

## Index

### A

- Action plans: application/implementation, 196–203; data collection using, 24; impact/consequences, 221–227
- Action plans (application/implementation): advantages and disadvantages of, 202–203; checklist of success factors for, 202*e*; described, 196, 198; developing, 198–199; Form for an Action Plan, 197*e*; successful use of, 199–201
- Action plans (impact/consequences): advantages of using, 226–227; business impact data using, 221–226; calculating ROI and monetary value, 225–226; sample for, 223*e*; SMART approach to, 222; timing of, 225
- Action-maze case studies, 153
- ADDIE model, 22
- Alabama automobile industry, 336
- Alden, J., 310
- AllTheWeb (web search engine), 276
- Alrichs, N. S., 272
- Altavista (web search engine), 276
- American Management Association (AMA), 126
- American Society for Training and Development (ASTD), 74, 75, 76, 82, 96
- American Society for Training and Development (ASTD) Benchmarking Forum, 92
- Analysis: described, 24; determining job performance needs, 53–54; dilemma of needs, 33–35; initial cost assessment and, 294; of intangible measures, 315; learning needs, 59; trendline, 242–244
- Analysts, 15
- Annual Employee Benefits Report, 293
- Annualized values approach, 297
- Anonymous feedback issue, 123
- Apple, 322
- Application/implementation data collection: action plans/follow-up assignments for, 196–203; focus groups used for, 191–193; improving response rates, 184, 186–189; interviews used for, 189–191; methods used for, 168*e*; on-the-job observation used for, 193–196; performance contracts used for, 203–204; questionnaire content checklist, 185*e*; questionnaires used in, 172*e*–179*e*; responsibilities for, 171; timing of, 170–171
- Application/implementation measurements: case study on, 166; challenges of, 166–168; developing ROI using, 168, 206–209; examples of coverage areas for, 169*t*–170*t*; identifying barriers and enablers, 165; key issues related to, 168*e*–171, 179–184; reasons for, 163–166; setting evaluation targets, 382; transfer of learning, 204–206; using data from, 209*fig*–211
- Applications/implementation objectives: data collection, 169; described, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*, 68; development of, 69–70*e*; making progress with, 179–180; typical, 69*e*

- Appraisal costs, 268  
 Assessment center method, 154–155  
 ASTD (American Society for Training and Development), 74, 75, 76, 82, 96  
 ASTD Benchmarking Forum, 92  
 Attention: measuring employee, 332e;  
 problem of employee, 331–332  
*Attention Economy* (Davenport & Beck), 332  
 Attentionscape, 332  
 Attitudes. *See* Employee attitudes  
 Audiences. *See* Report audiences
- B**
- Bad news delivery, 389e  
*The Balanced Scorecard* (Kaplan and Norton), 216  
 Ball, R., 344  
 Barriers: data identifying, 183; definition of program, 165; to successful implementation, 205e. *See also* Obstacle removal; Resistance  
 BCR (benefit/cost ratio), 28, 297–298  
 Beck, J. C., 331, 332  
 Bendle, N. t., 265  
 Berkman, R., 278  
 Birdsong, R., 287  
 Bishop, K., 287  
 Block, P., 365  
 Blue money items, 272  
 Booz Allen Hamilton, 321  
 Boston Consulting Group, 322  
 Boulton, R.E.S., 309  
 Broad, M. L., 206  
 Brochures (program), 361t, 363  
 Brown, S. F., 320  
 Business impact. *See* Impact/consequences measurements  
*Business Week's* most innovative companies list, 322  
 Butler, C., 329
- C**
- Caltex, 79  
 Campanella, J., 267  
 Cantrell, S., 344  
 Case studies: on application/implementation measuring, 166; on business needs, 53; on determining payoff needs, 43–44; on employee commitment, 325–326; on job performance needs, 54, 55–58; on learning needs, 61; on learning/confidence measuring, 139–140; measuring learning through use of, 153–154; on preference needs, 64–65; used as reporting format, 361t, 363–634e; types of, 153  
 Casio, W., 330  
 CEOs (chief executive officers), 14  
 Certification, 136  
 CFOs (chief financial officers), 300  
 Chain of impact: application/implementation, 164–165; breaking the, 213; described, 16, 19; impact/consequences, 230e, 233e–234e; learning/confidence, 136; seeking the, 18fig  
 Chain of impact questions: when the measure is in defined set, 233e–234e; when measure is known, 234e; when the measure is unknown, 230e  
 Champion, 379  
 Checklists: action planning, 202e; questionnaire content, 185e  
 Cisco Systems, 338  
 Clients: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; monetary value conversion matching needs of, 285–286; ROCE (return on client expectations), 300, 301e. *See also* Customer service  
 Commitment: employee organizational, 325; input reflecting, 75  
 Communication: measuring team, 320; as politically sensitive issue, 349–350; principles of reporting, 350–352; process for reporting, 352–354; streamlining, 368–369. *See also* Feedback; Reporting results  
 Communication Model for Results, 352, 353fig

