

INDEX

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table.

A

Accountability, Avon Products' talent practices, 13–14

Action learning, 238–239

Asbeck, K., 54

Assessment: Ecolab's 180-degree assessment tool used for, 90, 92, 93*fig*; Southern Company's leadership competencies, 248*fig*–249; Southern Company's talent, 251–253*fig*; talent management programs use of, 273–276; Whirlpool Leadership Model approach to talent, 263–265; whole system transformation's driven by, 64–66. *See also* Performance reviews

Avon 360 program, 5

Avon Products, Inc.: background information on, 2; “The Deal” program of, 5–6, 8*fig*; differentiate on “how” principle of, 4; execute on the “what” principle of, 4; incorporating more fact-based decision making at, 12–13; injecting accountability for talent practices at, 13–14; key “take away points” on case of, 288; measuring success of, 15; new level of discipline enacted by, 11–12; radical simplification of every process adopted by, 7–10; results of, 14–15; shift from egalitarian to differentiation by, 10–11; success-driven challenge facing, 2; talent challenge facing, 3–4; Talent Investment Matrix of, 6*fig*; transparency adopted by, 5–7; turnaround made by, 3; value/complexity curve at, 10*fig*

B

Bank of America: background information on, 18; executive leadership development

activities at, 21–32*fig*; executive leadership level interventions needed at, 19–21; executive on-boarding program of, 23–34, 288; leadership dilemma facing, 18–20; senior leadership model of, 32*fig*

Bank of America executive on-boarding program: design assumptions underlying the, 23–24; entry phase of, 25–30; final phase of, 32; key “take away points” on, 288; lessons for designing, 32–34; mid-point phase of, 29–32; selection phase of, 24–25

Barnett, R. C., 84, 101

Baugh, V., 115, 134–135

Bell, C., 156

Benjamin, B., 19

“Best Manufacturing Companies to Sell For” list (*Selling Power*), 85

Blankenship & Seay Consulting Group, 247

Booze Allen & Hamilton, 118

Bowen, H. K., 38

BPI Action Learning Labs, 287

BPI (Best Practice Institute), 271–273, 286–287. *See also* *Talent Management Survey* (2009)

C

California Perfume Company, 2

Camarow, A., 138

Cantalupo, J., 156

Carter, L., 286

CES (Customer and Enterprises Services Division): business background and challenges facing, 61–62; evaluation of whole system transformation at, 82; leadership alignment event at, 68–71; leadership and process roots of transformation at, 62–68;

- supporting and reinforcing whole system transformation at, 77–82
- CES transformation: assessment as driving need for whole system, 64–66; evaluation of, 82; examining the roots of, 62; five truths of whole system transformation, 67*fig*; implementing the whole system, 71–77; key “take away points” on, 289; leadership alignment event approach to, 68–71; personal transformation prior to, 63–64; process taken for, 66–68; QUEST sustainability process of, 72–73*fig*; supporting and reinforcing the whole system, 77–82
- Charan, R., 86, 230, 244
- Charlton, D., 41
- Chiapetta, G., 68, 76
- Clayton, S., 115, 134
- Coaching. *See* Executive coaching
- CoachSource, 192
- Colorado Permanente Medical Group, 138
- Competencies. *See* Leadership competencies
- Conger, J., 17, 19, 34
- Corning: background information on, 37; business case for accelerated management development at, 40–48; DPPE (Data—Purpose—Plan—Evaluate) model used at, 44; five-stage innovation model of, 39–40*fig*; historic development of, 37–39; leadership development design at, 48–56, 289; metrics and goals of, 54*fig*; new opportunities and steps for, 57; voice of the customer on management of, 42–44
- Corning leadership program: business case for accelerated, 40–48; design flow of, 48–56; interim period on leadership connections, 51–53; key “take away points” on, 289; outcomes and next steps for, 56–57; program manager competency model used during, 52*fig*; week one on running a program, 49–51; week two on launching a business, 53–56
- Corporate Leadership Council (CLC), 182, 189, 290
- Craig, C., 41
- D**
- Dannemiller, K., 70
- Dannemiller-Tyson formula for change, 71–72*fig*
- “The Deal” (Avon Product), 5–6, 8*fig*
- Drotter, S., 86, 210, 230, 244
- Drotter’s Leadership Pipeline: benefits to Porter Novelli of applying, 226; design adapted to Porter Novelli, 229–231; Porter Novelli implementation of, 231–240
- Duke Corporate Education, 254
- E**
- Ecolab, Inc.: background of, 85; organizational culture of, 87–88; Talent Council of, 100; talent management philosophy of, 88–89; talent pipeline strategy used by, 85–101, 289
- Ecolab’s Talent Pipeline Model: actions for improving relationships under, 94*fig*; *CareerStart* program created under the, 98–99*fig*; high potential identification and development under, 100*fig*; high potential model used for, 97*fig*; importance of individual development component of, 90–95; introducing the, 95; keeping the pipeline full, 98–100; key passage points in talent pipeline, 92*fig*; key “take away points” on, 289; leadership needs analysis used by, 96*fig*; 180-degree assessment tool used for, 90, 92, 93*fig*; results of the strategy for, 100–101; strategic proposal of, 85–87*fig*; success indicators for business drivers at each pipeline level, 91*fig*; successful results of the, 100–101; supporting successful implementation of, 95–97; *Talent Pipeline Guidebook* on the, 90, 92, 95
- Effron, M., 1, 4
- Einstein, A., 271
- Executive coaching: ICF Code of Ethics on, 197; Kaiser Permanente’s executive, 149; Microsoft’s Coaching Forum held on, 198; Microsoft’s SMSG high-potential development use of, 184*fig*, 188–189, 191–198
- F**
- Ferrero, M. J., 115, 135
- Fishel, B., 17, 34–35
- Ford, h., 273
- Fulmer, B., 19

