

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Peter H. Adler¹ and Robert G. Foottit²

¹Department of Entomology, Soils, and Plant Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634-0315 USA

²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canadian National Collection of Insects, Ottawa, ON, K1A 0C6 Canada

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Every so often, a technical term born in the biological community enters the popular vocabulary, usually because of its timeliness, political implications, media hype, and euphonious ability to capture the essence of an issue. 'Biotechnology', 'human genome', and 'stem cells' are terms as common in public discourse as they are in scientific circles. 'Biodiversity' is another recent example. Introduced in its portmanteau form in the mid-1980s by Warren G. Rosen (Wilson 1988), the term has grown steadily in popularity. By March 2008, the keyword 'biodiversity' generated 12 million hits on Google Search. Three months later, the number of hits, using the same keyword search, had shot to more than 17 million.

Although the word 'biodiversity' might be familiar to many, its definition is often subject to individual interpretation. Abraham Lincoln grappled with a similar concern over the word 'liberty'. In an 1864 speech, Lincoln opined, 'The world has never had a good definition of the word liberty, and the American people, just now, are much in want of one . . . but in using the same word we do not all mean the same thing' (Simpson 1998). To the layperson, 'biodiversity' might conjure a forest, a box of beetles, or perhaps the entire fabric of life. Among scientists, the word has been defined, explicitly and implicitly, *ad nauseum*, producing a range of variants (e.g., Gaston 1996). In its original context, the term 'biodiversity' encompassed a broad range of topics (Wilson 1988), and we embrace that perspective. Biodiversity, then, is big biology, describing a holistic view of life. It is 'the variety of all forms of life, from genes to species, through to the broad scale of ecosystems' (Faith 2007). The fundamental units of biodiversity – species – serve as focal points for studying the full panoply of life, allowing workers to zoom in and out along a scale from molecule to ecosystem. The species-centered view also provides a vital focus for conserving life forms and understanding the causes of declining biodiversity.

Despite disagreements over issues ranging from definitions of biodiversity to phylogenetic approaches, biologists can agree on four major points. (1) The world supports a great number of insects. (2) We do not know how many species of insects occupy our planet. (3) The value of insects to humanity is enormous. (4) Too few specialists exist to inventory the world's entomofauna.

By virtue of the sheer numbers of individuals and species, insects, more than any other life form, command the attention of biologists. The number of individual insects on earth at any given moment has

been calculated at one quintillion (10^{18}) (Williams 1964), an unimaginably large number on par with the number of copepods in the ocean (Schubel and Butman 1998) and roughly equivalent to the number of sand grains along a few kilometers of beach (Ray 1996). The total number of insect species similarly bankrupts the mind. Estimates offered over the past four centuries have increased steadily from 10,000 species, proposed by John Ray in 1691 (Berenbaum, this volume), to as many as 80 million (Erwin 2004). Today's total of 1,004,898 described living species (Table 1.1) is more than 100 times the 1691 estimate. Based on a figure of 1.50–1.74 million described eukaryotic species in the world (May 1998), insects represent 58–67% of the total.

The members of the class Insecta are arranged in 29 orders (Grimaldi and Engel 2005, Arillo and Engel 2006). Four of these orders – the Coleoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, and Lepidoptera – account for 81% of all the described species of living insects. The beetles are far in front, leading the next largest order, the Lepidoptera, by a factor of about 2.3 (Table 1.1). A growing number of world checklists and catalogs are available online for various families and orders. Outfitted with search functions, they provide another tool for handling the taxonomic juggernaut of new species and nomenclatural changes. We can foresee a global registry of species in the near future that is updated with each new species or synonym, allowing real-time counts for any taxon.

The greatest concentration of insect species lies in tropical areas of the globe. One hectare of Amazonian rainforest contains more than 100,000 species of arthropods (Erwin 2004), of which roughly 85% are insects (May 1998). This value is more than 90% of the total described species of insects in the entire Nearctic Region. Yet, this tropical skew is based partly on a view of species as structurally distinct from one another. Morphologically similar, if not indistinguishable, species (i.e., sibling species) typically do not figure in estimates of the number of insect species. If organisms as large as elephants and giraffes are composites of multiple species (Brown et al. 2007), a leap of faith is not required to realize that smaller earthlings also consist of additional, reproductively isolated units of biodiversity. When long-recognized nominal species of insects, from black flies to butterflies, are probed more deeply, the repetitive result is an increase, often many-fold, in the number of species (Hebert et al. 2004, Post et al. 2007). We do not yet have a clear indication

Table 1.1 World totals of described, living species in the 29 orders of the class Insecta.

