

INDEX

- Academic faculty data, 53–54
Academic Senate of the CSU, 110
Academic year SCHs, 66
Account types, and personnel information, 22–23
Administrative process: and organizational theory, 15–16; and support staff, 16
Aiken, L., 58
Allen, R., 26
American Association of University Professors, 15
Argent Global Services, 1
Armstrong, K., 28, 37
Arreola, R., 29
Ashraf, J., 51
Association of American Medical Colleges, 60
Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 54, 60
- Balzer, W., 50
Banner, 32
Barbezat, D., 50
Barr, M., 25
Becker, W., 51
Bellas, M., 51
Berlin Wall, fall of, 5
Binary logistic regression, 52
Birnbaum, R., 40
Bolman, F., 112
Boudreau, N., 51
Bowen, H., 25
Braden, I., 49
Braskamp, L., 49
Brinkman, P., 26, 27
Brown, W., 37
Business and human resources data: analyzing/using, 5–11; navigating economic uncertainty using, 10
- Canavos, G., 50
Canonical correlation, 8, 52–53
Carlin, P., 50
Carnevale, D., 5
Carrigan, S., 9, 65, 78
- Civil Rights Act (1964), Title VII of, 49
Clark, K., 110
Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) discipline, 66
COBOL, 19
Cohen, J., 58
Cohen, P., 58
Collaborative software, 6
College and University Personnel Association, 14
College and University Professional Association, 60
Commission on Higher Education, 6
Commonwealth of Kentucky, 111
Condrey, S., 111
- Dalton, M., 60
Data integrity, 7
Data trustees, 33–34
Datatel, 32
Decision makers, 6
Delaware Study, 9, 66–67; academic program benchmarks, 67; enrollment targets report, 72–74; normative data perspectives, 72; trend report, 75–76
Departmental scorecard, 40
Dino, G., 60
Distance education, 5–6
Dual appointments, and reporting, 21–22
Duncan, K., 50
Dyke, F., 26
- Ehrenberg, R., 50
Enterprise-level relational database systems, 32
Equal Pay Act (1963), 49
- Facilities data, analysis of, 7
Faculty compensation model, 49–64; academic faculty data, 53–54; age, and rank/tenure, 55; analytical approach, 52–53; binary logistic regression, 52; canonical correlation, 52–53; canonical correlation analysis, 55–57; canonical functions, dimension reduction

- data for (table), 55; fields of study/degrees, by gender, 55; methodological issues, 49–51; misclassified tenure-status cases, hypothetical characteristics of, 57; multinomial logistic regression, 52–53; multiple linear regression, 52; nonmedical school faculty, salary prediction of, 58–59; omitted variable bias, 50, 52; performance, 54; salary adjustment criteria, development of, 60–61; salary adjustment, determining, 58–61; salary analyses, variables used in (table), 53–54; salary regression models, 50; selection bias, 51; selection screens, developing, 61; systematic bias, measuring, 54–58; tenure/tenure-track status, 52–53; underpaid individuals, 61
- Faculty composition, 6
- Faculty salary equity analysis, approach for performing, 8–9
- Faculty scorecard, 40–41
- Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 18–19
- Federal Privacy Act (1974), 18
- Ferber, M., 49
- Fidell, L., 52
- Financial Accounting Standards Board, 26
- Financial stress, affecting higher education, 110
- Fish, L., 51
- FOCUS (programming language), 19
- Foster, A., 5
- Friedman, T., 5
- Fuhs, F., 50
- Funding formulas and guidelines, 65
- Gaber, C., 37
- Gater, D., 30, 31
- Gaylord, C., 60
- Gordon, N., 49
- Gordon, S., 95
- Gortner, H., 2
- Governmental Accounting Standards Board, 26
- Graham, R., 37
- Graves, P., 50
- Haignere, L., 50, 51
- Hample, S., 25
- Hellriegel, D., 1
- Henson, R., 55
- Herzog, S., 8–9, 50, 64
- Higher Education Reorganization Act (1971), 65
- Hignite, K., 6
- HRS DB2, 19
- Hughes, J., 50
- Human resource and finance data, analysis of, 7
- Human resource information system, 23
- Human resources faculty data/cost data: accessing, 31–34; beginner's guide, 25–47; data-access policies, 32, 34; data stewards, 33–34; data trustees, 33–34; expenditure/cost functions, 27–28; expenditure/cost objects, 26–27; higher education cost data concepts, 26–29; higher education human resource faculty data concepts, types of, 29–31; integrating, 34–44; ratios to facilitate decision making, 39; student data, ownership of, 33; University of Delaware Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity, 35–37, 44
- Hurst, P., 26
- Hyer, P., 60
- Incremental (baseline) budgeting, 65
- Institutional data, 10
- Institutional research, 7–8, 10–11, 13, 23; accurate and meaningful data, 112; economic market and retaining faculty, 110; and federal confidentiality laws, 19; and institutional data, 32; and integrated knowledge, 16, 25; legislative funding, 109–110; merit-based scholarships, 111; private loans, 111; role in navigating current economic uncertainty, 109–113; salary data, 15
- Integrated human resources faculty data/cost data, 34–44; scorecard notion, 40–41
- Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 8, 26; faculty, definition of, 30; Fall Staff Survey, 29–31; Fringe Benefits of Full-Time Instructional Faculty Survey, 29–30; full-time instructional faculty, definition of, 30; Human Resources surveys, 29, 39, 44; instruction, definition of, 30; Salary survey, 31; staff and faculty reports, 22; surveys, 13–14, 29–30
- Isaacs, H., 37

