

INDEX

A

Abma, T. A., 173, 187
Abt Associates, 24, 25
Accuracy, 167
Action-oriented research, 18
African Americans: call-and-response traditions of, 187;
current studies of, 197; and TALK program, 4–5
Algebra, 46, 80, 154
Alternative paradigms stance, 69; and inquiry criteria,
166; and mixed method design, 137*r*; and mixed
method purpose, 110; overview, 82–83; types of
paradigms in, 82–86
American Educational Research Association, 62
American Evaluation Society, 41
A-paradigmatic philosophy, 68–69; example of,
72–73; and inquiry criteria, 166; and mixed method
design, 136*r*; and mixed method purpose, 110;
overview of, 71–72
Archipelago framework, 189–191
Arizona Student Assessment Program, 150–151
Assertions, 151
Assumptions, 29, 70
Ayres, R., 151–152

B

Bashook, P. G., 24, 128
Battelle Developmental Inventory, 157*r*
Bazeley, P., 14, 46, 148, 149, 150, 154
Benjamin, L., 79, 155
Berliner, D. C., 20, 196
Bernstein, R. J., 42
Bias, 39–40
Bickman, L., 74
Biesta, G.J.J., 16, 83, 84
Black box approach, 36
Black, J., 196–197
Blending, 125, 126–127
Boolean algebra, 46, 80, 154
Bowman, P., 29
Brewer, J. D., 46, 77, 117
Bricolage, 28
British Educational Research Journal, 57
Bryman, A., 27, 37, 38, 42, 46
Buki, L. P., 196
Burbules, N. C., 15, 16, 20, 26, 34, 83, 84

C

Call and response form, 187
Campbell, D. T., 33, 43, 46, 152, 168
Caracelli, V. J., 27, 32, 44, 45, 46, 57, 58, 64, 69, 70,
79, 90, 98, 102, 117, 118, 120, 121, 128–129, 146,
147, 148, 171
Caregivers, of elderly, 70–71, 78–79
Case studies, 46, 54–55, 191
Case summaries, 157
Case-oriented research, 46, 154
Causality, 37, 85
Chatterji, M., 132
Chelimsky, E., 95
Chen, H.-T., 74, 174
Cheung, I., 76
Child abuse/neglect, 72–73
Child care programs, 152–153, 197–198
Closed-ended questions, 28
Code for Active Student Participation and
Engagement, 157*r*
Coding, 149
Coefficient of configurational similarity, 152–153
Collaborative inquiry, 70
Community Action Agencies, 35
Comparison, 148, 158
Complementarity: definition of, 45; mixed methods
example of, 106; as purpose for mixed methods,
101; and study conclusions/inferences, 151
Complementary strengths stance, 69; example of,
78–79; and inquiry criteria, 166; and mixed method
design, 136*r*; and mixed method purpose, 110;
overview of, 76–77
Component designs, 120–124
Computer skills, 75–76
Computer software, 148–150
Conceptual consistency, 168
Conclusions/inferences: definition of, 145; overview
of, 150–153; quality of, 167–168; summary of, 155*r*;
warranting quality of, 169–178
Concurrent mixed design, 116
Concurrent nested design, 131
Concurrent transformative design, 131
Concurrent triangulation design, 131
Consistency, 144, 168
Consolidated coding forms, 147

