



INDEX

A

Abrupt appearance concept, 106–107, 141–142
Access Research Network, 103–104
ACLU. *See* American Civil Liberties Union
Alters, B., 48
American Association for the Advancement of Science, 135
American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 11, 26, 45–48, 50
Americans United for the Separation of Church and State (AU), 26, 46–47
Antimaterialism, and ID worldview, 74–75
Argento, M., 161
Aryani, H., 51–52
Atheism, 88, 118–119, 204
AU. *See* Americans United for the Separation of Church and State

B

Bacterial flagellum, 38–39, 44, 131–132, 141–143, 171–174
Baksa, M., 149, 157, 159–163, 167
Behe, M.: and bacterial flagellum, 38–39; and common descent, 37; as creationist, 173; and CSC, 76; description of, 24; as ID witness, 69; and irreducible complexity, 47, 48, 172–174; quote, 124; testimony of, 126–143
Bernhard-Bubb, H., 11, 175–176
Bible, 94, 102–104
Big bang theory, 66, 132–133
Big tent policy, of intelligent design, 70–71
Biology: A Living Science (Miller and Levine), 9, 30
Biology and Creation (Kenyon and Davis), 106

The Blind Watchmaker (Dawkins), 102
Bonsell, A.: and gift copies of *Pandas*, 159; pretrial deposition of, 149–150, 151; as school board member, 4–5, 10, 50; testimony of, 167–169; and views of evolution, 57
Bonsell, D., 151, 159, 168
Book of Revelation, 94
Born Again culture, 87–88, 92–93
Breaking the Spell (Dennett), 204
Brown, C., 11, 151
Brown, J., 11
Bryan, W. J., 7–8, 61–63, 64
Buckingham, B.: and biology text selection, 9–12, 147; and Discovery Institute, 67–68; and foundation of U. S., 57; and life after trial, 207; and *Pandas*, 11–12; pretrial deposition of, 149–150, 152; quote, 158; as school board member, 4; testimony of, 163–167, 176; as witness, 158–159
Burning, of mural, 5–9
Bush, G. W., 72, 95, 198

C

Callahan, B.: and biology text selection, 9–11; and election to school board, 3–5; and life after trial, 206–207; and Nilsen testimony, 162; and “parallel universe,” 155; as plaintiff, 59; as school board member, 151–152
Callahan, F., 3, 43, 59, 175, 206–207
Campbell, J. A., 69
CARES (Citizens Actively Reviewing Educational Strategies), 182–185
The Case for Creation (Davis), 105

