

Index

- A**
- Academic progress monitoring, 111–113
 - Accountability: educational, 94–99; movement, 30; problems with, 96
 - Achievement gap, 163–169
 - Action planning, 149
 - Adequate yearly progress (AYP), 95–97, 251–257
 - Adhocracy, 206–207
 - African American students, 32, 47, 67, 128, 129, 165–167, 196, 218
 - Aggregate assessments, 100–102
 - Aggression, 70
 - AIDS, 33
 - AIMSweb software, 78, 79, 90, 112, 115, 123, 125, 175, 246
 - American Institutes for Research, 97
 - American Psychiatric Association, 25
 - Americans with Disabilities Act (1990), 23. *See also* Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA)
 - Anthropology, 59, 60, 141
 - Anxiety, 40, 71
 - Applied behavior analysis, 54
 - Assessments: aggregate, 100–102; categorical, 100–102; distal, 99–100; formative, 100; proximal, 99–100; summative, 100
 - Associated Press, 197
 - Association for Positive Behavior Support, 51
 - Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 12
 - Attention-seeking function, 56
 - Autism spectrum disorder, 12
 - AYP. *See* Adequate yearly progress (AYP)
- B**
- Batche, George, 37–38
 - Behavior, problem, 8; defined, 68, 70
 - Behavior screening, 68–72; and externalizing behavior problems, 70–71; and internalizing behavior, 70–71; and school-based screeners for behavioral risk, 68–70; and standardized screeners for behavioral risk, 71–72; and systematic screening for behavioral disorders, 72–74

- Behavioral progress monitoring, 102–111; and direct measures of problem behavior, 103–106; and level three progress monitoring for behavior, 106–107
- Behaviorism, 164
- Benchmark Assessments, 211
- Bergan, J. R., 6
- Berkeley, California, 191
- Berkeley High School (California), 191
- Berkeley Unified School District (Berkeley, California), 191
- Bill of Rights, 24
- Bipolar disorders, 70
- Black Bottom (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania), 167
- Board of Education of Topeka, Brown v.*, 136
- Boise State University, 9
- Bradley, Renee, 11, 223
- Brain theory, 34, 41
- Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka*, 136
- Brown-Chidsey, R., 7, 15–16, 115, 223
- Browning Wright, D., 112, 119
- Bullying, 71
- Burrello, Leonard, 168
- Bush (George W.)
administration, 6, 29, 89, 93–94, 251–252
- Bush, George W., 6, 93, 128
- C**
- California, 89, 128, 140, 193; Department of Education, 193, 194
- Capacity building, 205–207, 268–271
- Carnegie Foundation, 135
- Categorical assessments, 100–102
- CBMs. *See* Curriculum-based measures (CBMs)
- Cesar Chavez School (Ravenswood City School District), 169, 183, 276
- Chaos theory, 33
- Check/in-check out (CICO) intervention, 101, 246, 276
- Chicago Public Schools, 208
- Civil War, 128
- Clinton, Bill, 93
- Clinton administration, 167
- Clusters, 199–200, 203
- Co teaching, 168
- Coaching, 89–90, 182, 183–186
- Cognitive deficits, 41
- Cohorts, 199–200; manageable, 202–203
- Collaborative teaching, 122, 183–187
- Colorado Department of Education (CDE), 234
- Colorado Multi-Tiered Model of Intervention and Instruction, 233–239; family involvement in, 239; schematic, 235
- Columbia University, 136
- Common Purpose* (Shorr), 50
- Community factors, 38
- “Community of practice,” 86
- Community partnership teams, 84
- Community school movement, 137
- Community schools, 50
- Comprehensive school reform (CSR) model, 136–138, 140, 202; Demonstration Program, 202
- Compton, Don, 6
- Connecticut, 32