- Consolidation. *See* Data consolidation
- Consonance, 24
- Constructivist framework: paradigm compatibility of, 52–53; in purist stance, 70; purposes in, 18; and representation, 183; and study criteria, 166
- Context, 37
- Convergence, 122–124, 127, 144
- Convergent validity, 43
- Conversion, 146
- Cook, T. D., 22, 23, 34, 36, 42, 46, 51–52, 55, 70, 72, 99, 103, 120, 168
- Cooksy, L. J., 75, 153
- Cooperative Extension System, 44
- Cornell University, 63
- Corroboration, 43–44; and data analysis principles, 144; definition of, 45
- Creswell, J. W., 14, 119, 131
- Criteria, of inquiry: examples of, 176–177; overview of, 165–167
- Critical social scientific research, 18
- Critical theory, 53
- Cronbach, L. J., 22, 27, 42, 60
- Crossover tracks analyses, 157, 162
- Cross-paradigm triangulation, 44
- ## D
- Data analysis: creativity in, 189–192; critical points in, 145–155; examples of, 155–163; key principles of, 143–144; overview of, 142–143; primary activities of, 144–146; purpose of, 144; summary of, 155; and warranting of inference quality, 170
- Data cleaning, 144–145
- Data consolidation: definition of, 145; overview of, 146–147
- Data correlation/comparison: definition of, 145; overview of, 147–150; summary of, 155*t*
- Data importation, 148
- Data reduction, 145
- Data transformation: definition of, 145; overview of, 146–147; summary of, 155*t*
- Datta, L.-E., 32, 48, 56–57, 79, 82, 84, 98, 178
- David, J., 61
- Davis, H., 4
- Dearing, E., 160
- Deforestation, 88–92
- Deliberation, 173, 177
- DeMarco, G.M.P., Jr., 17, 93–94
- Democratic evaluation, 166
- Demographic data, 148–149
- Denzin, N. K., 28, 42, 43
- Descriptive quantitative graphs, 158
- Design quality, 168
- DeStefano, L., 159
- Development: definition of, 46; mixed methods example of, 105; as purpose of mixed methods, 102
- Dewey, J., 56, 83, 84
- Dialectic stance, 69; example of, 80–82; and inquiry criteria, 166; and mixed method design, 137*t*; and mixed method purpose, 110; overview of, 79–80
- Dialectical tacking, 21
- Dialogues, 172–173
- Difference, engaging with: dimensions of, 27–30; as mixed methods feature, 26–30; in multiplism example, 24–26
- Dilthey, W., 34, 39
- Discrimination, 29
- Displays, 153–154
- Dissonance, 24, 152
- Divergent validity, 43
- Diversity, 29, 185, 187
- Dualistic thinking, 171–172
- Duncan, G. J., 128
- ## E
- Early Childhood Research Institute on Inclusion, 156
- Eat Right!* program, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104
- Eating behaviors, 54, 99
- Eckert, J. K., 78, 126
- Ecodevelopmental model, 76
- Educational research, 36, 57–58
- Effect sizes, 154–155
- Eisner, E. W., 41, 62
- Elaboration, 45
- Elderly people: caregivers of, 70–71, 78–79; Tai Chi program for, 174–178
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 35, 60
- Emancipatory paradigm, 83, 86
- Embedding/nesting, 125, 127–128
- Empirical classics, 44–45
- Empirical puzzles, 103
- English proficiency placement tests, 191–192
- Environmental forces, 37
- Epistemology, 52
- Erickson, K., 172
- Erickson, F. E., 150, 151, 170
- Erzberger, C., 44
- Ethnographic studies, 128; complementary strengths stance in, 78–79; with random versus purposeful samples, 153–154
- ETMM. *See* Extended-term mixed-method design
- Evaluation: author's personal history in, 60–65; and emergence of mixed methods, 45; in mixed methods history, 36; purposes of, 18–19; values differences in, 27
- Evaluation Network, 62

Expansion: and component design, 123, 124;
 definition of, 46; mixed methods example of, 105;
 as purpose of mixed methods, 103–104
 Experimentalist social science, 33
 Extended-term mixed-method (ETMM) design, 132

F

Facts, 40
 Fielding, J. L., 46
 Fielding, N. G., 46
 Filstead, W. J., 42
 Fine, M., 42
 Fiske, D. W., 22, 43
 Fuzzy sets analyses, 91, 150

G

Gage, N. L., 22, 42
 Geertz, C., 21
 Gender, 29
 General Well-Being Schedule, 70
 Gibson-Davis, C. M., 128
 Gill, P., 75, 153
 Goodyear, L. K., 79, 155, 184–185
 Graham, W. F., 32, 44, 45, 46, 58, 64, 70, 98, 117,
 118, 120, 146
 Graphical output: for conclusions/inferences, 153;
 and data correlation/comparison, 149; example of,
 158, 184–185
 Great qualitative-quantitative debate, 36–42
 Greene, J. C., 18, 20, 27, 32, 37, 43, 44, 45, 46, 57,
 58, 64, 69, 70, 79, 80, 86, 90, 98, 102, 103, 117,
 118, 120, 121, 128–129, 146, 147, 148, 155, 159,
 171, 187
 Guba, E. G., 42, 52, 53, 55, 62–63, 64, 70, 168
 Gutmann, M. L., 131