- Communication tools, 362–363
- Competencies: building database on improvements of, 161; measuring employee, 330; use and development of, 135
- Complex case studies, 153
- Compliance issue, 134–135
- Conflict/cooperation measures, 319
- Consistent testing, 159
- Control groups, 25, 240*fig*–242
- Cooperation/conflict measures, 319
- Corbett, M. F., 337
- Coscarelli, W., 144, 158
- Cost categories: accumulation and estimation, 296; hard data on, 46*e*, 47; major types listed, 91–92*t*, 294*t*
- Cost data: accurate ROI calculated using, 78; applying credibility test to, 285, 286*fig*; costs without benefits, 292–293; disclosure of all costs for, 290–292; using estimates of costs for, 281–282; fully loaded costs, 292; using historical records to gather, 271–274; Iceberg Principle of fully loaded turnover, 272, 273*fig*; using input from experts to convert soft, 274–276; input measurements providing, 77–78; linking with other measures, 280–281; precision issue of, 290; quality, 267–269*e*; reasons for monitoring costs through, 288–289; reporting, 92–94, 292–293; sources of program costs, 84*e*; tracking program costs, 86–94; using values from external databases, 276–279*e*. *See also* Data; ROI (return on investment)
- Cost issues: average cost per learning hour received, 95*fig*; BCR (benefit/cost ratio) calculation, 28, 297–298; cost reporting, 92–94; cost-tracking, 293–296; costs of individual program steps, 89–90*fig*; danger of costs without benefits, 88–89; employee benefits factor, 91; estimating program cost, 26; importance of monitoring, 289, 290–293; input measurements providing data on, 77–78; major cost categories, 91–92*t*; pressure to disclose all costs, 87–88; prorated versus direct costs, 90–91; sources of costs, 89*t*; sources of program costs, 84*e*; T&D (training and development) costs, 77–78. *See also* Expenditures
- Cost-tracking issues: acquisition costs, 295; application and implementation costs, 295; development of solution, 295; employee benefits factor, 293; evaluation and reporting, 296; initial analysis and assessment, 294; maintenance and monitoring, 295; prorated versus direct costs, 293; support and overhead, 296
- Coverage measures, 76
- Creativity: described, 323–324; innovation relationship to, 320–322
- Credibility test: applying the, 285; data conversion four-part text, 286*fig*
- Criterion-referenced tests (CRT), 145, 148–149, 150*t*
- Critical-incident case studies, 153
- Cross, R., 344
- Cultural differences, 79
- Customer database, 179
- Customer dissatisfaction costs, 268
- Customer relationship management (CRM) software, 179
- Customer service: as data category, 48*e*, 49; intangibles of, 317*t*–318*t*; reaction/planned action for, 101–102, 128; typical questions asked about, 316*fig*. *See also* Clients
- Customer Service Links, 316*fig*–317
- Customers: estimate of impact input by, 253–254; reaction/planned action feedback from internal, 106–107
- D**
- Daily Feedback Form, 125*e*
- Data: using application/implementation, 209*fig*–211; chain of impact,

- 16–19, 136, 164–165; using evaluation, 372–373*t*; higher-level, 213; using learning/confidence, 161; reaction/planned action feedback, 102, 104–105, 104–129; sources of impact, 50–51*e*; tangible vs. intangible, 50, 216; types and levels of, 17*fig*. *See also* Cost data; Hard data; Macro-level scorecards; Monetary value conversions; Soft data
- Data analysis. *See* Analysis
- Data collection: anonymous feedback issue of, 123; application/implementation, 168*e*–204; data plans/follow-up assignments, 196–203; designed into programs, 166–167; documents for planning, 386; issues to consider for, 23–24; learning/confidence, 144–157; on-the-job observation, 24, 193–196; reaction/planned action, 23, 106–127, 115–116, 118–127, 130
- Data collection methods: action plans, 24, 196–203, 221–227; focus groups, 24, 123, 191–193, 249–252; interviews, 24, 123, 189–191, 252; listed, 23–24; performance contracts, 203–204, 227–228; selecting for each level, 235–237; when standard monetary values are not available, 271–282. *See also* Questionnaires; Surveys
- Data reporting. *See* Reporting results
- Databases: customer, 179; searching external, 276–278*e*
- Davenport, T. H., 331, 332, 344
- Deceptive feedback cycle, 108–109*fig*
- Decision case studies, 153
- Decisiveness/decision making measures, 319
- Delivery: average learning hours provided via technology-based, 86*fig*; average percentage of learning hours by different, 85*fig*; costs associated with, 295; inputs tracking, 85–86; reaction/planned action data on, 112
- Direct costs, 293
- Discipline requirements, 33
- Discounted cash flow, 304
- Discussion groups, 387
- Dispelling myths, 388–389
- Doz, Y., 321
- Dunn & Bradstreet, 76
- E**
- E-mail reports, 361*t*, 363
- Easterbrook, G., 339
- Educational level, 330–331
- Efficiencies: implementation/sustaining evaluation to improve, 376; inputs highlighting, 76–77; of organization teams, 319; types of measurements for, 94
- Electronic reports, 361*t*, 363
- Employee attitudes: employee engagement, 325–326; job satisfaction, 280*fig*, 324–325; organizational commitment (OC), 75, 325
- Employee capabilities: attention, 331–333, 332*e*; competencies, 330; educational level, 330–331; experience, 326–327; knowledge, 327–328; learning, 328–330
- Employee development/advancement category, 48*e*, 49. *See also* Learning/development (L&D) programs
- Employee recruitment: efficiency of, 339; relationship between turnover and, 338; sourcing and effectiveness of, 338
- Employee time: calculating monetary value of, 269–270; as cost-tracking issue, 293
- Employee turnovers: relationship between recruitment practices and, 338; relationship of job satisfaction and, 280*fig*
- Employees: attitudes of, 280*fig*, 324–326; average expenditure per, 93*fig*; certification of, 136; consequences of unprepared, 137; identifying the barriers and enablers among, 165, 183; measuring capabilities of,