- Connecticut Board of Education,
 P.J. v., 32
 Cook, C. R., 112, 119
 Crane, C., 252
*Creating a Unified System:
 Integrating General and Special
 Education for the Benefit of All
 Students* (video), 172
 Criterion-reference screeners,
 76–77. *See also* Universal
 screening
 CSR. *See* Comprehensive school
 reform (CSR) model
 Culture, 60, 86. *See also* School
 culture
 Curriculum integration,
 177
 Curriculum-based measures
 (CBMS), 37, 78–81, 98, 99,
 112–114, 117, 122, 123, 125,
 182–183, 196–197, 262;
 advantages of universal, for
 teachers, 79–80; and DIBELS,
 AIMSweb, and iSTEOP, 79; in
 progress monitoring, 112; and
 universal CBM, 78
- D**
- Danielson, Lou, 11
 Darling-Hammond, L., 96–97
 “Data meeting,” 87
 Data wall, 273
 Data-based decision making,
 163
 Deal, T., 23, 43
 Decision rules, 43
 Demand-side policies, 93
 Demographics, 38
 Deno, Stanley, 6
 Depression, 40, 71
 Desiloization, 129, 170, 196, 255
 Dewey, John, 48–50
*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
 (American Psychiatric
 Association)*, 25
 DIBELS. *See* Dynamic Indicators
 of Basic Early Literacy Skills
 (DIBELS)
 Differentiated instruction, 138
 Disability: concept of, 23–24;
 evolution from handicap to, 26;
 frame of, 24–29, 34; policy, 23
Disability and Democracy (Skrtic),
 164
 Disability categories, rapid
 creation of new, 12
 Discriminant validity, 77
 Disease state, 25
 Disruptive children, 46
 Distal assessment, 99–100
 Distributed leadership, 170–171
 District leadership teams,
 154–156
 District of Columbia, 154
 Districtwide level, RTI at,
 189–219; and capacity
 building, 205–207; clusters
 and cohorts in, 199–200; and
 engaging Board of Education,
 195–197; and getting started
 in district, 199–200; and
 leadership qualities, 200–202;
 and Louisiana Recovery
 School District, 207–209; and
 manageable cohorts, 202–203;
 and managing publicity,
 197–198; policy analysis and,
 192–195; and professional
 development, 204–205; and
 scaling up, 190–198, 203
 Division of Child Development
 and Behavior (National
 Institute of Child Health and
 Human Development), 29–30

- Doolittle, Jennifer, 11
 Dunlap, G., 4
 Dynamic Indicators of Basic
 Early Literacy Skills
 (DIBELS), 67, 79, 90, 111,
 115, 117, 125, 174–176, 182,
 209, 210, 230, 246, 272–273;
 at each level of RTI, 123; at
 three levels of RTI, 162
- E**
 Early intervening services, 94–95
 Early Reading First initiative
 (NCLB), 40, 94, 193–194, 223
 East Palo Alto, California, 154,
 183, 198, 202
 Eber, L., 18, 106–107
 Ebonics, 165–166
 Edformation, 112
 Education of the Handicapped
 Amendments (1974), 25
 Educational accountability,
 94–99
 Educational policy: RTI as
 potential frame for, 29–43
 Elementary and Secondary
 Education Act (ESEA), 25,
 93, 167
 Elephant Rock Productions, 168
 Elizabeth Street School (Los
 Angeles, California), 163
 Elmore, R. F., 265–266
 Enculturation phase, 147, 156
 English Language Learners
 (ELL), 18, 68, 75, 86, 154,
 173, 222
 Environmental modifications, 34
 Escape-avoidance function,
 56
 ESEA. *See* Elementary and
 Secondary Education Act
 (ESEA)
- European Americans, 32
 Evidence-based practice, 54–57,
 93, 162; *why versus what* in, 55
 Exclusion, tactic of, 46–48.
See also School discipline
 Exclusionary schools, 47. *See also*
 Exclusion, tactic of
 Externalizing behavior problems,
 70–71, 103, 161
- F**
 False negatives, 76
 False positives, 76
 Family income, 38
 FASTT Math, 210–212, 215
 FBA. *See* Functional behavior
 assessment (FBA)
 Federal policy, 222–224
 Fernley, S. A., 79–80
 Fetterman, David, 149
 Fidelity, 266–267, 275–276;
 of application, 163; critical
 importance of, 110; of
 implementation, 38, 39,
 140–141; of intervention, 143;
 of intervention at Madison
 Elementary School, 179; and
 intervention fidelity *versus*
 implementation fidelity, 143;
 and Read 180 tool, 143–144;
 and SAM fidelity assessment
 tool, 141–146
 Finn, C. E., Jr., 27
 Formative assessments, 100
 Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark
 Assessment System, 174
 Framing: importance of, 23;
 problem of, 27
 Friend, M., 116
 Fuchs, D., 6, 10, 221
 Fuchs, L., 6, 221
 Fullan, Michael, 140, 255