G

GE (General Electric), 19, 104
 GE Money Americas: background and environment of, 104; Kelly OCG talent management partnership with, 105*fig*–114, 289; staffing challenge facing, 105–106
 GE-Kelly OCG-RPO talent acquisition team: candidate funnel strategy used during, 108–109*fig*; evolution of, 105*fig*–106; key “take away points” on, 289; Latin American expansion by, 113–114; lean methodologies used by, 111–113; process model used during, 106–107*fig*, 108; successful results using sourcing model, 106, 110–111*fig*; talent recruitment focus of, 105; VSM (value stream map) created by, 112
 Geographic Talent Board (GTB), 133, 134
 Goldsmith, M., 5, 286
 Greene, J., 241, 257
 Greenslade, S., 4
 Grisham, T., 103, 114

H

Hay Group, 118
 Hollenbeck, G. P., 178, 182, 189
 Houghton, J., 56
 Hunter, J. E., 7

I

Innovation: Corning’s five-stage model of, 39–40*fig*; importance of enterprise, 39
 Intagliata, J., 155, 176
 International Coach Federation (ICF) Code of Ethics, 197
 IRS Human Capital Office (HCO), 117
 IRS (Internal Revenue Service): background and current leadership environment of, 116–118; IRS core leadership responsibilities and competency model, 118–121, 124*t*; leadership development in the 21st century, 118–134
 IRS leadership development: business acumen competency target of, 123*e*; challenges facing the, 121–122; competency model used for, 120*fig*; current leadership environment, 116–118; leadership competencies supported by, 118; LSR (leadership succession review) process created for, 122–134, 290; planned

changes to competency model, 121; WoT (Workforce of Tomorrow) Task Force to improve, 117–118, 132, 133

IRS LSR (leadership succession review): evaluation of, 132–133; four stages of, 124–126; GTB (Geographic Talent Board) of, 133, 134; indicators of success of, 131–132; key “take away points” on, 290; next steps and future of, 133–134; purpose and benefits of, 122–124; results of the, 128–130*fig*; Website and infrastructure of, 126–127

J

Judiesch, M. K., 7
 Jung, A., 3
 Jusela, G., 36, 41, 59

K

Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Colorado, 138
 Kaiser Permanente, 137
 Kaiser Permanente Colorado: background of, 137–138; business case for creating talent pipeline, 138–140; leadership on-boarding program of, 151; leadership succession management created at, 140–154; OE (organizational effectiveness) training program used by, 151–152*fig*
 Kaiser Permanente Executive Leadership Program (ELP), 150–151
 Kaiser Permanente People Strategy for Colorado: beginning the leadership review process, 140*fig*; capacity building by, 141*fig*; design of the, 140–142*fig*; evaluation and next steps for, 152; executive coaching program used by, 149; IDP (individual development plan) process used by, 147*fig*–148, 149; implementation of, 150–152; key “take away points” on, 290; Leadership Alumni Group role in, 148–149; leadership framework used by, 142*fig*; leadership review process used by, 145*fig*–146; leadership success factors focus of, 143*fig*; leadership succession management process used by, 146*fig*; making the business case for creating, 138–140; national model of potential used by the,