H

Hacsi, T. A., 74
 Haight, W., 196–197
 Hammer, V. L., 159, 160
 Hammersley, M., 42, 56
 Hanson, W. E., 131
 Harding, S., 26, 166
 Hargreaves, D. H., 42
 Head Start Community Impact Study, 32
 Hencke, R., 160, 161–162
 Henry, G. T., 37
 Hermeneutic traditions, 166
 Heshusius, L., 33, 34, 40
 Hilliard, A., III, 197
 Hintikka, M. B., 26
 History. *See* Mixed methods history
 HIV/AIDS education, 55, 179–180, 183–184
 Holistic designs, 128–129

Holistic fallacy, 45
 Hood, S., 187
 House, E. R., 18, 27, 40, 74, 82, 166
 Housing study, 24–26
 Howe, K. R., 18, 40, 48, 56, 59, 82, 166
 Huberman, A. M., 50, 53, 143, 149, 153–154, 170
 Huebner, T. A., 74
 Huffman, D., 189–191
 Human subjects, 36–38
 Hunger study, 47
 Hunter, A., 46, 77, 117

I

Implementation characteristic, 119
 Incestuous relationships, 21
 Inclusive preschool programs, 156–158
 Independence characteristic, 119, 121–129
 Inferences. *See* Conclusions/inferences
 Initiation: definition of, 45–46; mixed methods
 example of, 106; as purpose of mixed methods,
 102–103
 Institute of Medicine, 54, 103
 Integrated design, 120–121, 125–129
 Integrated learning, 75–76
 Integrative design approach, 130–131
 Integrity, of study, 117–118
 Intentionality, 37
 Interactive analysis: critical data analysis points in,
 145–155; principles of, 144
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 196
 Interpretive agreement, 168
 Interpretive distinctness, 168
 Interpretive rigor, 168
 Interpretivist framework: in great qualitative-
 quantitative debate, 38, 41; and inquiry criteria,
 166, 171; purposes in, 18; and representation, 183;
 study conclusions/inferences in, 150
 Interviews, 126–127, 148
 Iterations, 125, 126

J

Jacobsen, T., 196–197
 James, W., 83
 Jick, T. D., 24, 123
 Johnson, R. B., 56, 67–68, 82, 83, 120, 168, 169
 Joint Committee Standards for Educational
 Evaluation, 12
 Journal studies, 57–58

K

Kallemeyn, L., 159, 160
 Katz, L. F., 138–141
 Kelle, U., 44
 Kelly, P. A., 75, 153