- 319–324; recruitment of, 338–339; rewarding the most effective, 165–166; stress of, 339–343. *See also* Work teams; Workforce
- Enablers: data identifying, 183; definition of program, 165
- Essay tests, 145, 148*e*
- Estimates of costs: by management team, 281–282; by participants, 281; using source with broadest perspective, 284; by staff members, 282
- Estimates of impact: calculating impact of other factors on, 254; customer input on, 253–254; using focus groups for, 249–252; internal or external expert input on, 254; isolating program effects using, 245; by managers, 252–253; by participants, 245–249
- Evaluation leader, 379–380
- Evaluation levels: characteristics of, 18*fig*; described, 15–16; as value chain, 16
- Evaluation model issues: analysis, 24; chain of impact as, 16–19; conversion of data to monetary values, 25–26; cost of programs, 26; data collection, 23–24; data reporting, 29; implementation issues, 30–31; intangible benefits, 28–29; isolating effects of learning/performance improvement, 24–25; levels and steps as, 15–16, 18*fig*; objectives, 19, 21*e*–22*e*; operating standards or guiding principles, 29–30; ROI Process Model, 19, 20*fig*; ROI (return on investment) calculation, 27–28; stakeholders as, 13–15
- Evaluation models: issues of, 13–31; traditional ADDIE, 22. *See also* ROI Methodology Process Model
- Evaluations: benefits of measurement and, 7–10; challenges facing measurement and, 4–7; costs associated with, 296; using data gathered from, 372–373*t*; dilemma surrounding, 2; global evaluation trends regarding, 3–4; guiding principles of, 29–30; implementation and sustaining, 30–31, 295, 375–390; key questions to ask about, 2*e*–3; myths of measurement and, 10–13. *See also* Learning/development (L&D) programs; Reporting results
- Evaluator stakeholders, 15
- Exercise case studies, 153
- Exercises/activities, 155–156
- Expenditures: average expenditure per employee, 93*t*; benefits of monitoring, 288–289, 290–293; costs associated with program, 296; as percentage of payroll, 93*fig*. *See also* Cost issues
- Experts. *See* Subject-matter experts
- External failure costs, 268
- ## F
- Facilitators: learning/confidence data to evaluation, 161; reaction/planned action data to evaluate, 128; reaction/planned action feedback from, 107, 112–113
- Facilities data, 112
- Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 88, 291
- Farris, P. W., 265
- FedEx, 47
- Feedback: estimates of costs, 281–284; estimates of impact, 249–254; program progress and routine, 364–371*e*; reaction/planned action, 102, 104–129; 360-degree, 333–334. *See also* Communication; Reporting results
- Fill-in-the-blanks tests, 148*e*
- Finance/accounting staff: estimates of costs by, 282; as evaluation stakeholder, 15
- Financial term misuse, 300–301*e*
- Fishman, C., 264
- Fister, S., 329
- Flawless Consulting* (Block), 365
- FLSA (Fair Labor Standards Act), 88, 291