Order ¹	Described Species ²	References
Archaeognatha	504	Mendes 2002, Zoological Record 2002–2008
Zygentoma	527	Mendes 2002, Zoological Record 2002–2008
Ephemeroptera	3046	Barber-James et al. 2008
Odonata	5680	Kalkman et al. 2008
Dermaptera	1967	Steinmann 1989, Zoological Record 1989–2008
Notoptera	39	Vrsansky et al. 2001, Engel and Grimaldi 2004
Plecoptera	3497	Fochetti and de Figueroa 2008
Embiodea	458	Ross 2001, Zoological Record 2002–2008
Zoraptera	34	Hubbard 2004, Zoological Record 2004–2008
Phasmatodea	2853	Brock 2008
Orthoptera	23,616	Eades and Otte 2008
Mantodea	2384	Ehrmann 2002, Zoological Record 2002–2008
Blattaria	4565	Beccaloni 2007
Isoptera	2864	Constantino 2008
Psocoptera	5574	New and Lienhard 2007, Zoological Record 2008
Phthiraptera	5024	Durden and Musser 1994, Price et al. 2003, L. Durden personal communication
Thysanoptera	5749	Mound 2005, personal communication
Hemiptera	100,428	Duffels and van der Laan 1985, Zoological Record 1981–2008 (Cicadidae); Remaudière and Remaudière 1997, G. L. Miller personal communication (Aphidoidea); McKamey 1998, 2007, personal communication (Cercopidae, Cicadellidae, Membracidae); Hollis 2002 (Psylloidea); Ben-Dov et al. 2006 (Coccoidea); Bourgoin 2005, McKamey personal communication (Fulgoroidea); Martin and Mound 2007 (Aleyrodidae); Henry, this volume (Heteroptera)
Coleoptera	359,891	Bouchard et al., this volume
Raphidioptera	225	Aspöck 2002, Oswald 2007, J. D. Oswald personal communication
Megaloptera	337	Cover and Resh 2008, J. D. Oswald personal communication
Neuroptera	5704	Oswald 2007, J. D. Oswald personal communication
Hymenoptera	144,695	Huber, this volume
Mecoptera	681	Penny 1997, Zoological Record 1998–2008
Siphonaptera	2048	Lewis 1998, Zoological Record 1998–2008
Strepsiptera	603	Proffitt 2005, Zoological Record 2005–2008
Diptera	152,244	Courtney et al., this volume
Trichoptera	12,868	Morse 2008
Lepidoptera	156,793	Pogue, this volume; Zoological Record 2007–2008
TOTAL	1,004,898	

¹We follow the ordinal classification of Grimaldi and Engel (2005) for the class Insecta, updated to recognize Notoptera (i.e., Grylloblattodea + Mantophasmatodea; Arillo and Engel 2006). Thus, the three orders of the class Entognatha – the Collembola, Diplura, and Protura – are not included. These three orders would add roughly another 11,000 species to the total number in Table 1.1.

²Species were tallied in spring 2008, with the exception of Hymenoptera (Huber, this volume), which were counted primarily in 2006–2007, with earlier counts for some families, and Coleoptera (Bouchard et al., this volume), which were counted, with few exceptions, within the past decade.

4 Peter H. Adler and Robert G. Foottit

across sufficient taxa to know whether a regional bias in sibling species of insects might exist or even vary among taxonomic groups.

The precise number of species, however, is not what we, as a global society, desperately need. Rather, we require a comprehensive, fully accessible library of all volumes (i.e., species) – a colossal compendium of names, descriptions, distributions, and biological information that ultimately can be transformed into a yellow pages of services. Insects hold a vast wealth of behavior, chemistry, form, and function that conservatively translates into an estimated \$57 billion per annum in ecological services to the United States (Losey and Vaughan 2006), a value that does not include services provided by domesticated insects (e.g., honey bees) or their products (e.g., honey and shellac) or mass-reared biological control agents. To harvest the full range of benefits from insects, taxonomists and systematists must first reveal the earth's species and organize them with collateral information that can be retrieved with ease.