- Functional behavior assessment (FBA), 56, 57, 59, 61
- G**
- Gardner National Bank (Kansas), 179
- Gardner-Edgerton School District of Johnson County (Kansas), 173, 188
- General education teachers, 186–187
- “Goals 2000,” 93, 95, 167.
See also Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)
- Green Oaks Academy (East Palo Alto, California), 183
- Guided Reading, 175, 177, 179
- H**
- Hall, S. L., 87, 119–120
- Handbook of Positive Behavior Support* (Sailor, Dunlap, Sugai, and Horner), 4, 222
- Handicapped, frame of, 24, 26, 27
- Hardcastle, B., 34–35
- Harvard Educational Review*, 96–97
- HBO, 260
- “High-stakes-assessment,” 95
- Hokanson, C. R., Jr., 27
- Holistic education system, 255
- Home schooling, 47
- Horner, R., 4, 51
- “House,” 83
- Human capital, 131–132
- Hyperactive behavior, 70
- I**
- IDEA. See Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
- IDEIA. See Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA)
- IEP. See Individualized education program (IEP)
- Illinois State PBS Network, 106–107
- Illinois Wraparound, 18, 107–111
- Implementation: fidelity of, 38; phase, 147
- Inclusion, tactic of, 48–51; and community schools, 50–51.
See also School discipline
- Indiana Forum on Education, 168
- Indiana University, 33
- Individual Student Schoolwide Evaluation Tool (ISSET), 146
- Individualized education program (IEP), 43, 111, 112, 120, 122, 123, 186, 187, 193
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 5, 11, 13, 20, 27, 28, 88, 94, 140, 222–223
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), 23–27, 31–32, 35, 94, 95, 124, 193–195, 222–225
- Initiation phase, 147
- Inspiration, 263–265
- Institute of Education Science, 118
- Instructional Coaching* (Knight), 183, 185
- Intellectually disability, 32
- Internalizing behavior problem, 40, 70–71, 102–103, 161
- Intervention CBM Probes, 112