- Kemmis, S., 80, 166
 Kennedy, M. M., 46
 Kidder, L. H., 42
 Kincheloe, J. L., 28
 Kling, J. R., 138–141
 Knowledge, 84
 Kreider, H., 160, 161–162
 Kuhn, T. S., 42
 Kushner, S., 80
 Kyoto Protocol, 198–199
- L**
- Latinos/Latinas, 4–5, 196
 Lawrenz, F., 189–191
 LeCroy, C. W., 72–73
 Lee, C. D., 30, 154
 Lee, Y., 189, 191–192
 Leech, N. L., 168
 Legitimation, 154–155, 168–169
 Li, S., 156–158, 162
 Liebman, J. B., 138–141
 Lincoln, Y. S., 28, 41, 42, 52, 53, 55, 62, 64, 70, 168
 Liu, Z., 198
 Logic models, 75
 Loomis, D. M., 14, 116, 130
 Louis, K. S., 24, 42, 46, 146, 147
 Lyotard, J.-F., 15
- M**
- Machadinho D’Oeste, 89–92
 Madey, D. L., 45, 46, 102
 Manning, P. K., 42
 Mark, M. M., 41, 46, 120
 Marquart, J., 152–153, 156–158, 162
 Marris, P., 35–36
 Mason, J., 76
 Maternal involvement, 162–163, 197–198
 Mathison, S., 43, 44, 100
 Matrices, 149, 153–154
 Maxwell, J. A., 14, 16, 19, 24, 82–83, 116, 128, 130
 Mayer, E., 160, 161–162
 McCarthy, T., 42
 McClintock, C., 43, 44, 64
 McConney, A., 151–152
 McTaggart, R., 80, 166
 Mead, G. H., 83
 Measurement pattern match, 152
 Medical care evaluation committees, 128
 Mental models: characteristics of, 53; commensurability of, 53–54; components of, 67; definition of, 3, 12; determining methods of, 8–11; overview, 12–13; relationship of, to practice, 55–59
 Mentoring program studies, 5–8
 Mertens, D. M., 83, 86, 129
 Methamphetamines, 196–197
 Methodology, 56; definition of, 165; and great qualitative-quantitative debate, 38–40; purposes’ relationship to, 97; relationship of, to philosophy, 55–59; and warranting of inference quality, 170–172
 Methods dimension, 120
 Miles, M. B., 50, 53, 143, 149, 153–154, 170
 Mississippi Community Action Program, 32
 Mixed methods conversation: challenges to, 48; history of, 44–47; purpose of, 14
 Mixed methods data analysis. *See* Data analysis
 Mixed methods design: challenges of stages of mixing in, 113–117; component versus integrated methods of, 120–129; dimensions of differences in, 118–120, 129; examples of, 132–134; integrative design approach as, 130–131; and mixed methods stances and purposes, 134–137; overview of, 112–113; in single study versus across studies, 117–118. *See also* Research design
 Mixed methods history: of author, 60–65; emergence of mixed methods in, 42–48; great qualitative-quantitative debate in, 36–42; issues of practice in, 35–36; overview, 31–32; philosophical disputes in, 33–35
 Mixed methods purpose. *See* Research purpose
 Mixed methods research: author’s journey to, 60–65; example of, 88–92, 138–141; potential of, 195–199; rationale for, 98–104; and relationship of philosophy to practice, 56–59; unresolved issues in, 50
 Mixed methods social inquiry: definition of, 13, 30; purpose of, 13; rationale for, 28
 Mixed methods way of thinking: critical features of, 20–30; and data analysis principles, 143–144; example of, 88–92; multiplism in, 23–26; overview of, 20; procedures for, 107–109; purpose of, 17
 Mixed model designs, 113–117
 Morse, J., 77, 117, 118
 Moving to Opportunity project, 138–141
 MTMM. *See* Multitrait, multimethod matrix
 Mulder, C., 196–197
 Multimethod research, 77
 Multiple mixed model designs, 114
 Multiplism: example of, 24–26; and mixed methods, 23–24; in mixed methods history, 36; overview of, 22; in social inquiry dimensions, 22–26
 Multistrand mixed model studies, 115
 Multitrait, multimethod (MTMM) matrix, 43
- N**
- Nanotechnologists, 196
 Narratives, 183, 185

National Institute of Education, 146–147
 National Public Radio, 187–188
 National Science Teachers Association, 190–191
 Natural sciences, 34
 Nesting, 125, 127–128
 New Israel Fund, 104–107, 132
 Newman, C., 17, 95–96
 Newman, I., 17, 95–96
 Niglas, K., 57–58, 83
 NVivo, 46

O

Objectivity: definition of, 165; in great qualitative-quantitative debate, 39–40; and inquiry criteria, 165–166; and participatory action research, 81
 Observation, 36
 Ontology, 52, 72
 Onwuegbuzie, A. J., 14, 56, 67–68, 82, 83, 120, 154, 168, 169, 170
 Open-ended questions, 28
 Orellana, M. F., 29
 Outcomes pattern match, 153

P

Paradigms: and alternative paradigm stance, 69, 82–86; and a-paradigmatic stance, 68–69, 71–73; characteristics of, 51–54; commensurability of, 52–53; and complementary strengths stance, 69, 76–79; definition of, 15; and dialectical stance, 69, 79–82; as dimension of mixed methods design, 118; in early mixed methods conversations, 46; in great qualitative-quantitative debate, 37–38, 70; and inquiry criteria, 166–167; and purist stance, 68, 70–71; relationship of, to practice, 55–59; and substantive theory stance, 69, 74–76; trends in, 15–16; various stances regarding, 68–69. *See also* Philosophies; *specific paradigms*
 Parallel tracks analyses, 156–157
 Parental involvement, 162
 Participatory action research, 80–82, 166
 Participatory paradigm, 53, 70
 Pattern matching approach, 152–153
 Patton, M. Q., 72, 172
 Pawson, R., 74, 83
 Peer tutoring, 38
 Perry, T., 197
 Persuasion, 172–173, 182
 Peshkin, A., 41, 62, 63
 Petrosino, A., 74
 Phelan, P., 21, 24, 44
 Phenomena characteristic, 118
 Phillips, D., 13, 15, 26, 27, 34, 40, 42, 51, 52, 62