Biodiversity science must keep pace with the changing face of the planet, particularly species extinctions and reshufflings driven largely by human activities such as commerce, land conversion, and pollution. By 2007, for example, 1321 introduced species had been documented on the Galapagos Islands, of which at least 37% are insects (Anonymous 2007). As species of insects are being redistributed, others are disappearing, particularly in the tropics, though the data are murky. We are forced into an intractable bind, for we cannot know all that we are losing if we do not know all that we have. We do know, however, that extinction is an inevitable consequence of planetary abuse. The Brazilian government, for instance, announced that deforestation rates had increased in its portion of the Amazon, with a loss of 3235 sq km in the last 5 months of 2007. Using Erwin's (2004) figure of 3×10^{10} individual terrestrial arthropods per hectare of tropical rainforest, we lost habitat for more than 30 trillion arthropods in that one point in space and time.

The urgency to inventory the world's insect fauna is gaining some balance through the current revolution in technology. Coupled with powerful electronic capabilities, the explosion of biodiversity information, much of it now derived from the genomic level, can be networked worldwide to facilitate not only communication and information storage and retrieval but also taxonomy itself – cybertaxonomy (*sensu* Wheeler 2007). Efforts to apply new approaches and bioinformatics on a global

scale are now underway (e.g., Barcode of Life Data Systems 2008, Encyclopedia of Life 2008). We can imagine that in our lifetimes, automated complete-genome sequencing will be available to identify specimens as routinely as biologists today use identification keys. The futuristic handheld gadget that can read a specimen's genome and provide immediate identification, with access to all that is known about the organism (Janzen 2004), is no longer strictly science fiction. Yet, each new technique for revealing and organizing the elements of biodiversity comes with its own set of limitations, some of which we do not yet know. DNA-sequence readers, for instance, will do little to identify fossil organisms. An integrated methodology, mustering information from molecules to morphology, will continue to prove its merit, although it is the most difficult approach for the individual worker to master. Given the vast number of insect species, however, today's themes are likely to remain the same well beyond the advent of handheld, reveal-all devices: an unknown number of species, too few specialists, and too little appreciation of the value of insect biodiversity.

Those who study insect biodiversity do so largely out of a fascination for insects; no economic incentive is needed. But for most people, from a land developer to a hardscrabble farmer, a personal, typically economic reason is required to appreciate the value of insect biodiversity. This value, therefore, must be translated into economic gain. Today's biologists place a great deal of emphasis on discovering species, cataloging them, and inferring their evolutionary relationships. Rightly so. But these activities will not, in themselves, curry favor with the majority. We believe that, now, equal emphasis must be placed on developing the services of insects. We envision a new era, one of entrepreneurial biodiversity that crosses disciplinary boundaries and links the expertise of insect systematists with that of biotechnologists, chemists, economists, engineers, marketers, pharmacologists, and others. Only then can we expect to tap the magic well of benefits derivable from insects and broadly applicable to society, while ensuring a sustainable environment and conserving its biodiversity. And, this enterprise just might reinvigorate interest in biodiversity among the youth and aspiring professionals.

The chapters in this volume are written by biologists who share a passion for insect biodiversity. The text moves from a scene-setting overview of the value of insects through examples of regional biodiversity, taxon biodiversity, tools and approaches,