- 144*fig*–145; organizational effectiveness map used by, 151–152*fig*; Peer Learning Group role in, 148; process of, 142–149; support and reinforcement of, 152–153
- Kaleel-Jamison Consulting Group, 63
- Kammerer, K., 65–66, 68
- Kelly OCG (Outsourcing & Consulting Group): GE Money Americas partnership formed with, 105*fig*–106; technological approach to talent management by, 106–114
- Kirk, B., 41, 56
- Knowledge Bank (KB), 132
- Knowledge transfer problem, 293
- Koriath, J., 192
- Kulick, N., 155, 176
- L**
- LAMP (Leaders at McDonald's Program). *See* McDonald's LAMP (Leaders at McDonald's Program)
- Lavery, G., 66, 68
- Leadership Action Council (Southern Company), 246–247
- Leadership competencies: Corning leadership program's model on, 57*fig*; IRS leadership development target of, 120*fig*, 123*e*; IRS list of core responsibilities and, 118–121, 124*t*; McDonald's LAMP framework on, 160*t*; Microsoft Corporation's subset of, 185*e*; Southern Company assessment of, 248*fig*–249; Southern Company list of, 247–248; Southern Company's nine, 247–248; Whirlpool Leadership Model on, 260–265. *See also* Performance; Talent management best practices
- The Leadership Pipeline* (Charan, Drotter, & Noel), 86, 230, 244
- Leadership Pipeline. *See* Murray & Roberts Leadership Pipeline
- Lean Six Sigma, 133
- Learning: coaching approach to, 149, 184*fig*, 188–198; comparing Learning Circles and traditional, 202*t*; knowledge transfer problem of, 293; Microsoft's Learning Circles approach to, 184*fig*, 188, 199, 202–206; Porter Novelli's use of, 238–239. *See also* Talent management best practices
- Lewis, K., 22, 23, 29
- Lincoln, A., 116, 279
- Lombardo, M. M., 178, 182, 189
- Lorenzetti, N., 66
- LSR (leadership succession review). *See* IRS LSR (leadership succession review)
- M**
- Maytag Corporation, 259, 263
- McAnally, K., 192
- McCall, M. W., 19, 178, 182, 189
- McConnell, D. H., 2
- McDonald's: business and global workforce strategy of, 157; customer and employee focus of, 158–159; global talent management initiatives at, 156–159; “plan to win” framework used by, 157*t*; striking right global/local balance at, 157–158; talent management system of, 159–176
- McDonald's LAMP initiatives: 1: performance development system redesign of, 159–164; 2: global succession planning and development process of, 164–168; 3: design and implementation of LAMP, 168–174; 4: McDonald's Leadership Development Institute, 174–175; 5: the Global Leadership Development Program, 175
- McDonald's LAMP (Leaders at McDonald's Program): competency framework (as of 2003) used by, 160*t*; description of sessions, 170*t*–171*t*; evaluation survey of, 172*t*; five initiatives of, 159–175; global expansion of, 174–175*fig*; key “take away points” on, 290; LAMP Online! tool of, 169, 171; launch of, 159; participants by country, 173, 174*fig*; performance drivers used by, 162*t*; talent review template questions used by, 165*e*
- McHenry, J., 191
- McNamara, C., 177, 199, 207
- Memorial Hospital (Colorado Springs), 138
- Mentoring (Microsoft's SMSG), 184*fig*, 188–189, 191–198
- Merrill Lynch, 18
- Metcalf, S. M., 84, 102
- Meyer, M. L., 84, 101–102
- Microsoft CAP (Coaching Action Plan) program: coach selection and orientation of, 195–198; design and implementation of, 276; key stakeholder roles for, 196*t*–197*t*; measuring results of, 194*fig*–195*fig*; origins of the, 191–192; participant/