- Interventions, 274–275;
 multilevel sets of, 271–272;
 practical multicomponent, 54,
 57–58
- IQ tests, 5
- iSTEEP. *See* System to Enhance
 Educational Performance
 (iSTEEP)
- J**
- Johns Hopkins University,
 136
- Johnson, E., 9, 10, 12, 77
- Johnson County, Kansas,
 188
- Johnson County Library
 (Kansas), 179
- Jones, Diana, 208, 218
- Journal of Positive Behavioral
 Interventions and Support*,
 51
- Justice, K., 34–35
- K**
- Kansas, 172, 188, 203, 224, 225,
 257, 268; Department of
 Education, 225; Department of
 Health and Environment, 225;
 State Board of Education, 256;
 State Reading Assessment,
 175; Statewide Technical
 Assistance Resource System
 (KSTARS), 225
- Kansas City, Kansas, 128, 153,
 163, 172, 173, 195
- Kansas Multi-Tiered System of
 Support, 239, 240, 257;
 Innovation Configuration
 Matrix, 226–229;
 “Innovations Configuration
 Matrix,” 226; “Research Base,”
 226; schematic, 230
- Katrina, Hurricane, 207
- Kauffman Foundation, 195
- Keetle, Susan, 172
- Kirst, M. W., 50
- Knight, Jim, 154, 183, 185
- Knox County, Tennessee, 9, 78,
 189, 190
- Knox County School District
 (Tennessee), 189
- Kohn, Alfie, 164
- Kozol, J., 254
- Kratochwill, T. R., 6
- L**
- LaRue, S. D., 79–80
- Latino/a students, 47, 67, 128,
 129, 165, 173
- Lawrence, Kansas, 221
- LD. *See* Learning disability (LD)
- LD Initiative, 11
- Leadership, 169–173, 201, 269;
 distributed, 170–171; qualities,
 200–202; teams, 171–173
- Learning, *versus* performance,
 101
- Learning Disabilities
 Roundtable, 233–234
- Learning disability (LD), 8, 20,
 29, 30, 223
- Levin, Henry, 136
- Lifestyle outcomes, 54, 58
- Literacy, 246; coaches, 89; focus
 on, 30–31
- Los Angeles, California, 67, 128,
 163
- Los Angeles Unified School
 District, 202
- Louisiana Recovery School
 District (RSD; New Orleans),
 207–209; kindergarten reading
 intervention process at, 217;
 progress monitoring at,

- 210–211; role of special education in, 216; RTI team, 209–210; tier 1 interventions at, 211–212; tier 2 interventions at, 211–212; tier 3 interventions, 214–215
- Lubienski, C., 252
- Lubienski, S., 252
- Lyon, G. R., 29–31
- M**
- Madison Elementary School, 173–183, 188, 276; building-level *versus* state-level assessments at, 175–177; daily schedule, 181; fidelity of intervention at, 179–180; professional development at, 180; reflections on RTI at, 182–183; results on Kansas State Reading Assessment, 175–176; scheduling, 180–182; screening at, 174–175
- Marker variables, 114
- Marzano, R. J., 200, 301
- Math coaches, 89
- McCart, A., 106–107
- McCook, J. E., 8–9, 14, 17, 78, 189
- McKnight, M. A., 10
- McNulty, B. A., 200, 201
- Medical model, 26, 27
- Mellard, D. F., 9, 10, 12, 77, 221
- Mental illness, 25
- Menu framework, 136
- Miller, Dawn, 203
- Milton's Café (Lawrence, Kansas), 221
- MTSS. *See* Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS)
- Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS), 173, 182, 188, 268
- N**
- NAEP. *See* National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)
- NASDSE. *See* National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE)
- Nation at Risk* (National Commission on Excellence in Education), 135
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 97
- National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE), 5, 12, 13–14, 17, 21, 114, 223
- National Center of Response to Intervention (NCRTI), 224, 225
- National Centers on Tertiary Interventions (U.S. Office of Special Education), 106–107
- National Institutes of Health, 29–30
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (National Institutes of Health), 30
- National Research Center on Learning Disabilities, 5
- National Technical Assistance Center, 51–52
- Native American students, 129
- NCLB. *See* No Child Left Behind (NCLB)