and management and conservation to a historical view of the quest for the true number of insect species. The case is made throughout these pages that real progress has been achieved in discovering and organizing insect biodiversity and revealing the myriad ways, positive and negative, that insects influence human welfare. While the job remains unfinished, we can be assured that the number of insect-derived benefits yet to be realized is far greater than the number of species yet to be discovered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank those who answered queries and helped us tally species in their areas of expertise: G. W. Beccaloni, P. D. Brock, L. A. Durden, D. C. Eades, F. Haas, C. Lienhard, S. McKamey, G. L. Miller, L. A. Mound, and J. D. Oswald. We appreciate the review of the manuscript by A. G. Wheeler, Jr.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2007. CDF supports Galapagos in danger decision. *Galapagos News* Fall/Winter 2007: 2.
- Arillo, A. and M. S. Engel. 2006. Rock crawlers in Baltic amber (Notoptera: Mantophasmatodea). *American Museum Novitates* 3539: 1–10.
- Aspöck, H. 2002. The biology of Raphidioptera: a review of present knowledge. *Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 48 (Supplement 2): 35–50.
- Barber-James, H. M., J.-L. Gattolliat, M. Sartori, and M. D. Hubbard. 2008. Global diversity of mayflies (Ephemeroptera; Insecta) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595: 339–350.
- Barcode of Life Data Systems. 2008. <http://www.barcodinglife.org/views/login.php> [Accessed 20 January 2008].
- Beccaloni, G. W. 2007. Blattodea species file online. Version 1.2/3.3. <http://Blattodea.SpeciesFile.org> [Accessed 20 May 2008].
- Ben-Dov, Y., D. R. Miller, and G. A. P. Gibson. 2006. ScaleNet. <http://www.sel.barc.usda.gov/SCALENET/scalenet.htm> [Accessed 21 May 2008].
- Bourgoin, T. 2005. FLOW: Fulgomorpha lists on the web. <http://flow.snv.jussieu.fr/cgi-bin/entomosite.pl> [Accessed 22 May 2008].
- Brock, P. D. 2008. Phasmida species file online. Version 2.1/3.3. <http://Phasmida.SpeciesFile.org> [Accessed 22 May 2008].
- Brown, D. M., R. A. Brenneman, K.-P. Koepfli, J. P. Pollinger, B. Milá, N. J. Georgiadis, E. E. Louis, Jr., G. F. Grether, D. K. Jacobs, and R. K. Wayne. 2007. Extensive population genetic structure in the giraffe. *BMC Biology* 5: 57.
- Constantino, R. 2008. On-line termite database. <http://www.unb.br/ib/zoo/docente/constant/catal/catnew.html> [Accessed 22 May 2008].
- Cover, M. R. and V. H. Resh. 2008. Global diversity of dobsonflies, fishflies, and alderflies (Megaloptera; Insecta) and spongillafflies, nevrorthids, and osmylids (Neuroptera; Insecta) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595: 409–417.
- Duffels, J. P. and P. A. van der Laan. 1985. *Catalogue of the Cicadoidea (Homoptera, Auchenorrhyncha) 1956–1980*. Series Entomologica. Volume 34. W. Junk, Dordrecht. xiv + 414 pp.
- Durden, L. A. and G. G. Musser. 1994. The sucking lice (Insecta, Anoplura) of the world: a taxonomic checklist with records of mammalian hosts and geographical distributions. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 218: 1–90.
- Eades, D. C. and D. Otte. 2008. Orthoptera species file online. Version 2.0/3.3. <http://Orthoptera.SpeciesFile.org> [Accessed 21 May 2008].
- Ehrmann, R. 2002. *Mantodea: Gottesanbeterinnen der Welt*. Natur und Tier – Verlag, Münster, Germany. 519 pp.
- Encyclopedia of Life. 2008. <http://www.eol.org/> [Accessed 30 May 2008].
- Engel, M. S. and D. A. Grimaldi. 2004. A new rock crawler in Baltic amber, with comments on the order (Mantophasmatodea: Mantophasmatidae). *American Museum Novitates* 3431: 1–11.
- Erwin, T. L. 2004. The biodiversity question: how many species of terrestrial arthropods are there? Pp. 259–269. In M. D. Lowman and H. B. Rinker (eds). *Forest Canopies*, Second Edition. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, Massachusetts.
- Faith, D. P. 2007. Biodiversity. In E. N. Zalta, U. Nodelman, and C. Allen (eds). *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, Stanford, California. <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/biodiversity/> [Accessed 11 May 2008].
- Fochetti, R. and J. M. T. de Figueroa. 2008. Global diversity of stoneflies (Plecoptera; Insecta) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595: 365–377.
- Gaston, K. J. (ed). 1996. *Biodiversity: A Biology of Numbers and Difference*. Blackwell Science, Oxford. 396 pp.
- Grimaldi, D. A. and M. Engel. 2005. *The Evolution of Insects*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 755 pp.
- Hebert, P. D. N., E. H. Penton, J. M. Burns, D. H. Janzen, and W. Hallwachs. 2004. Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astrartes fulgerator*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 101: 14812–14817.
- Hollis, D. 2002. Psylloidea. <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/abrs/fauna/details.pl?pstrVol=PSYLLOIDEA;pstrTaxa=1;pstrChecklistMode=1> [Accessed 21 May 2008].