- Focus groups: application/implementation, 191–193; data collection using, 24; estimates of impact using, 249–252; guidelines for, 192–193; reaction/planned action, 123
- Follow-up assignments. *See* Action plans
- Forecasting, 25, 244–245
- Fortune* “Best Places to Work” list, 326, 335
- Fully loaded costs, 292
- G**
- Generation X, 79
- Gladwell, M., 343
- Google, 276, 322
- Graham, M., 287
- Green money items, 272
- Grey Tops, 79
- Growing Old Frantically, 79
- Guiding principles, 29–30
- H**
- Haley, K., 344
- Hard data: business impact soft versus, 215–216; converting to money, 263*t*; cost category of, 46*e*, 47; output category of, 45, 46*e*; quality category of, 45, 46*e*; steps to convert into monetary value, 259–261; time category of, 46*e*, 47. *See also* Data; Soft data
- Hock, R., 276
- Hours tracking, 80
- I**
- IBM, 321–322
- Iceberg Principle, 272, 273*fig*
- Image category, 48*e*, 49–50
- Impact study report, 359*e*–360*e*, 369*e*
- Impact/consequences data collection: action plans for, 221–227; performance contracts for, 227–228; questionnaires for, 228–235; selecting the appropriate method for, 235–237
- Impact/consequences isolation: using control groups for, 240*fig*–242; estimates used for, 245–254; factors to consider for, 255; forecasting, 25, 244–245; identifying other factors step of, 238–240; importance of, 237–238; trend-line analysis for, 242–244
- Impact/consequences measurements: business performance, 219–221; initiating impact/ROI studies, 385–387; isolating effects of the program, 237–255; linking programs to specific, 217–219; reasons for, 213–215; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; types of, 215–219
- Implementation/sustaining evaluation: assessing the climate for, 378–379; benefits of, 375–376; costs associated with, 295; developing roles/responsibilities for, 379–382; establishing goals and plans for, 382–383*fig*; initiating impact/ROI studies, 385–387; issues to be addressed during, 30–31; monitoring progress of, 390; overcoming resistance, 377*e*–378*fig*; preparing the L&D team for, 384–385; preparing sponsors and management team for, 387–388; removing obstacles to, 388–389*e*; revising/developing policies and guidelines for, 383–384
- Improvements: communication as necessary to make, 349; data on accomplishments and, 180–181; data on knowledge/skill use, 180; data linking program to, 182; data on work/action items changes, 180; establishing monetary value on, 222, 224; reaction/planned action to plan, 128; suggestions for program, 184
- Informal assessments, 156–157
- Initiative/innovation category, 48*e*, 49
- Innovation: measuring, 320–322; metrics for measuring, 323*fig*

- Input measurements: automated system used for, 98; indicators of, 75–78; key issues of, 97–98; objectives related to, 19, 21*e*
- Inputs/indicators measurements: automated system used for, 98; indicators listed, 75–78; tracking costs of, 86–94; tracking coverage by jobs/functional areas, 81*t*–82; tracking delivery of, 85*fig*–86*fig*; tracking efficiencies of, 94–95; tracking hours, 80; tracking outsourcing, 95–96; tracking participants, 78–79; tracking requests for programs, 84*e*; tracking for the scorecard, 96–97, 96–97*t*; tracking topics and programs, 82–84
- Intangible economy, 309
- Intangible measures: analyzing, 315; business impact, 216; clarity, accountability, timeliness aspects of, 311*t*; common types of, 308*e*; converting to monetary value, 312–314; customer service, 48*e*, 49, 101–102, 128, 316*fig*, 316*t*–317*t*; described, 50, 307–308; different approaches used for, 310–312; employee attitudes, 324–326; employee capabilities, 326–333; importance of, 28–39, 308–310; job creation and acquisition, 335–339; leadership, 333–335; link of hard-to-measure and easy-to-measure, 312*fig*; networking, 343–345; during program life cycle, 314*fig*–315; stress, 339–343; team capabilities, 319–324. *See also* Tangible measures
- Intangibles: converted into tangibles, 309; identifying, 314*fig*–315; programs driven by, 309–310
- Intellectual capital: categories of, 133*fig*; described, 133
- Interim reports, 362
- Internal customer feedback, 106–107
- Internal failure costs, 267
- Internet-based databases, 276–279*e*
- Interviews: application/implementation, 189–191; data collection using, 24; estimates of impact using, 252; guidelines for, 190–191; reaction/planned action, 123; structured and unstructured, 190
- J**
- Job category: industry data by, 81*t*; inputs tracking coverage by, 81–82; tied to highest ROI rate, 82
- Job creation/acquisition: definition of, 336; importance of, 336–338; recruitment efficiency, 339; recruitment sourcing and effectiveness, 338
- Job growth: benefits of, 336–338; definition of, 336; productivity versus, 335–336
- Job performance needs: analysis techniques for determining, 53–54; approach to determining, 54–55; described, 53; diagnostic tools to determine, 54*e*; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 54, 55–58
- Job satisfaction: data category on, 48*e*, 49; measuring employee, 324–325; relationship between employee turnover and, 280*fig*
- Johnson, M., 78, 79
- K**
- Kandybihn, A., 321
- Kaplan, R. S., 216, 217
- Kihn, M., 321
- Kirkpatrick, D., 15, 16
- L**
- L&D. *See* Learning/development (L&D) programs
- Langdon, D., 54
- Leadership measurements: challenges of, 333; leadership inventories, 334; leadership perception, 334–335; 360-degree feedback for, 333–334