- coach matching, 192–194; roles and responsibilities within the, 195; summary and overview of, 200*e*–201*e*; timeline and process of, 192, 193*t*
- Microsoft Corporation: Leadership Career Model used by, 179; leadership competencies subset, 185*e*; ongoing learning and development commitment by, 178–179; SMSG (Sales Marketing and Services Group) leadership building approach at, 179–206, 290
- Microsoft’s SMSG ExPo program: coaching and mentoring component of, 184*fig*, 188–189, 191–198; Five Drivers of Accelerated Development for high-potentials, 181–183; high-potential identification and criteria used for, 180*fig*–181; key “take away points” on, 290; key Tiers of, 181*fig*; leadership conferences component of, 184*fig*, 186–187; Leadership in Action (LIA) component of, 184*fig*, 187–188; Learning Circles component of, 184*fig*, 188, 199, 202–206; orientation component of, 184*fig*–186; origins of the, 179–180; POC Hippies, Executive Excellent Team, and Core Design Team of, 190; process of redesigning the, 189–191
- Miller, J., 40, 41
- Mills, D., 41
- Misko, D. Z., 103, 114
- Morgan, J. P., 276
- Morrison, A. M., 178, 182, 189
- MS Leader 360 instrument, 185
- Murphy, S. J., 84, 102
- Murray & Roberts Leadership Pipeline: analysis and customization phase of, 210–214; design and alignment phase of, 214–220; evaluation of, 223–224; illustration of, 211*fig*; implementation of, 220–223; key “take away points” on, 291; nine-box performance and potential matrix used for, 222*fig*; online material available on, 221*fig*; performance standards used for, 212*fig*–214; two-phased core architecture of, 210
- Murray & Roberts Limited: background of, 209; business case for creating Leadership Pipeline, 209–210; Leadership Pipeline adoption and results by, 210–224, 291
- Myer-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), 185
- N**
- National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA), 138
- Newhouse, M., 40, 41
- Noel, J., 86, 230, 244
- North Carolina National Bank, 18, 22
- O**
- Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), 112
- Office of Leadership Succession Planning (OLSP), 127, 132
- Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 118, 121
- O’Leary, R. A., 36, 41, 58–59
- Oracle, 254
- Organizational culture: Ecolab’s talent pipeline supported by, 87–88; relationship between business drivers and, 89*fig*
- P**
- Parker, J., 60, 62, 63–65, 66, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78–79, 82, 83
- Parkview Medical Center (Pueblo), 138
- PepsiCo, 19
- Performance: defining and understanding, 215*fig*–216; how organization measures top talent, 285*t*; Leadership Pipeline approach to, 214–215; Leadership Pipeline individual development plan for, 219*fig*; McDonald’s LAMP initiative on, 159–164; Southern Company’s manager of manager standards for, 245*fig*–246; understanding potential of, 218, 220. *See also* Leadership competencies
- Performance management: example of contract for, 217*fig*; impact of selected organization factors on, 284*t*; knowledge transfer problem of, 293; Leadership Pipeline contract on, 216–218; Porter Novelli Pipeline model approach to, 236–239; social relationship transfer problem of, 293. *See also* Talent management best practices; Talent management programs
- Performance reviews: Avon Products approach to, 6–7; Murray & Roberts approach to, 222–223*fig*; Southern Company’s assessment of talent, 251–253*fig*. *See also* Assessment
- Peter principle, 292–293
- Plato, 285

Poduch, S., 293

Porter Novelli: benefits of Drotter's Leadership Pipeline to, 226, 239–240; declining turnover rates at, 240; Drotter's Pipeline model adopted by, 229–240; process of deciding to change strategies by, 226–229; "Vision 2004" of, 227–228

Porter Novelli Pipeline model: design considerations of, 229–231; evaluation of the, 239–240; experience and action learning approach of, 238–239; job title rationalization using the levels of the, 233*t*; performance management system using, 236–239; successful outcomes of, 226, 239–240; tailored development of, 231–236

Powell, C., 283

Prescott, R., 289

Purrrington, C., 38

Q

QUEST sustainability process of change, 72–73*fig*

R

"Realizing the Full Potential of Rising Talent" (Corporate Leadership Council), 189

The Republic (Plato), 285

Revenue Reform Act (1998), 116

Rossotti, C., 117

Rothwell, W. J., 67, 288, 289, 291, 292

S

Salob, M., 4

Schechter, M., 60, 83

Schmidt, F. L., 7

Selling Power (magazine), 85

Shulman, D., 117

Skinner, J., 156

SMART goals, 238

Soares, Z., 208, 224

Social relationship transfer problem, 293

Southern Company: background information on, 242–243; initial improvements made at, 243–246; Leadership Action Council of, 246–247, 257; leadership development approach created by, 246–257, 291

Southern Company leadership development: assessment of talent for, 251–253*fig*;

competency model used for, 247–248; emerging leader programs for, 255–256; evaluation and lessons learned on, 256–257; key "take away points" on, 291; Leadership Action Council recommendations for, 246–247, 257; leadership competencies adopted for, 247–248; leadership competencies assessment results for, 248*fig*–249; leadership database kept for, 254; manager of manager performance standards of, 245*fig*; sample success profile used for, 250*fig*; Senior Leader Development Program for, 254–255; succession planning process for, 249*fig*–251