- Jamison, C., 1
 Jester, A., 111
 Johnson, D., 49
- Kaplan, R., 40
 Kentucky Council on Postsecondary Education, 82, 85
 Kentucky Educational Excellence Scholarship (KEES) program, 84–86, 111; average award amounts, 90; awards, 82; budget process, 84–86; budget projections, 86; budgeting for, 79–92; Consensus Forecast Group, 91; data, 84; defined, 80; disbursement data, 84; earned awards by high school graduating cohort (table), 89; earnings rates, 89; eligibility, 81–82; eligibility data, 84; forecasting award amounts, 89–91; forecasting use of, 86–88; KEES Consensus Forecast Group (KCFG), 85; projected disbursements, 87–88; and state lottery sales, 111; utilization, 83
 Kentucky Educational Excellence Scholarship program, 9
 Kentucky General Assembly: and KEES budget, 84; Senate Bill 21, 79–80
 Kentucky Higher Education Assistance Authority (KHEAA), 9, 80–81, 91; base and bonus award schedule, 81; defined, 84; GoHigher Web site, 80; and KEES budget, 85
 Kentucky Higher Education Student Loan Corporation, 111
 Kentucky Revised Statutes, 79
 Krall, L., 50
- Layzell, D., 65
 Lean Enterprise Process, 1
 Leff, L., 110
 Letteer, M., 9, 79
 Levy, G.D., 7–8, 25, 47
 Loeb, J., 49, 50
 Logistic regression, 8–9
 Lombardi, J., 30, 31
 Luna, A., 50
- Mahler, J., 2
 Marchand, J., 50
 Markov chain, 71
 Matier, R., 26
 Maxey, J., 50
 McCrary, J., 111
 McGraw, M., 50
- McLaughlin, G., 49, 60
 Middaugh, M., 25, 35–37, 38
 Milam, J., 26
 Minter, J., 37
 Montgomery, J., 49
 Moore, J., 51
 Moore, N., 50, 52, 61, 62
 Morton, T., 49
 Mrdjenovic, J., 50
 Multinomial logistic regression, 8–9, 52–53
 Multiple linear regression, 52
- National Association of College and University Business Officers, 26
 National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 13; Web site, 31
 National Science Foundation reports, 22
 National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity, *See* Delaware Study
 Nelson, M., 31
 Neumark, D., 50
 Nicholson, J., 2
 North Carolina Community College System funding formulas, 65
 Norton, D., 40
- Oaxaca, R., 50
 Oklahoma State University Salary study, 45, 54
 Omitted variable bias, 50, 52
 Oracle, 32
 Organizational assessment, 16
 Organizational theory, and administrative process, 15–16
 Outdated policies, and institutional data, 10
- Patton, Paul, 79
 Payroll system, and personnel information, 23
 PeopleSoft, 32
 Performance contracting, 65
 Personnel and financial data: budget file vs. payroll data, 21–23; computer resources, 19–21; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 18–19; mining, key issues with, 16–23; reports that use, 13–15; subcultures within a university, working with, 15–16; University of Texas at Dallas (UT-Dallas) computer resources, 19–21; using for reporting purposes, 13–24