- Learning: benefits of measuring, 138–139; challenges of measuring, 137–138; as employee capability, 328–330; generational differences in approaches to, 79; isolating effects of, 24–25; linking application with, 166; role in programs, 135–136; tracking average percentage of, 83*fig*; ways to measure, 145*e*–157
- Learning environment data, 112
- Learning needs: demonstrations on, 60; described, 58; job and task analysis of, 59; management assessment of, 60–61; observations on, 59–60; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 61; subject-matter experts for determining, 58; testing, 60
- Learning organizations, 134
- Learning transfer problem, 134
- Learning/confidence data collection: assessment center method used for, 154–155; case studies used for, 153–154; confronting test failures, 160; consistency, monitoring, and pilot testing, 159; developing tests for, 146, 147*e*–148*e*, 149–152; exercises/activities used for, 155–156; informal assessments used for, 156–157; methods used for, 144–145*e*; questionnaires/surveys used for, 145–146; readability, scoring, and reporting, 160; reliability and validity issues of, 157–159; role playing/skill practice for, 154; technology and task simulations for, 152–153
- Learning/confidence measurements: administrative issues of, 157–160; case study on, 139–140; challenges and benefits of, 137–140; data collection issues of, 144–157; using data from, 161; using data on, 161; reasons for, 132–137; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; special issues of, 140–144
- Learning/confidence objectives: Bloom's taxonomy cognitive levels of, 143–144; breakdown of, 140–141*fig*; described, 21*e*, 65*e*; development of, 68*e*; timing of, 142–143; typical, 67*e*–68, 141–142*e*
- Learning/development (L&D) programs: building data collection into, 166–167; determining business needs, 44–53; determining job performance needs, 53–58; determining learning needs, 58–61; determining preference needs, 61–65; establishing objectives for, 19, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*–73; intangibles as driving, 309–310; intangibles during life cycle of, 314*fig*–315; isolating effects of the, 237–255; key questions to ask about, 38*e*–39; payoff opportunities of, 36*fig*–38, 39*e*–40*e*, 41–44; perceived value of, 182–183; reasons for new, 40–41*e*; relevance to participants, 113, 180; role of learning in, 135–136; specific impact measures linked to, 217–219. *See also* Employee development/advancement category; Evaluations
- Learning/development (L&D) teams: measuring capabilities of, 319–324; preparing for implementation, 384–385
- Learning/development program problems: costs of developing solutions to, 295; determining costs of, 41–42*e*; learning transfer, 134; opportunities arising from, 43
- Level 0 data. *See* Inputs/indicators measurements
- Level 1 data. *See* Reaction/planned action measurements
- Level 2 data. *See* Learning/confidence measurements
- Level 3 data. *See* Application/implementation measurements
- Level 4 data. *See* Impact/consequences measurements
- Level 5 data. *See* ROI (return on investment)
- Libert, B. D., 309

- Linden, A., 344  
 Litton Industries, 297  
 Look Smart (web directory), 276  
 Lucia, A. D., 135  
 Lund, T., 120
- M**
- McGregor, J., 322  
 McKenna, M., 54  
 Macro-level scorecards: essential indicators for, 97*t*; from micro-level to, 370*fig*; reaction/planned action, 105, 129; sample, 370*e*–371*e*; tracking program, 96. *See also* Data; Scorecards  
 Maintenance costs, 295  
 Managers: data on program support by, 183–184; estimates of costs from, 281–282; estimates of impact by, 252–253; estimating amount of improvement, 25; as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation preparation for, 387–388; learning needs assessed by, 60–61; reaction/planned action feedback from, 106. *See also* Senior managers  
 Marketing programs, 129  
 Matching tests, 147*e*–148*e*  
 Maynard, M., 336  
 Meetings: presentation of results to sponsors, 366–368*fig*; as reporting format, 361; streamlining communication in, 368–369  
 Microsoft, 321–322  
 Middle-Aged and Manic, 79  
 Miller, W., 133  
 Monetary value conversions: described, 25–26; of employee time using compensation, 269–270; hard data to money, 263*t*; of intangibles to money, 312–314; labor grievance data to, 261*e*–262*e*; locating standard values for, 270*e*–271; output data to money, 264–266; standard quality measures for, 267–269*e*; steps for turning data into, 259–261; when standard values are not available, 271–282. *See also* Data  
 Monetary value conversions methods: accuracy of different, 283*t*; applying credibility test to, 285, 286*fig*; considering adjustment for time value of money, 288; considering the available resources for, 286–287; considering source of data used, 284; using estimates of costs, 281–282, 284; using historical costs from records, 271–274; using input from experts to convert soft data, 274–276; linking with other measures, 280–281; matching client's needs to, 285–286; matching type of data with appropriate, 283; using multiple techniques when feasible, 284; short-term/long-term issue of selecting, 287–288; using standard monetary values, 262–271; using values from external databases, 276–279*e*  
 Monetary values: establishing application/implementation, 181, 210; establishing impact/consequences, 222, 224, 225–226; reasons for calculating, 258–261; standard, 262–271; technique selection and finalizing the, 282–288. *See also* ROI (return on investment); Standard monetary values  
 Monitoring: costs associated with, 295; progress, 390; tests, 159  
 Muir, A. K., 268  
 Multiple-choice tests, 147*e*
- N**
- N-tag, 345  
*Nation's Business*, 91  
 Needs analysis dilemma, 33–35  
 Needs assessment: application/implementation included in, 167–168; challenges of, 32–35; determining business needs, 44–53; determining job performance needs, 53–58; determining learning