- NCRTI. *See* National Center of Response to Intervention (NCRTI)
- Neuroscience, 34
- New Hampshire: State Education Agency, 242–243; State Personnel Grant Application, 240
- New Hampshire Responds Model, 239–248; components of, 244–248; conceptual framework for RTI Level 1, 245; Request for Applications, 242–244; schematic for, 242; and special education, 247; unique feature of, 243–244; Universal School Leadership Team, 244
- New Orleans, Louisiana, 67, 208, 218
- New Orleans School District, 207
- No Child Left Behind (NCLB), 6–7, 13, 35, 40, 48, 66–68, 70–71, 88, 93, 95, 97, 118, 124, 164, 177, 193, 195, 223, 251–257; Early Reading First initiative, 41; as privatization agenda, 252–255; Reading First initiative, 40, 41
- Noguera, P. A., 45–47, 261
- Norlin, J. W., 79–80
- Norm*, 67
- Normative screeners, 76–77.
See also Universal screening
- Nyankori, Richard, 155
- O**
- Oakland, California, 165–166
- O'Day, J. A., 97, 98
- ODRs. *See* Office disciplinary referrals (ODRs)
- Office disciplinary referrals (ODRs), 68–70, 74, 102–103, 162; as questionable metric, 69; *versus* systematic screening for behavior disorders (SSBD), 74
- Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), 223, 224
- Open Court Reading Curriculum, 175, 177, 179, 276
- OSEP. *See* Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP)
- Out-of-seat occurrences, 70
- P**
- Pacific Islander students, 129
- Palo Alto, California, 198
- Parent outreach teams, 84
- Parrott, Pat, 186
- PASS (preparing and supporting self managers) intervention, 246
- Pastorek, Paul, 207
- Pathology, frame of, 25
- PD. *See* Professional development
- Pennsylvania, 47
- Performance, learning *versus*, 101
- Person-centered planning, 60
- Phi Delta Kappan*, 252
- Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 167
- Philadelphia Public Schools, 208
- Physical abuse, 71
- P.J. v. Connecticut Board of Education*, 32
- Population density, 38
- Positive*, 53–54
- Positive behavior support (PBS), 6, 51, 54, 162, 168, 184, 223
- Posny, Alexa, 224
- Posttraumatic stress disorders, 40

- Power of Two, The* (Friend), 116
 Power relationships, 170
 Practice, evidence-based, 54
 Prevention orientation, 34–36
Principal's Guide, A: Implementing Response to Intervention (Hall), 87
 Private school effect, 253
Probe, 79
 Problem behavior: defined, 68; direct measurement monitor for, 105; direct measures of, 103–106; functional analysis monitor for, 104
 “Problem children,” 52
 Problem identification, 36–37
 Professional development, 130, 132–135; district-based, 204–205; school-directed, 134
 Progress monitoring, 38, 93–125, 273–274; academic, 111–113; behavioral, 102–111; categorical *versus* aggregate assessments in, 100–102; difference between screening and, 111; and educational accountability, 94–99; formative *versus* summative assessments in, 100; at Louisiana Recovery School District, 210–211; organization of services and supports in, 122–124; and problem of school culture, 97–99; and problem of unrealistic goals, 96–97; and problems with accountability, 96; proximal *versus* distal assessments in, 99–100; sources at each level of RTI, 123; at three levels, 162; types of assessment for, 99–102
 Progressive Policy Institute, 27
 Proximal assessment, 99–100
 Psychiatry, field of, 25
 Psychology, 59
 Public policy, 24, 32–33
 Punishment, efficacy of, 53
Punishment with Rewards: The Trouble with Gold Stars, Incentive Plans, A's, Praise, and Other Bribes (Kohn), 164
 Punitive consequences, 95
 Purrington, T., 23
- Q**
 Quick Phonics, 174
- R**
 Ravenswood City School District, East Palo Alto, California, 139, 145, 147–148, 154, 155, 165, 169, 183, 186, 187, 193, 198, 202, 263
 Read 180 fidelity estimation tool, 143–144, 162, 209–211, 213–215
 Read Across America Week, 184
 Reading A-Z Website, 177
 Reading First Academics, 41, 175, 179
 Reading First initiative (NCLB), 40, 89, 94–96, 118, 153, 176, 193–194, 223, 275
 Reagan (Ronald) era, 131
 Rehabilitation Act, 111, 195
 Relationships, 260–263
 Reschley, Dan, 6
 Resource enhancement, 24
 “Resource room,” 18
 Response to intervention (RTI): and achievement gap, 163–169; combined standard protocol and problem-solving