- Center for Science and Culture (CSC), 68, 75–78, 195
- Center for the Renewal of Science and Culture (CRSC), 75, 128
- Chapman, B., 74, 75
- Chapman, M., 85–88
- Christianity, and L. Strauss, 144–145
- Clayton, P., 120–121
- Cleaver, J., 12, 152
- Closing arguments, 174–181
- Collins, F., 31, 205
- Common descent concept, 34–37
- Constitution of United States. *See* First Amendment
- Council for Secular Humanism, 100
- Creation Biology* (Kenyon and Davis), 105–106
- Creation Research Society, 172, 173
- Creation science. *See* Creationism
- Creationism: and biology text selection, 10–11; of C. W. Slack, 20; and closing argument of Rothschild, 175–176; and decision of Judge Jones, 189–191; and Dover school board, 147–156, 160–162; and *Edwards v. Aguillard*, 105–108; and micro-evolution, 7–8; as religion, 27. *See also* Intelligent design
- Creationism's Trojan Horse: The Wedge of Intelligent Design* (Forrest and Gross), 47, 99–100
- “Cultural Confrontation and Renewal” phase, of the “Wedge,” 77–78
- Cultural relativism, 87–88
- D**
- Darrow, C., 62
- Darwin, C.: and irreducible complexity, 131; and materialism, 86–88; and micro-evolution, 7–8; and natural selection, 38–39, 41–42; and principles of evolution, 34–36; in “Wedge” document, 76. *See also* Darwinism
- Darwin on Trial* (Johnson), 20
- Darwinism, 64–65, 87–88, 90–95, 117, 200
- Darwin's Black Box* (Behe), 24, 38–39, 127, 128, 172–174
- Davis, P., 105–106
- Dawkins, R., 20–21, 92, 102, 118, 204–205
- Decision, of Judge Jones, 186–198
- Dembski, W.: as creationist, 173; as ID witness, 68–69, 79; and initial trial strategy, 48; and Logos theology, 104; and *Pandas*, 107; and science, 121
- Demmett, D., 117–118, 204
- Denton, M., 102, 129
- Discovery Institute: background of, 71, 72–73, 74–78, 79–83; and decision of Judge Jones, 195; and Forrest testimony, 98–108; and *Pandas*, 107; role of in trial, 67–70; and school board resolution, 13
- Divine law, and nature of designer, 81
- Dr. Dino, 90–96
- E**
- Edwards v. Aguillard*, 105–108
- Einstein, A., 17–19, 66
- Election of school board, after trial, 182–185
- Endorsement test, 189–191
- Establishment Clause. *See* First Amendment
- Eveland, B., 53, 59
- Evolution: A Theory in Crisis* (Denton), 102, 129
- Evolution: and A. Bonsell, 57; critique of by intelligent design, 36–39; and E. Mayer, 129; evaluation of as theory, 40–41; explanation of by Miller, 32–36; implications of, 66; and P. Johnson, 102–104. *See also* Natural selection
- “Evolution in (Brownian) Space: A Model for the Origin of the Bacterial Flagellum” (Matzke), 44
- Exaptation, 141
- F**
- Farber, J., 52
- Fenimore, D., 58–59
- Finding Darwin's God: A Scientist's Search for Common Ground Between God and Evolution* (Miller), 31
- First Amendment: and creationism, 27; and decision of Judge Jones, 189–191; and Discovery Institute, 67; and *Edwards v. Aguillard*, 105–106; financial considerations in cases of, 46; and *Lemon* test, 146; and nature of designer, 81; rationale of, 177; and rights, 47
- First Commandment, and nature of designer, 81
- Flagellum. *See* Bacterial flagellum
- Forrest, B.: and initial trial strategy, 47; quote, 74, 98; testimony of, 98–108, 126; and views on Discover Institute withdrawal, 70; and the “Wedge,” 78
- Fossil record, 33–34, 47
- Foundation for Thought and Ethics, 106, 107, 111–112
- G**
- Galápagos finches, 8
- Geesey, H., 167

Gilder, G., 74
 Gillen, P.: closing argument of, 174, 178–181;
 and cross-examination of Miller, 39; and
 cross-examination of Pennock, 122–123; and
 examination of Buckingham, 164; opening
 arguments of, 28–29; and pretrial deposi-
 tions, 149
God After Darwin (Haight), 91
 God, concepts of, 16–22, 204–205, 207
The God Delusion (Dawkins), 204
Godless: The Church of Liberalism (Coulter), 205
 Gospel of John, 102–103, 104
 Gould, S. J., 119, 201
 Grove, J., 91

H

Harkins, S., 149–150
 Harvey, S.: and cross-examination of Minnich,
 171; and examination of Buckingham, 166;
 and examination of Minnich, 172–174; and
 initial trial strategy, 46–48, 52–53, 56, 58;
 and injunction issue, 148–149; and pretrial
 depositions, 149–156; quote, 144, 158
 Haight, J., 16, 48, 91
 Henson, K., 51–53, 186, 197–198
 Hovind, K., 90–95, 95–96
 Humburg, B. C., 93–94

I

Icons of Evolution (Discovery Institute), 154
 ID. See Intelligent Design
 IDEA (Intelligent Design and Evolution Aware-
 ness) Club, 79
 Informational signature, 80
Inherit the Wind (film), 64
 Injunction issue, 147–156
 Institute for Advanced Study, 17
*Intelligent Design Creationism and Its Critics: Philo-
 sophical, Theological, and Scientific Perspectives*
 (Pennock), 111
 Intelligent design (ID): and antimaterialism,
 74–75; “big tent” policy of, 70–71; and biol-
 ogy curriculum, 12; and closing argument of
 Rothschild, 175–176; as creationism, 27,
 189–191; and critique of evolution, 36–39;
 and decision of Judge Jones, 191–194; defini-
 tion of, 28–29, 107; evaluation of as theory,
 40–41; evaluation of movement, 202–203;
 and materialism, 112–123; and micro-evolu-

tion, 7–8; and nature of designer, 79–83; ori-
 gins of, 102–104; scientific status of, 30–41,
 72–73, 119–123, 126, 135–136. See also
 Irreducible complexity

*Intelligent Design: The Bridge Between Science and The-
 ology* (Dembski), 121

Irreducible complexity: coinage of, 128; and
 decision of Judge Jones, 191–192; discussion
 of, 128–143; and initial trial strategy, 47, 48;
 and testimony of Minnich, 171–172