- needs, 58–61; potential payoff of, 36*fig*–44; preference needs of, 62–65
- Networking: challenges of measuring, 343–344; studies on value of, 344–345
- Newstrom, J. W., 206
- Norton, D. P., 216, 217
- O**
- Objective tests, 146, 147*e*
- Objectives: description of program, 19; establishing implementation, 382*e*–383*fig*; levels of, 19, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*–73
- Objectives levels: application/implementation, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*, 68–70*e*; business impact, 22*e*, 65*e*, 70–71*e*; importance of specific, 72–73; input, 19, 21*e*; learning, 21*e*, 65*e*, 67*e*–68*e*; reaction/planned action, 21*e*, 65*e*, 66*e*–67*e*; ROI, 22*e*, 65*e*, 72
- Observations: on learning needs, 59–60; on-the-job, 24, 193–196
- Obstacle removal: delivering bad news, 389*e*; dispelling myths, 388–389. *See also* Barriers; Resistance
- On-the-job observation: application/implementation, 193–196; data collection using, 24; guidelines for effective, 193–196
- Open Directory (web directory), 276
- Oral examinations, 145
- Organization teams: communication of, 320; cooperation/conflict of, 319; decisiveness/decision making of, 319; effectiveness of, 319; innovation and creativity of, 320–324
- Organizational commitment (OC) measures, 75, 325
- Organizations: assessing climate of, 378–379; compliance issue of, 134–135; Dunn & Bradstreet's database of U.S., 76; employee attention problem in, 331–333; evaluating teams within, 319–324; as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation plan for large, 383*fig*; job creation/acquisition by, 335–339; leadership in, 333–335; learning, 134; overcoming resistance to ROI methodology, 377*e*–378*fig*; revising/developing policies and guidelines of, 383–384; stress management program for, 341*e*–342*e*
- Outcomes, clarifying wanted, 33
- Output category, 45, 46*e*
- Outsourcing, tracking program, 95–96
- P**
- Pamphlets (program), 361*t*, 363
- Parker, A., 344
- Participants: estimate of impact by, 245–249; estimates of costs by, 281; estimating amount of improvement, 25; input measurements tracking, 78–79; perceived value of program by, 182–183; program relevance to, 113, 180; reaction/planned action feedback from, 106, 113, 130; reaction/planned action to determine needs of, 128
- Payback period, 303–304
- Payoff opportunities: not-so-obvious, 40*e*; obvious, 39*e*; overview of, 36*fig*–38; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 43–44
- Penalty costs, 268
- Performance contracts: application/implementation, 203–204; data collection using, 24; impact/consequences, 227–228
- Performance improvement, isolating effects of, 24–25
- Performance tests, 145, 149, 151–152
- Pfeifer, P. E., 265
- Phillips, J. J., 16, 115, 146, 334, 343, 345
- Phillips, P. P., 115, 146, 343, 345
- Pilot testing instruments, 159
- Planning evaluation: determining business needs as part of, 44–53; determining job performance needs as part of, 53–58; determining learning needs as part of, 58–61; determining

- preference needs as part of, 61–65; needs assessment to help in, 32–65, 167–168; objectives established as part of, 19, 21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*–73, 382*e*–383*fig*; payoff opportunities identified, 36*fig*–40*e*
- Point 'n Clickers, 79
- Preference needs: determining, 61; impact studies on, 63–64; key issues related to, 62*e*; Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study on, 64–65; typical evaluation timing events, 63*e*; typical program scoping issues, 63*e*
- Prevention costs, 268
- PricewaterhouseCooper, 92
- Problems. *See* Learning/development (L&D) program problems
- Productivity: employee stress and impact on, 339; job growth and, 335–336
- Program assignments, data collection using, 24
- Program managers, as evaluation stakeholder, 14
- Program relevance, reaction/planned action feedback on, 113
- Program teams: data on success of, 183; as evaluation stakeholder, 15
- Programs. *See* Learning/development (L&D) programs
- Progress reports, 362
- Progressive Bank's Follow-Up Questionnaire, 172*e*–179*e*
- Prorated costs, 293
- Purington, C., 329
- Q**
- Quality: calculating cost of, 267–268; standard measures for, 268*e*–269*e*
- Quality category, 45, 46*e*
- Questionnaire response rates: application/implementation, 184, 186–189; reaction/planned action, 120–121
- Questionnaires: application/implementation, 172*e*–179*e*, 185*e*; data collection using, 23; estimates of impact using, 252; impact/consequences, 228–235; learning/confidence, 145–146; measuring learning through, 145–146; reaction/planned action, 115–116, 117*e*, 118–121, 123–127, 130; recommendations for using, 130. *See also* Data collection methods
- R**
- R&D (research & development): measuring employee creativity and, 323–324; measuring innovation of, 320
- Reaction/planned action: comparing data with other programs, 105; correlations between reaction and application, 104*fig*; creating macro-level scorecard for, 105, 129; customer service, 101–102; feedback data on, 102, 104–129; making adjustments and changes to, 103; objectives of, 21*e*, 65*e*, 66*e*–67*e*; predictive capability of, 103–104; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; shortcut ways to measure, 129–130
- Reaction/planned action data collection: interviews and focus groups used for, 123–127; questionnaires/surveys used for, 115–123; sample Daily Feedback Form for, 125*e*; shortcuts for, 129–130; sources of, 106–107; suggestions for improving, 123–127; timing of, 114–115
- Reaction/planned action measurements: compared with other programs, 105; content vs. non-content feedback, 107–108*e*; creating macro-level scoreboard based on, 105; deceptive feedback cycle of, 108–109*fig*; essential need for early feedback, 102; key areas for, 110*t*–111*t*, 112–113; making adjustments based on, 103; types of necessary, 104–105; using the, 127–129