6 Peter H. Adler and Robert G. Foottit

- Hubbard, M. D. 2004. The Zoraptera database: catalog of the order Zoraptera. <http://www.famu.org/zoraptera/catalog.html> [Accessed 18 May 2008].
- Janzen, D. H. 2004. Now is the time. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 359: 731–732.
- Kalkman, V. J., V. Crausnitzer, K.-D. B. Dijkstra, A. G. Orr, D. R. Paulson, and J. van Tol. 2008. Global diversity of dragonflies (Odonata) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595: 351–363.
- Lewis, R. E. 1998. Résumé of the Siphonaptera (Insecta) of the world. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 35: 377–389.
- Losey, J. E. and M. Vaughan. 2006. The economic value of ecological services provided by insects. *BioScience* 56: 311–323.
- Martin, J. H. and L. A. Mound. 2007. An annotated check list of the world's whiteflies (Insecta: Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Zootaxa* 1492: 1–84.
- May, R. M. 1998. The dimensions of life on Earth. Pp. 30–45. In P. H. Raven (ed). *Nature and Human Society: The Quest for a Sustainable World*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- McKamey, S. H. 1998. Taxonomic catalogue of the Membracoidea (exclusive of leafhoppers): second supplement to fascicle 1 – Membracidae of the general catalogue of the Hemiptera. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 60: 1–377.
- McKamey, S. H. 2007. Taxonomic catalogue of the leafhoppers (Membracoidea). Part 1. Cicadellinae. *Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute* 78: 1–394.
- Mendes, L. F. 2002. Taxonomy of Zygentoma and Microcoryphia: historical overview, present status and goals for the new millennium. *Pedobiologia* 46: 225–233.
- Morse, J. C. 2008. Welcome to the world Trichoptera checklist. <http://entweb.clemson.edu/database/trichopt/index.htm> [Accessed 21 May 2008].
- Mound, L. 2005. Thysanoptera (thrips) of the world – a checklist. <http://www.ento.csiro.au/thysanoptera/worldthrips.html> [Accessed 22 May 2008].
- New, T. R. and C. Lienhard. 2007. The Psocoptera of tropical South-east Asia. *Fauna Malesiana Handbooks* 6. ix + 290 pp.
- Oswald, J. D. 2007. Neuropterida species of the world: a catalogue of the species-group names of the extant and fossil Neuropterida (Insecta: Neuroptera, Megaloptera and Raphidioptera) of the world. Version 2.00. <http://lacewing.tamu.edu/Species-Catalogue/> [Accessed 22 May 2008].
- Penny, N. D. 1997. World checklist of extant Mecoptera species. http://research.calacademy.org/research/entomology/Entomology_Resources/mecoptera/index.htm [Accessed 18 May 2008].
- Post, R. J., M. Mustapha, and A. Krueger. 2007. Taxonomy and inventory of the cytospecies and cytotypes of the *Simulium damnosum* complex (Diptera: Simuliidae) in relation to onchocerciasis. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 12: 1342–1353.
- Price, R. D., R. A. Hellenthal, R. L. Palma, K. P. Johnson, and D. H. Clayton. 2003. The chewing lice: world checklist and biological overview. *Illinois Natural History Survey Special Publication* 24: 1–501.
- Proffitt, F. 2005. Twisted parasites from 'outer space' perplex biologists. *Science* 307: 343.
- Ray, C. C. 1996. *Stars and sand*. New York Times, 5 March 1996.
- Remaudière, G. and M. Remaudière. 1997. Catalogue des Aphididae du monde (Homoptera Aphidoidea). INRA Editions, Paris. 473 pp.
- Ross, E. S. 2001. World list of extant and fossil Embiidina (=Embioptera). http://research.calacademy.org/research/entomology/Entomology_Resources/embiiist/embiiist.html [Accessed 18 May 2008].
- Schubel, J. R. and A. Butman. 1998. Keeping a finger on the pulse of marine biodiversity: how healthy is it? Pp. 84–103. In P. H. Raven (ed). *Nature and Human Society: The Quest for a Sustainable World*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Simpson, B. D. 1998. *Think Anew. Act Anew: Abraham Lincoln on Slavery, Freedom, and Union*. Harlan Davidson, Wheeling, Illinois. 205 pp.
- Steinmann, H. 1989. *World Catalogue of Dermaptera*. Series Entomologica. Volume 43. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 934 pp.
- Vrsansky, P., S. Y. Storozhenko, C. C. Labandeira, and P. Ihringova. 2001. *Galloisiana olgae* sp. nov. (Grylloblattodea: Grylloblattidae) and the paleobiology of a relict order of insects. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 94: 179–184.
- Wheeler, Q. D. 2007. Invertebrate systematics or spineless taxonomy? *Zootaxa* 1668: 11–18.
- Williams, C. B. 1964. *Patterns in the Balance of Nature and Related Problems in Quantitative Biology*. Academic Press, London. 324 pp.
- Wilson, E. O. (ed). 1988. *Biodiversity*. National Academy of Sciences/Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC. 538 pp.