Philosophies: and commensurability, 53–54; as dimension of difference engagement, 45; and inquiry criteria, 165–166; in mixed-method history, 33–35, 37–38; and quality of inferences, 172–173. *See also* Paradigms
 Physical sciences, 34
 Pierce, C. S., 83
 Pinto, K., 160
 Plano Clark, V. L., 131
 Podems, D., 197
 Poems, 183, 185
 Political discourse: in great qualitative-quantitative debate, 40–41; and values differences, 26–27
 Popkewitz, T., 62
 Positivism, 22, 53
 Postmodernists, 183
 Post-positivist paradigm, 15, 23; and difference, 26–27; and great quantitative-qualitative debate, 37–38, 40–41; and inquiry criteria, 165–166, 171; and mixed methods history, 33–34, 36; paradigm compatibility of, 52–53; in purist stance, 70; and representations, 183
 Power, M., 33
 Pragmatism, 56, 82; characteristics of, 83–84; of John Dewey, 84; overview of, 83; rationale for, 84–85
 Preschool inclusion, 156–158
 Pressman, J., 22
 Program evaluation. *See* Evaluation
 Program theories, 74
 Purist philosophy, 68; example of, 70–71; importance of, 55–56; and mixed method design, 136f; and mixed method purpose, 109; overview of, 70
 Purpose. *See* Research purpose

Q

Qualitative methods: author's personal history in, 60–65; Boolean algebra in, 154; characteristics of, 39; computer issues, 149; conclusions and inferences in, 145, 150–151; data correlation/comparison in, 148–149; data transformation in, 145; definition of, 30; and emergence of mixed methods, 45; and great qualitative-quantitative debate, 36–42, 51, 70; matrices in, 153–154; and philosophy-practice relationship, 56–59; and purist stance, 70; and triangulation concept, 43; write-ups for, 181
 Qualitative-quantitative debate, 36–42
 Quality, of inquiry: criteria considerations in, 165–167; example of, 174–178; and inferences, 167–168, 169–174; legitimization as, 168–169; overview, 164–165
 Quantitative methods: author's personal history in, 60–65; characteristics of, 39; computer issues, 149;

- conclusions and inferences in, 145; data correlation/comparison in, 148–149; data transformation in, 145; definition of, 30; and emergence of mixed methods, 45; and great qualitative-quantitative debate, 36–42, 51, 70; and philosophy-practice relationship, 56–59; triangulation concept in, 43; write-ups for, 181
- Quasi-experimental designs, 54, 78
- Quasi-mixed design, 115–116
- Questionnaires, 78, 102
- Questions. *See* Research questions
- ## R
- Racial discrimination, 29
- Radimer, K. L., 47
- Ragin, C. C., 46, 80, 154, 170
- Rallis, S. F., 48
- Randomization, 35, 153–154
- Raudenbush, S. W., 70
- Reading Excellence Act, 158–160, 161*t*
- Reading First, 158
- Realism. *See* Scientific realism
- Recidivism, 54–55
- Regional educational service agencies, 123–124, 126–127, 133–134, 135*t*
- Reichardt, C. S., 42, 46, 48, 51–52, 55, 72
- Rein, M., 35–36
- Relational maps, 149
- Representation: definition of, 182; and mixed-methods data analysis, 154–155; and study write-ups, 181, 182–185
- Research and Development Utilization Program, 146–147
- Research design, 15–16. *See also* Mixed methods design
- Research purpose: disputes about, 19; and early mixed methods conversations, 45–46; example of, 104–107; methodology's relationship to, 97; and mixed methods design, 133–137; and mixed methods stances, 109–111, 133–137; for mixing methods, 98–104; overview of, 17–18, 93–94; procedures for thinking about, 107–109; questions' relationship to, 97; types of, 96–97
- Research questions, 97, 130
- Resistance, 64
- Results synthesis procedure, 151–152
- Rice, S., 20
- Ridenour, C. S., 17, 93–94
- Rist, R. C., 42
- Roger Williams Park Zoo Education Project, 61
- Rogers, P. J., 74
- Rorty, R., 83
- Rossi, P. H., 74
- Rossman, G. B., 42, 45, 46, 70, 123, 126–127, 133
- Roth, W.-M., 172
- Rudd, A., 151–152
- Ryan, A. M., 197
- Ryan, K. R., 159, 197
- ## S
- Salmon, W. C., 19
- Salomon, G., 42
- Sampling, 149–150
- Sanchez-Ayendez, M., 71
- Sandelowski, M., 181, 182
- Sandlow, C. J., 22, 128
- Satisfaction construct, 108–109
- Savaya, R., 104, 108–109, 132–133
- School engagement, 197
- School transitions, 160–163
- Schwandt, T. A., 13, 14, 154, 165, 172, 173, 183
- Schwartz, R. D., 20, 41
- Scientific explanation, 19
- Scientific realism, 82–86
- Scriven, M., 16, 59
- Sechrest, L., 20, 39, 41
- Sequential explanatory design, 127
- Sequential exploratory design, 127
- Sequential mixed model design, 115, 127
- Sequential transformative design, 127
- SHATIL organization, 104–109, 132–133, 134*t*
- Sheridean, K., 196–197
- Shotland, R. L., 41, 44, 120
- Shulman, L., 187–188
- Sieber, S., 43, 44, 102
- Single-track analyses, 157
- Smith, A. G., 42, 46
- Smith, J. K., 19, 33, 34, 38, 40, 42, 48, 60, 70
- Smith, M. L., 12, 13, 42, 46, 150, 151, 170
- Social inquiry: dimensions of, 22–23; and implications of practice, 67; process of, 17–18; purposes of, 17–19; responsibility of, 19–20
- Social interactionist approach, 190, 191
- Social sciences: challenges of, 199; current research projects in, 196–199; history of, 33–36
- South Africa, 197
- Spindler, G., 60
- SPSS software, 46
- Standardization: and mixed methods rationale, 28; in mixed-methods history, 35–36; in study write-ups, 181; and warrants for inference quality, 172
- Statistical programs, 149
- Status characteristic, 119
- Steele, C., 197

