

CONTENTS

1. Charting the Uncharted Seas of Online Student Ratings of Instruction 1
D. Lynn Sorenson, Christian Reiner
Islands of online-rating systems are sprinkled across the globe. Separated by uncharted seas, most have arisen independently without the benefit of other innovators' "maps." In facilitating discussion among these "early adopters," the authors preview Web-based evaluation issues to provide a navigation tool for explorers of online student ratings.
2. Online Course Evaluation and Reporting in Higher Education 25
Kevin M. Hoffman
Results of a national survey indicate an increase in the use of the Internet for student ratings of instruction.
3. Online Ratings: Fact and Fiction 31
Nedra Hardy
Administrators, faculty, and students sometimes express reservations about online student ratings. At Northwestern University, ongoing research about online student evaluations has helped dispel some common (mis)perceptions.
4. Psychometric Properties of Student Ratings of Instruction in Online and On-Campus Courses 39
Debbie E. McGhee, Nana Lowell
To compare online and paper-based methods of student ratings of instruction, researchers examine mean ratings, inter-rater reliabilities, and factor structure of items.
5. Online Student Ratings: Will Students Respond? 49
Trav D. Johnson
Based on five years of research, a case study focuses on the response rates for one university's online evaluation system. The author identifies factors that influence response rates and suggests strategies to increase student participation.
6. Online Reporting of Results of Online Student Ratings 61
Donna C. Llewellyn
When examining the merits of online reporting of student-rating results, universities must consider security, logistics, confidentiality, and other important issues.

7. Do Online Ratings of Instruction Make \$ense?	69
<i>Timothy W. Bothell, Tom Henderson</i>	
In comparing online and paper-based systems, institutions need to consider development costs, operating costs, and miscellaneous costs. In a case study, researchers found that an online student evaluation system was less expensive than a traditional paper-based system.	
8. Course Evaluation on the Web: Facilitating Student and Teacher Reflection to Improve Learning	81
<i>Beatrice Tucker, Sue Jones, Leon Straker, Joan Cole</i>	
Course Evaluation on the Web (CEW) is a comprehensive online system for instructional feedback and improvement. CEW promotes reflection and cooperation among faculty, students, and administrators.	
9. Online Collection of Midterm Student Feedback	95
<i>Cheryl Davis Bullock</i>	
An online evaluation system offers an opportunity for collecting mid-course student feedback. The Evaluation Online (EON) system provides both flexibility and structure for midcourse formative evaluation. This chapter presents faculty opinions and suggestions for the online midterm feedback system.	
10. Online Evaluations of Teaching: An Examination of Current Practice and Considerations for the Future	103
<i>Christina Ballantyne</i>	
The author considers prominent issues raised in this volume and thought-provoking ideas for the future of online student ratings.	
INDEX	113

FROM THE SERIES EDITOR

About This Publication. Since 1980, *New Directions for Teaching and Learning (NDTL)* has brought a unique blend of theory, research, and practice to leaders in postsecondary education. *NDTL* sourcebooks strive not only for solid substance but also for timeliness, compactness, and accessibility.

The series has four goals: to inform readers about current and future directions in teaching and learning in postsecondary education, to illuminate the context that shapes these new directions, to illustrate these new directions through examples from real settings, and to propose ways in which these new directions can be incorporated into still other settings.

This publication reflects the view that teaching deserves respect as a high form of scholarship. We believe that significant scholarship is conducted not only by researchers who report results of empirical investigations but also by practitioners who share disciplined reflections about teaching. Contributors to *NDTL* approach questions of teaching and learning as seriously as they approach substantive questions in their own disciplines, and they deal not only with pedagogical issues but also with the intellectual and social context in which these issues arise. Authors deal on the one hand with theory and research and on the other with practice, and they translate from research and theory to practice and back again.

About This Volume. Technology is having an impact on every aspect of higher education, from class management to grade delivery to student-faculty interactions. Each of these uses has its pluses and minuses, its critics and supporters. It is not surprising to find technology being explored as the answer to some of the problems of students' evaluation of teaching. This issue of *NDTL* tackles that very question and, as with most examinations of technology, finds that the answers are not simple—but they are encouraging.

Marilla D. Svinicki
Editor-in-Chief

MARILLA D. SVINICKI is director of the Center for Teaching Effectiveness at the University of Texas at Austin.