- Reaction/planned action objectives:  
described, 21*e*, 65*e*; typical areas  
and development of, 66*e*–67*e*
- Readability of tests, 160
- Recruitment: efficiency of, 339; sourcing  
and effectiveness of, 338
- Reliability: definition of, 157; learning/  
confidence data, 157–159; relationship  
between validity and, 158*t*; tips  
on improving, 158*e*
- Report audiences: basis for selecting,  
357–358; common target, 358*e*;  
different information needed by  
specific, 350; opinion of program  
by, 352; questions to ask about,  
356–357; reactions to results by,  
372; senior managers/sponsors,  
366–368*fig*; targeting specific, 351
- Reporting formats: audience as selection  
factor, 351; case studies, 361*t*,  
363–364*e*; e-mail and electronic  
media, 361*t*, 363; impact study report,  
359*e*–360*e*, 369*e*; interim and  
progress reports, 362; meetings, 361,  
366–369; options listed, 361*t*; program  
brochures and pamphlets,  
361*t*, 363; routine communication  
tools for, 362–363
- Reporting results: application/  
implementation data, 209*fig*;  
audience opinion of program  
factor of, 352; communication issues  
of, 348–350; cost data, 92–94,  
292–293; of cost data, 92–94; costs  
associated with, 296; described, 29;  
using evaluation data, 372–373*t*; of  
implementation, 386; of inputs to  
executives, 97–98; on learning/  
confidence test results, 160; media used  
for, 351, 359–364*e*; planning communication  
for, 355–356; principles  
of communicating results for, 350–  
352; process for communicating results,  
352–354; reactions to, 372;  
reasons for, 354. *See also* Communication;  
Evaluations; Feedback
- Resistance: building blocks for overcoming,  
378*fig*; implementation and  
overcoming, 377–378; typical objections  
to ROI methodology, 377*e*. *See also*  
Barriers; Obstacle removal
- Response rates. *See* Questionnaire response  
rates
- Ribstein, D. J., 265
- ROA (return on anticipation), 300, 301*e*
- ROCE (return on client expectations),  
300, 301*e*
- ROE (return on expectations), 300,  
301*e*
- ROI analysis plan, 386. *See also* Action  
plans
- ROI impact studies: example of, 369*e*;  
initiating, 385–387; planning documents  
for, 386
- ROI Institute, 219
- ROI Methodology Process Model: described,  
19, 20–23*fig*; developing objectives,  
19–21*e*–22*e*, 65*e*, 382*e*–383*fig*;  
dispelling myths of, 388–389;  
methodology steps of, 20*fig*, 35*fig*.  
*See also* Evaluation models; *specific  
model steps*
- ROI (return on investment): annualized  
values for developing, 297; application/  
implementation data used for, 168,  
206–209; BCR (benefit/cost ratio) measure  
of, 297–298; conversions using standard  
monetary values, 262–271; conversions  
when standard values are not available,  
271–282; cost data required for accurate,  
78; definition of, 297; discounted cash  
flow alternative to, 304; forecasting  
decision on, 43; formula for calculating,  
27–28, 208, 257, 297, 298–301; impact/  
consequences data used for, 225–226;  
initiating studies on impact and,  
385–387; job category tied to highest  
rate of, 82; leadership measurements  
used in, 333–335; misuse of financial  
terms related to,