Stakeholders (Microsoft CAP), 196*t*–197*t*

Succession planning: IRS leadership

succession review (LSR) for, 122–134;

Kaiser Permanente Colorado's approach

to, 140–154; McDonald's LAMP approach

to global, 164–175; Office of Leadership

Succession Planning (OLSP) on, 127, 132;

using performance standards in, 245*fig*–246;

Southern Company's approach to, 249*fig*–257

Sullivan, R. L., 62, 66, 67, 68

SWOT analysis, 285

T

Talent management best practices: Avon Products' successful turnaround of, 4–15, 288; Bank of America executive on-boarding program as, 23–34, 288–289; CES whole system transformation as, 62–82, 289; Corning's talent pipeline as, 40–57, 289; Ecolab's Talent Pipeline Model as, 85–101, 289; GE Money Americas-Kelly OCG partnership as, 105*fig*–114, 289; IRS LSR (leadership succession review) as, 122–134, 290; Kaiser Permanente People Strategy for Colorado as, 140–154, 290; McDonald's LAMP (Leaders at McDonald's Program) as, 159–176, 290; Microsoft's SMSG ExPo program as, 179–206, 276, 290; Murray & Roberts Leadership Pipeline as, 210–224, 291; Porter Novelli Pipeline model, 226, 229–240, 291; Southern Company leadership development as, 246–257, 291; Whirlpool Leadership Model as, 260–269, 291. *See also* Leadership competencies; Learning; Performance management

Talent management programs: design and

- implementation of, 276–279; diagnosis and assessment used by, 273–276; evaluation used by, 283–285; key “take away points” on case studies of, 288–291; knowledge transfer problem of, 293; on-the-job support of, 279–283; social relationship transfer problem of, 293; thoughts on next-generation, 291–293. *See also* Performance management; Training
- Talent Management Survey* (2009): Best Practice Institute’s release of, 271–273; companies with and without, 273*t*; companies with formal, 274*t*; competencies, attitudes, and behaviors for leaders, 280*t*; focus of programs designed to augment talent capability of organization, 276*t*–277; how organization measures performance of top talent, 285*t*; impact of selected factors on talent management, 284*t*; list of companies included in, 271–272; method for development of organizational competency model, 279*t*; obstacles to talent management delivering business value, 286*t*; perceived/proven importance of value proposition elements, 282*t*–283*t*; software used in talent management process, 281*t*; software used specifically designed for talent management, 280*t*; strategies designed to acquire external talent, 278*t*; strategies designed to develop talent internally, 277*t*; technologies used for talent management practices, 281*t*. *See also* BPI (Best Practice Institute)
- Talent Pipeline Guidebook* (Ecolab, Inc.), 90
- Taylor, M., 289
- Todd, J., 66, 68
- Top Companies for Leaders* study (2005), 4
- Topper, H. N., 36, 59
- Training: coaching approach to, 149, 184*fig*, 188–189, 191–198, 197, 198; Microsoft’s SMSG mentoring approach to, 184*fig*, 188–189, 191–198. *See also* Talent management programs
- Turner, K., 191
- Turner, M., 136, 154
- U
- Underhill, B. O., 177, 192, 207
- U.S. Department of Labor Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), 112
- U.S. Department of Treasury, 118
- US News and World Report*, 138
- V
- Volanakis, P., 41, 47–48
- W
- Waldron, G., 225, 240
- Wallis, S., 177, 207
- Watkins, M., 18, 19, 21
- Weeks, W., 48
- Weirick, K., 258, 269
- Whirlpool Corporation: background information on, 259; business challenge faced by, 259–260; Whirlpool Leadership Model developed by, 260–269, 291
- Whirlpool Leadership Model: Assessment Bias Card as part of, 264*fig*; illustrated diagram of, 261*fig*; key “take away points” on, 291; leadership competency development using the, 260–262; MAP Level II training being developed using the, 266; MAP (master assessor program) launched under, 265–266; quality of hire dashboard using, 266, 268*fig*; quality of hire report using, 266, 267*fig*; talent assessment using the, 263–265; top talent indicator, derailment definition, and deployment of the, 262–263
- Whitson, G., 68
- Whitwam, D., 260
- Whole system transformation: assessment as driving need for, 64–66; CES leadership alignment event approach to, 68–71; Dannemiller-Tyson formula for change, 71–72*fig*; examining the process of, 66–68; five truths of, 67*fig*; supporting and reinforcing the, 77–82; sustaining the change, 79*fig*–82
- WoT (Workforce of Tomorrow) Task Force, 117–118, 132, 133
- Z
- Zaucha, J., 60, 75, 83