Italy, evaluation of by G. Meotti, 87–88, 92–93

J

John, Gospel of, 102–103, 104
 Johnson, P.: “big tent” policy of, 70–71; and C. W.
 Slack, 20, 21; as creationist, 173; and Dar-
 winian paradigm, 200; and Discovery Insti-
 tute, 74–75; on *Firing Line*, 127; and N.
 Pearcey, 99; and natural selection, 37; and
 origin of ID, 102–104; quote, 85; and shifting
 argument, 111
 Jones, J., III: decision of, 186–198, 205–206;
 description of, 15, 25–26; quote, 182; and
 testimony of Bonsell, 167–168; and testi-
 mony of Buckingham, 166–167
 Judaism, and L. Strauss, 144–145

K

Katskee, R., 47, 52, 148–149, 156
 Kenyon, D. H., 105–106, 173
 Kitzmiller, T., 11, 53–56, 182–185, 206–207
 Knudsen, P., 156
 Kuhn, T., 199–201

L

*The Language of God: A Scientist Presents Evidence for
 Belief* (Collins), 205
 Law Center. See Thomas More Law Center
 Lebo, L., 7
 Legal fees, and trial, 184, 206
 Lehigh Department of Biological Sciences, state-
 ment of, 136
Lemon test, 146, 189–191
Lemon v. Kurtzman, 146
Letter to a Christian Nation (Harris), 204
 Levine, J., 9
 Lieb, J., 3, 50, 58–59
 Logos theology, 104
 Louisiana legislature, 105–106

Luskin, C.: and decision of Judge Jones, 188, 196–197; description of, 79–83; and Forrest testimony, 98; role of in trial, 67; and scientific status of intelligent design, 72–73

M

Materialism: attitudes toward, 87–88; and intelligent design, 104; as key concept in trial, 112–123; and Kuhn, 201–202; as theological view, 197; in “Wedge” document, 76–77

Mather, B., 52

Matzke, N.: and decision of Judge Jones, 195; and editions of *Pandas*, 107–108; and injunction issue, 148; role of in trial, 24–25, 43–48, 52, 143, 205–206

Meotti, G., 81–82, 84, 85–88, 92–93, 145

Methodological materialism, 113–123

Methodological naturalism, 99

Meyer, S., 69, 74, 75, 76

Micro-evolution, evidence of, 7–8

Miller, J., 157, 160

Miller, K.: evaluation of by J. Grove, 91; on *Firing Line*, 127; and initial trial strategy, 47; and materialism, 115, 119; quote, 23; testimony of, 30–41, 126

Minnich, S., 48, 69, 76, 170–174

Modernism, Western, 77–78

Monaghan, T., 12, 64

Moral relativism, 87–88

Muise, R., 30–31, 128–135, 137, 170–172

Mural, burning of, 5–9

N

National Academy of Sciences, 135

National Center for Science Education (NCSE), 25, 43–43

Natural selection: and Behe testimony, 129–131, 139–143; and Darwin, 8, 38–39; explanation of, 35–36; and false dichotomy, 120; insufficiency of, 102–103; and intelligent design, 37–39; vs. supernatural acts of Creator, 41–42; and testimony of Minnich, 171–172. *See also* Evolution

Naturalism, 99, 112, 197. *See also* Materialism
NCSE. *See* National Center for Science Education
Nelson, P., 104, 203

Neo-Darwinian culture, 87–88

“The New Atheism” (article), 205

New Orleans Secular Humanist Association, 100

Nilsen, R., 7, 149–150, 157, 159–163

Noble lie concept, 144–145

“Not So Blind a Watchmaker” (Behe), 172

O

Of Pandas and People: The Central Question of Biological Origins (Kenyon and Davis): and Buckingham, 11–12; as creationist text, 27; and Dover school board decision, 67, 68; editions of, 105–108; and Forrest, 47; and Pennock, 111; source of gift copies, 159, 168
On the Origin of Species (Darwin), 34–36, 41–42

P

Pacific Discovery (periodical), 21

Padian, K., 47–48, 126

Pandas. *See* *Of Pandas and People: The Central Question of Biological Origins* (Kenyon and Davis)