- 300–301*e*; objective level of, 22*e*, 65*e*, 72; payback period alternative to, 303–304; reasons for calculating, 258–261; reasons for measuring, 289*e*; setting evaluation targets, 382*e*; strategies for specific targets or expectations of, 301–302; technique selection and finalizing the values for, 282–288; when not to apply, 302–303. *See also* Cost data; Monetary values
- Role playing/skill practice, learning/confidence measured using, 154
- Royal Bank of Scotland Group (RBS), 325–326
- S**
- Samek, S. M., 309
- Santos, J., 321
- Schmidt, L., 334
- Schrock, S., 158
- Schwartz, E. I., 322
- Scorecards: building, 369–370; definition of, 216–217; four categories of, 216; sample report, 370*e*–371*e*. *See also* Macro-level scorecards
- Scoring instructions, 160
- Senge, P., 134
- Senior managers: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; presentation of results to, 366–368*fig*; reaction/planned action feedback from, 107; rewarding the effective employees, 165–166; soft cost data adjusted by, 287. *See also* Managers
- Service-profit chain, 281
- Short-answer tests, 148*e*
- Shrock, S., 144
- Simulations: case studies using, 153; measuring learning using, 152–153; technology and task types of, 152–153
- Smallwood, N., 311
- SMART (specific, motivating, achievable, realistic, and time-based), 222
- Snapper, Inc., 264–265
- Soft data: business impact hard versus, 215–216; considering management adjustment to, 287; customer service category of, 48*e*, 49; defining, 47; employee development/advancement category of, 48*e*, 49; image category of, 48*e*, 49–50; initiative/innovation category of, 48*e*, 49; using input from experts to convert, 274–276; steps to convert into monetary value, 259–261; work climate/satisfaction category of, 48*e*, 49; work habits category of, 48*e*–49. *See also* Data; Hard data
- Solution costs: of acquiring solutions from other sources, 295; of developing, 295
- Southeast Corridor Bank (SCB) case study: on business needs, 53; on determining payoff needs, 43–44; on job performance needs, 54, 55–58; on learning needs, 61; on preference needs, 64–65
- Sponsors/senior managers: as evaluation stakeholder, 14; implementation preparation for, 387–388; presentation of results to, 366–368*fig*; reaction/planned action feedback from, 107; rewarding the effective employees, 165–166; soft cost data adjusted by, 287
- Stakeholders: different types of, 13–15; Stakeholder/Time Combinations, 206*fig*
- Standard monetary values: calculating cost of quality, 267–269*e*; for converting employee time using compensation, 269–270; for converting hard data to money, 263*t*; for converting output data to money, 264–266*e*; data conversion without availability of, 271–282; described, 262–263; locating, 270*e*–271; from sales and marketing, 265*t*–266*t*. *See also* Monetary values
- Standard quality measures, 267–269*e*

- Stone, R., 115, 343, 345
- Streamlining communication, 368–369
- Stress: described, 339; studies on effects of, 339–341
- Stress management program, 341e–342e
- StressMap, 342
- Structured interviews, 190
- Subject-matter experts: converting soft data using input from, 274–276; estimate of impact input by, 254; estimating amount of improvement, 25; learning needs determined by, 58
- Support/overhead, costs of, 296
- Survey response rates, reaction/planned action, 120–121
- Survey samples: low-intensity reaction, 121e; moderate-intensity reaction, 122e–123e
- Surveys: data collection using, 23; learning/confidence, 145–146; measuring learning through, 145–146e; reaction/planned action, 115–116, 118–121, 123–127. *See also* Data collection methods
- T**
- T&D (training and development) costs, inputs measuring, 77–78
- Tangible measures: business impact, 216; converting intangibles into, 309; described, 50, 307. *See also* Intangible measures
- Target audiences. *See* Report audiences
- Task force: assigning implementation responsibilities to, 380–382; establishing, 380
- Task simulations, 152–153
- Team capability measures: communication, 320; cooperation/conflict, 319; decisiveness/decision making, 319; innovation and creativity, 320–324; team effectiveness, 319
- Teams: capabilities of organization, 319–324; L&D (learning and development), 319–324, 384–385; stress management program for, 341e–342e
- Technological tools: customer database, 179; customer relationship management (CRM) software, 179; simulations, 152–153; Web-based databases, 276–279e
- Test classifications: by design, 145; by method used, 144; by purpose and content, 145
- Test failures, 160
- Testimonials, 352
- Tests/testing: administration issues of, 159–160; classifications of, 144–145; criterion-referenced (CRT), 145, 148–149, 150t; data collected using, 24; essay, 145, 148e; fill-in-the-blanks, 148e; learning needs determined using, 60; matching, 147e–148e; multiple-choice, 147e; objective, 146, 147e; oral examinations and essay, 145; performance, 145, 149, 151–152; short-answer, 148e
- 3M, 322
- 360-degree feedback, 333–334
- Time category, 46e, 47
- The Tipping Point* (Gladwell), 343
- Toyota, 322
- Training* magazine, 74, 75, 76, 81, 95
- Trend lines, 25
- Trend-line analysis, 242–244
- Twain, M., 349
- Twinkies, 79
- U**
- Ulrich, D., 281, 311
- Unstructured interviews, 190
- V**
- Validity: definition of, 157; learning/confidence data, 157–159; relationship between reliability and, 158t; tips on improving, 158e

**W**

Wal-Mart, 336

Waldir, A., 344

Watkins, K. E., 134

Web search engines: gathering monetary values data from, 276–278; right questions to ask when searching, 278*e*–279*e*

Welch, J., 333

Whiteside, K., 54

Williamson, P., 321

Work climate/satisfaction category, 48*e*, 49, 280*fig*, 324–325

Work cycle, 52*fig*

Work habits category, 48*e*–49

Work teams: L&D (learning and development), 319–324, 384–385; measuring capabilities of, 319–324; stress management program for, 341*e*–342*e*

Workforce: consequences of unprepared, 137; effects of stress on, 339–343; job satisfaction of, 48*e*, 49, 280*fig*, 324–325; work habits of, 48*e*–49. *See also* Employees

**Y**

Yahoo, 276