- Personnel information, and account types, 22–23
 Pfeffer, J., 1
 Prus, M., 50
 Public accountability of colleges/universities, 6
 Purcell, W. Jr., 96
- Rapidly changing work environment, 6–7
- Recruitment, of faculty/staff, 6
- Redlinger, L.J., 2, 10, 93, 95, 108
- RETINA (RETurn on INvestment Analysis) program, 95, 99–104, 106–107; defined, 99; faculty salary information, use of, 100; formula funding, 103, 105; information sources, 101; limitations, 100–102; methodology, 100–103; modification of (2007), 99; objectives of, 99; output, 104; and performance evaluations, 104, 107; results, 103–104; revenue outliers, histogram of, 104, 106; as robust decision analysis tool, 106–108; scalability in analysis, 104; summary of calculations, 101
- Return on investment (ROI) analysis, 10
- Return on investment (ROI) models, 93–108; defined, 94; design of, 95; infrastructure support formula, 95–97; instruction and operations formula, 95; RETINA (RETurn on INvestment Analysis) program, 95, 99–104, 106–107; special assignments, 98–99; state formula funding, 97–98; and students as revenue generators, 94–95
- Rooney, P., 50
- Salary analyses, variables used in (table), 53–54
- Sanders, L., 26, 32
- Schwarzeneger, Arnold, 110
- Scorecard notion, 40–41; sample faculty scorecard, psychology department, 42–43; sample psychology departmental scorecard, 40–41
- Scott, E., 49
- Scott, R., 15, 16
- Selection bias, 51
- Semester credit hours (SCHs), 66
- Sexton, R., 50
- Shahid, A., 37
- Sherry, A., 55
- Sidle, C., 26
- Simpson, W., 26
- Slocum, J. Jr., 1
- Smart, J., 49
- Snyder, J., 60
- Social Security Act (1990), 18
- Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS), 6, 13
- Spelling, M., 6
- Spinelli, M., 50
- Standard error of estimate (SEE), 58
- Stevens, J., 52
- Stewart, K., 60
- Stigdon, A.D., 7, 13, 24, 32, 112
- Strathman, J., 50, 51
- Student and Exchange Visitor Information System tracking, 15
- Subcultures: questions enabling interaction of, 17; relationship of university data to, 17; working with, 15–16
- Sun, M., 60
- Supplemental pay for faculty, reporting of, 23
- Support staff, and administrative process, 16
- Sybase, 32
- Tabachnick, B., 52
- Tandberg, D., 109
- Technological advances, and information sharing, 5
- Technology-delivered education, 5–6
- Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, 14, 18, 22, 96, 97
- Title VII, Civil Rights Act (1964), 49
- Toutkoushian, R., 50, 51, 61
- Unequal pay, 49–50
- University of Delaware, Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity, 35–37, 44
- University of North Carolina at Greensboro (UNCG), 67, 71–72, 77; classroom productivity and comparable Delaware Study norms, collection of, 72
- University of North Carolina (UNC) system, 65–66; credit hour production report, 67; department review, 74–77; enrollment change funding at campus level, 71–72; enrollment change model, 71; enrollment targets, setting, 72–74; funding formulas, 65; funding matrix, 68–71; funding model, 65–66; SCH projections at campus level, 71–72

- University of Texas at Dallas (UT-Dallas): computer resources, 19–21; Office of Strategic Planning and Analysis, 23
- University of Wisconsin system, decline in state appropriations to, 110
- U.S. Department of Education, 6, 13
- Valcik, N.A., 4, 7, 10, 13, 24, 32, 93, 108, 112
- Volkwein, J., 26
- Voucher system, 65
- Wallace, R.H., 5, 11
- Watt, C., 7
- Weistroffer, H., 50
- West, S., 58
- Wilkinson, S., 60
- Wilson, R., 110
- Winston, G.C., 26, 94
- World Is Flat, The: A Brief History of the Twenty-First Century* (Friedman), 5
- Worley, M.B., 10, 109, 113
- Zumbrun, J., 111