Paradigm shift, 199–201

“Parallel universe,” of school board members, 152–155

Pearcey, N., 99, 173

Pell, M., 10, 147, 155

Pennock, R., 48, 70–71, 91, 109–123, 126

Pennsylvania, and tradition of religious freedom, 177–178

Pepper Hamilton, 26, 46, 51–52

Philosophical materialism, 113–123

Philosophical naturalism, 99

Plato, 144–145

Pragmatic naturalism, 99

Preliminary injunction issue, 147–156

Press, E., 95

Purposeful arrangement of parts, concept of, 130, 192

R

Reeser, L., 6–8

Rehm, B., 56–58, 183–184, 206–207

Rehm, C., 56–58, 206–207

Relativism, cultural/moral, 87–88

Religion, and truth, 94–97

“Reply to My Critics” (Behe), 138, 139

Republican Party, 96, 202–204

Resolution, of school board, 13, 15

Revelation, Book of, 94

The Right Questions (Johnson), 99

Rocks of Ages (Gould), 119

Rothschild, E.: closing argument of, 174–178;

and cross-examination of Behe, 135–143; and examination of Buckingham, 165–166; and examination of Pennock, 110–123; and Forrest testimony, 103–104; and initial trial strategy, 45–48, 52–53, 56; and injunction issue, 148–149; opening arguments of, 26–28; and pretrial depositions, 149–156; quote, 124, 182
 Russell, S., 149, 162–163

S

Santorum, R., 25, 64, 153, 202
 Schmidt, T., 52
 School board election, after trial, 182–185, 195–196
 School board statement, 146–147
Science and Religion in Search of Cosmic Purpose (Haught), 91
 Science, nature of, 32, 65–66, 95–96, 112–123, 133
 Scientific paradigm, 199–201
 Scopes Monkey Trial, 7–8, 50, 61–63, 65
 Scott, E., 24–25, 26, 44, 45–48, 127
 Secular humanism, definition of, 100–101
 Separation of church and state, 10, 146
 “Signs of Intelligence, A Primer on the Discernment of Intelligent Design” (Dembski), 104
 “Simulating Evolution by Gene Duplication of Protein Features That Requires Multiple Amino Acid Residues” (Behe and Snoke), 139–140
 Slack, C. W., 17–22
 Slack, G., 61
 Slack, J., 84
 Slack, L., 84, 110
 Smith, J., 1, 59, 177
 Smith, K., 59
 Smith, M., 59
 Sneath, C., 11, 53–54, 58, 185, 206–207
 Sneath, G., 58, 177
 Spahr, B., 6–7, 12, 13, 159–160, 161
 Spinoza, B., 17–18
 Stough, A., 59
 Stough, J., 59
 Stough, S., 11, 59, 60, 185, 206–207
 Strausbaugh, Z., 5–9, 59
 Strauss, L., 144–145
The Structure of Scientific Revolutions (Kuhn), 199
 Supernatural, and science, 115

Supreme Court. *See* U.S. Supreme Court

T

Talbot, M., 25, 33
 Teach the controversy policy, of Discovery Institute, 13, 67–68, 69, 192
 Templeton Foundation, 201, 204
 Theism, 103–104, 197, 201–202
 Theocracy, 98
 Theodicy, 59
 Theory, definition of, 39–41
 Thomas More Law Center, 12, 63–66, 68, 194–195
 Thompson, R.: background of, 63–66; and comparison with W. J. Bryan, 61–63, 64; and Discovery Institute, 68–69; evaluation of, 73; and Forrest testimony, 98–103; and injunction issue, 149; and *Lemon* test, 146; and *Pandas*, 11; quote, 16, 61, 74, 98; views of, 67, 71
Tower of Babel: The Evidence Against the New Creationism (Pennock), 48, 70–71, 111
Traipsing into Evolution: Intelligent Design and the Kitzmiller vs. Dover Decision (Luskin), 196–197
 Truth, 94–97, 144–145

U

Unlocking the Mystery of Life (DVD), 154
 U.S. Constitution. *See* First Amendment
 U.S. Supreme Court, 27, 105–108

W

Walczak, W.: description of, 30; and examination of Miller, 32–33; and initial trial strategy, 45–48, 52; and injunction issue, 148–149, 156; quote, 23; role of in trial, 49–50, 62
 “The Wedge” document, 76–78, 99–100, 175
 “Welcome to Doomsday” (essay), 94
 West, J. G., 68
 “What Every Theologian Should Know About Creation, Evolution, and Design” (Dembski), 121
 “What Is Secular Humanism?” (pamphlet), 100
 Whitehead, A. N., 18
 Wilcox, A., 52
World Magazine (periodical), 102

Y

Yingling, A., 12, 14, 15, 151