- Response to (*Continued*)
 definitions of, 12–14;
 comprehensive schoolwide,
 16–20; conceptual system with
 general and special education
 integrated at all three levels of,
 17; defining, 3–21; for
 endangered school, 265–268;
 evolving definitions of, 9–16;
 features of, 4; problem-solving,
 6–8, 21, 82; schoolwide, 7–9,
 19, 21; schoolwide definitions
 of, 14–16; and special
 education, 5; standard
 protocol, 4–5, 8, 20; and
 standard protocol definitions,
 10–12
- Response to intervention (RTI),
 social-behavior side of, 45–62;
 conceptual system for
 behavioral instruction with
 general and special education
 integrated at all three levels of,
 52; and school discipline,
 46–52; and schoolwide
 positive behavior support,
 51–61
- Response to intervention (RTI),
 sustaining: and challenges
 confronting schoolwide RT,
 129–132; and professional
 development, 132–135;
 through school reform,
 127–157. *See also* School
 reform
- Response to Intervention (RTI) in
 the Recovery School District
 (RSD)*, 208–210
- Response to Intervention: Policy
 Considerations and
 Implementation* (National
 Association of State Directors
 of Special Education), 5, 12,
 17, 114, 223
- Response to Intervention: Principles
 and Strategies for Effective
 Practice* (Brown-Chidsey and
 Steege), 7, 223
- Responsiveness to Intervention
 (RTI): How to Do It* (Johnson,
 Mellard, Fuchs, and
 McKnight), 5, 10, 12
- “Rethinking Learning
 Disabilities” (Lyon, Fletcher,
 Shaywitz, Shaywitz, Torgesen,
 Wood, et al.), 29
- Rethinking Special Education for a
 New Century* (Finn,
 Rotherham, and Hokanson),
 27
- Rhee, Michelle, 155
- Riley, Colleen, 225
- Riley, Nedra, 172
- Risk assessment, 66
- Rocking, 56
- Roger, Blair, 139, 140
- Rohrbaugh, Linda, 154,
 155
- Roman Catholics, 46
- Rotherham, A. J., 27
- RTI: A Practitioner’s Guide to
 Implementing Response to
 Intervention* (Mellard and
 Johnson), 9, 77
- RTI and Behavior: A Guide to
 Integrating Behavioral and
 Academic Supports* (Sprague,
 Cook, Browning Wright, and
 Sadler), 112–113, 119
- RTI and the Classroom Teacher*
 (Hardcastle and Justice),
 34–35
- RTI Guide: Developing and
 Implementing a Model in Your*

- Schools* (McCook), 8–9, 17, 78, 189
RTI Toolkit: A Practical Guide for Schools (Wright), 84
- S**
- Sadler, C., 112, 119
 Sailor, W., 4
 SAM. *See* Schoolwide Applications Model (SAM)
 SAMAN. *See* Analysis tool; Schoolwide Applications Model (SAM)
 San Francisco, California, 32–33, 198
 San Jose, California, 198
San Jose Mercury News, 198
 Santa Monica Unified School District (California), 202
Savage Inequalities (Kozol), 254
 Scholastic Reading Inventory, 209–210
School and Society, The (Dewey), 48
 School climate, 60, 171
 School culture, 60, 61, 86, 97–99, 133, 171, 188
 School discipline, 46–51; and tactic of exclusion, 46–48; and tactic of inclusion, 48–51
School Leadership That Works: From Research to Results (Marzano, Waters, and McNulty), 200
 School psychologist, 25
 School reform: action planning in, 149; and Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration Program, 136–137; as context for RTI, 135–139; program evaluation in, 149–152; scaling up, 146–147; sustaining RTI through, 127–157; and universal design for learning (UDL), 138–139
School Reform from the Inside Out (Elmore), 265–266
School Social Behavior Scales (SSBS), 103, 123
 School teams: effective, 83; function of, 82; and RTI team processes and coaches, 81–90; and site leadership team (SLT), 82
 School-community partnerships, 178–179
 School-family partnerships, 178–179
 School-Linked Services, 195
 Schoolwide Applications Model (SAM), 16–18, 72, 88, 129, 168, 169, 178, 183, 193, 198–200, 257; Analysis System, 16; analysis tool (SAMAN), 141–146, 149, 151; fidelity assessment tool, 141–146; structural elements of, 152–156; and sustaining RTI through school reform, 139–152; and technical assistance, 153–154
 Schoolwide Evaluation Tool (SET), 146, 151
 Schoolwide level, RTI at: and achievement gap, 163–169; and building-level *versus* state-level assessments, 175–177; and coaching and collaborative teaching, 183–186; critical features of, 161–163; and distributed leadership, 170–171; and leadership and structure,