Stereotypes, 29
 Subjectivity, 39–40, 81
 Substantive theory, 69; example of, 75–76; and inquiry criteria, 166; and mixed method design, 136*r*; and mixed method purpose, 110; overview of, 74
 Sydenstricker-Neto, J. M., 82, 88–92, 150

T

Tai Chi movement program, 174–178
 TALKS Mentoring Program, 4–11
 Tashakkori, A., 14, 50, 56, 67, 69, 71, 76, 82, 113–117, 119, 146, 167
 Teddlie, C., 12, 50, 56, 67, 69, 71, 76, 82, 113–117, 119, 146, 154, 167, 170
 Temporal dimensions, 132
 Tensions, 27
 Textual analysis programs, 149
 Theoretical drive, 77
 Tilly, N., 74, 83
 Timing characteristic, 119
 Title IVC programs, 61
 Title I programs, 60–61
 Transfer, data, 148–149
 Transformation. *See* Data transformation
 Transformative mixed methods, 129
 Transformative-emancipatory paradigm, 86
 Trend, M. G., 24, 25, 44
 Triangulation: and component designs, 122–124; mixed methods example of, 106; in mixed methods history, 42–44; overview of, 42–43; as purpose for mixed methods, 100–101
 Truth, 38, 83, 84
 Truth tables, 154
 Typology development, 147–148

U

Understanding: as feature of mixed methods thinking, 20–21; and inference quality, 173–174; and purpose for mixed methods, 98
 United Nations, 196
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 24

U.S. Office of Economic Opportunity, 31–32
 Universities, 195–196
 University of Rhode Island, 60
 Urban culture, 4

V

Validity, 43
 Values: differences in, 26–27; in great qualitative-quantitative debate, 40; and integrated design, 125, 128–129; and pragmatism, 84; research disputes about, 37
 Van der Knaap, P., 74
 Van Maanen, J., 38, 42
 Variable-oriented studies, 46, 154
 Vaughan, M. A., 160, 161–162
 Vietnam War, 34

W

Walker, L., 76
 War on Poverty, 35–36
 Warner, M. E., 198
 Waysman, M., 104, 108–109, 132–133
 Webb, E. J., 22, 43
 Weisner, T. S., 45, 98, 139, 174
 Weiss, C. H., 22, 27, 74, 160, 161–162
 Whitaker, K., 72–73
 Wildavsky, A., 22
 Wilson, B. L., 42, 45, 46, 70, 123, 126–127, 133
 Wolcott, H., 62
 Women's health, 196
 Writing up studies: overview of, 181–182; principles of, 185–188
 W.T. Grant Foundation, 5

Y

Yang, Y., 174–175
 Yin, R. K., 118

Z

Zercher, C., 156–158, 162
 Zhu, R., 159