key agent  
 use, 58; in Maryland study, 239,  
 240; in Oregon study, 177–178;  
 by race, 56; by school performance,  
 56–57; by socioeconomic status,  
 56, 57, 107–108, 133–134,  
 177–178, 207, 208; summary of,  
 297; in Texas study, 107–108

Turner, C.S.V., 183

Twelfth Grade National Assessment  
 of Educational Progress (NAEP),  
 82, 310

Tyan, R., 20, 28

## U

“UC President Calls for Ending SAT I  
 Requirement,” 37, 76

U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2, 30, 125,  
 150, 189, 219, 256

U.S. Department of Education, 6, 7, 8,  
 9, 10, 11–12, 30, 297, 310, 319

University of California, Berkeley,  
 34, 46

University of California, Davis (UCD),  
 47, 76; admissions and placement  
 policies at, 39–42, 58–64; commu-  
 nity college collaboration of, 276;  
 parent knowledge of, 71–72;  
 placement exams of, 64–67;  
 student knowledge of, 45–74,  
 296; tuition of, 55

University of California, Los Angeles  
 (UCLA), 37

University of California (UC) Office of  
 the President, 260, 284

University of California (UC) Regents,  
 33, 34

University of California (UC) system,  
 39, 40–41, 76; admissions require-  
 ments of, 37, 39; alignment and  
 coordination with, 44; charge of,  
 32; community college transfer to,  
 273–274; enrollment in, 32; gov-  
 ernment structure of, 33; placement  
 exams of, 37–38; placement poli-  
 cies of, 41–42; student aspirations  
 to attend, 49–55; top four percent  
 admission policy of, 26*n*.9

University of Georgia, Athens (UGA),  
 189–190, 206; admissions policies  
 of, 189, 206; enrollment of,  
 190; tuition estimates for, 207,  
 208

University of Houston, downtown  
 campus, 84

University of Houston System, 83

University of Illinois, 118

- University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign (UIUC), 116, 118, 122–124; admission policies of, 122–123, 137–139, 138; aspirations to attend, 129; communications and outreach of, 124, 149; placement and remediation processes of, 123–124, 139; student perceptions of, 132, 137–139; tuition of, 133
- University of Maryland, College Park (UMCP), 221, 225–227; admissions requirements and processes of, 226, 227–228, 235–236; aspirations to attend, 234; enrollments of, 225–226; student perceptions and knowledge of, 236–239; tuition of, 239, 240
- University of North Texas, 84
- University of Oregon (UO): admissions requirements of, 177; aspirations to attend, 165–166; K–16 reform and, 157; parent knowledge of requirements for, 176–177; student knowledge of requirements for, 175–176; tuition costs of, 177–178
- University of Texas, Austin (UT), 78, 84, 86, 114; admissions policies of, 86–88, 106; communication and outreach of, 88–89, 102–104; enrollments in, 86; K–12 connections with, 102–104; placement and remediation policies of, 88; scholarships and fellowships at, 87; student demographics of, 80, 86
- University of Texas, Dallas, 84
- University of Texas System, 83
- University System of Georgia (USG), 183–185, 188, 191, 192, 193, 194; DTAE alliance with, 191; tuition estimates for, 206–207, 208
- University System of Maryland (USM), 221, 227–228, 230, 274.  
*See also* Towson University; University of Maryland, College Park
- University System of Maryland (USM) Board of Regents, 221, 225
- Usdan, M. D., 7, 29

## V

- Valiga, M. J., 259, 283
- Varsity athletics, 139, 140, 141
- Venezia, A., 7, 15, 17, 29, 77, 151, 285, 292, 311, 319
- Veterans' Program, 121
- Vocational education, 313
- Volunteering, perceptions of importance of, 107

## W

- Wallhaus, R. A., 194, 219
- Wellman, J. V., 259, 284, 313, 319
- White, L. S., 117, 150
- White students, in California study, 46
- Work obligations, student, 5, 104, 190
- Writing samples, 312

## Y

- Yamaski, E., 14, 29
- Young, 259