- Schoolwide level, (*Continued*)
 169–173; and leadership teams, 171–173; and Madison Elementary School, 173–183; and school-based video, 168–169; and student motivation, 165–168
- Schoolwide positive behavior support (SWPBS), 13, 51–61, 89, 142, 174, 182, 214, 235, 236; defining features of, 54–55; understanding, 54–61.
See also Response to intervention (RTI), social-behavior side of
- Schoolwide RTI, 23–44; and accountability, 38–39; and decision rules for selecting interventions, 43; and focus on literacy, 30–31; and focus on sociobehavioral factors, 39–40; and frame of disability, 24–29; and organismic factors, 40–41; as potential frame for educational policy, 29–43; and prevention, 34–36; and problem identification, 36–37; and RTI as basis for educational policy, 32–34; and shifting perspective, 31–32; and targeted intervention, 37–38; and transdisciplinary problem-solving teams, 42
- Schoolwide Title I program, 194
- Science, 95, 163, 257–260
- Scientific measurement, 93
- Scientific research, using, 94
- Screening, 272–273.
See Universal screening
- SDC. *See* Special day class (SDC)
- Secondary interventions, 21
- “Secondary kids,” 18
- Sensory issues, 56
- SET. *See* Schoolwide Evaluation Tool (SET)
- Sexual abuse, 71
- Shawnee Mission School District (Kansas), 203, 230; DIBELS progress under MTSS at, 233; Matrix for MTSS Implementation (Academics), 231; Matrix for MTSS Implementation (Social/Behavioral), 232
- Shorr, L. B., 50
- SIB. *See* State Improvement Grant (SIG)
- Silos, 130; deconstructing, 133.
See also Desiloization
- SIMEO. *See* Systematic information management for educational outcomes (SIMEO)
- Site leadership team (SLT), 82, 86, 143, 149, 154–156
- Situational stress disorders, 40
- Skrtic, Tom, 164
- Slavin, Robert, 136
- SLCs. *See* Smaller learning communities (SLCs)
- SLT. *See* Site leadership team
- Smaller learning communities (SLCs), 83, 84
- Social contracting, 246
- Social isolation, 71
- Sociobehavioral factors, 39–40
- Sociology, 59
- Southern California, 140
- SPDG. *See* State Personnel Development Grant (SPDG)
- Special day class (SDC), 193

- Special education, 18, 32, 35, 88, 106, 122, 130, 175; field of, 26; law, 24; role of, in Louisiana Recovery School District, 216; RTI and, 5; teacher, 25
- Special Education Director's Conference, 234
- Special educators, 43
- Sprague, J., 112, 119
- SRI Assessments, 211
- SSBD (systematic screening for behavior disorders), 72–74, 90, 101–103, 272–273; at each level of RTI, 123; *versus* office disciplinary referrals (ODRs), 74; at three levels, 162
- SSBS. *See* *School Social Behavior Scales* (SSBS)
- Stability, 269–270
- Staff development, 130, 132
- Stand-alone screening assessments, 37
- Stanford University, 149
- State Improvement Grant (SIG), 223, 225
- State Personnel Development Grant (SPDG), 223, 248
- Statewide level, RTI at, 221–250; and Colorado Multi-Tiered Model of Intervention and Instruction, 233–239, 249; and federal policy, 222–224; and Kansas Multi-Tiered System of Support, 224–233, 249; and New Hampshire Responds Model, 239–249
- Steege, M. W., 7, 15–16, 115, 223
- Stout, April, 183
- Structure, 169–173
- Student study teams, 84
- Sugai, G., 4, 51
- Summative assessments, 100
- Supply-side policies, 93–94
- Sustainability, 268–271
- Sustainable systems change, 55, 58–61
- SWIS data tracking system, 210
- SWPBS. *See* Schoolwide positive behavior support (SWPBS)
- Symptom substitution, 53
- System to Enhance Educational Performance (iSTEEP), 79
- Systematic information management for educational outcomes (SIMEO), 107–111, 122, 123, 125
- T**
- Teacher education, university structures for, 131
- Teaching-learning, 165–166, 256
- Teams. *See* School teams
- Tennessee, 189
- Tertiary intervention team, 106
- Tertiary interventions, 21, 108
- “Tertiary kids,” 18
- Thelan, Esther, 33
- Thomas B. Fordham Foundation, 27, 29
- Tier 1 interventions, 35, 43, 56, 57, 66, 77, 102, 103; progress monitoring for, 113–115
- Tier 2 intervention, 37, 38, 43, 56, 57, 59, 66, 101–105, 107, 109; progress monitoring for, 116–118
- Tier 3 intervention, 35, 37, 56, 57, 60, 110; and level three progress monitoring for

- Tier 3 intervention (*Continued*)
 behavior, 106–108; moving to,
 121; progress monitoring for,
 118–122
- Title I (NCLB), 68, 86,
 194
- Transdisciplinary*, 42
- Transdisciplinary
 problem-solving teams, 42
- Trouble with Black Boys, The*
 (Noguera), 47, 261
- U**
- UDL, 249. *See* Universal design
 for learning approach
- Unified School District 500,
 Kansas City, Kansas, 128, 139,
 195
- Universal design for learning
 approach (UDL), 138–139
- Universal educational
 interventions (level 1), 20, 21
- Universal screening, 37, 65–91;
 and academic screening,
 72–77, 161; and behavioral
 screening, 68–72, 161; and
 combining results of behavioral
 and academic screening,
 80–81; and curriculum-based
 measures (CBMs), 78–81; and
 determining success criteria,
 65–68; and normative and
 criterion-referenced screeners,
 76–77; at schoolwide
 level, 161; and screening
 accuracy and efficiency,
 75–76; and universal
 academic screening, 75
- University of Chicago,
 167
- University of Kansas, 9,
 106–107, 139, 154, 221
- University of Oregon, 51, 79, 210
- University of Pennsylvania, 167,
 168
- University of Richmond, 186
- University of South Florida,
 37–38, 168
- University of Southern
 California, 23, 167
- University of Toronto, 140
- Urban education, 251
- U.S. Constitution, 24
- U.S. Department of Education
 Reading First Guidelines, 41
- U.S. News and World Report*,
 197
- U.S. Office of Special Education
 Programs, 11, 51, 106
- V**
- Vallas, Paul, 207–208, 218
- Van Haren, Lena, 183
- Vanderbilt University, 6, 221
- Venture capital, 131–132
- Vestibular stimulation, 56
- Vouchers, 252, 253
- Voyager Assessments, 211–212,
 214–215
- W**
- Waetzeos, D., 23
- Wall Street Journal*, 197
- Washington, D.C., 153, 155,
 165
- Waters, T., 200, 201
- Welfare state agenda, 24
- Westport, Missouri, 128
- What Do I Do When...The*
Answer Book on RTI (Fernley,
 LaRue, and Norlin), 79–80
- “What Do We Know About
 School Effectiveness?”
 (*Phi Delta Kappan*), 252–253

White Church Elementary
School, Kansas City, Kansas,
128, 129, 139, 163, 172, 265,
276
Whitter, Christie, 173
Wire, The (television series), 260

Wraparound model, 18, 107–111
Wright, J., 84, 85
Wyandotte County, Kansas, 128

Y
Yale University, 167

