

# INDEX

- Academic Opportunity Program (AOP), 25
- Access issue. *See* Higher education access
- ACT (American College Testing Program): current admission process usage of, 60–61; debate over use of, 59; discontent with the, 58–60; origins and development of, 56–58. *See also* Standardized tests
- ACT scores: as “America’s Best Colleges” criteria, 17; Bates study on use of, 63–64; correlation between family income and, 41; as student assessment tool, 26, 43–44, 55–69. *See also* Student assessment
- Admissions. *See* Selective university admissions; College admissions
- Advanced placement (AP) courses, 9, 13
- “Affirmative Action Dodges a Bullet.” (Barbara), 75
- Affirmative action rulings: *Gratz v. Bollinger*, 20, 28, 75; *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 75; *Hopwood v. State of Texas*, 40
- African American students. *See* Minority populations
- American higher education. *See* Higher education
- “America’s Best Colleges” (*U.S. News & World Report*), 17–18, 58, 68
- Assessment. *See* Student assessment
- “Assessment of the Utility of Parents as Sources of Information About the College Decisions of Their Children” (Evans and Philip), 75
- Astin, A. W., 60
- “At the Crossroads of Access and Financial Stability.” (Humphrey), 76
- Baird, L. L., 18
- Ballinger, P., 1, 3, 15
- Barbara, L., 75
- Barrick, M. R., 18
- Bates College, 63
- Baum, S., 4
- A Better Chance, 21–22
- Bial, D., 1, 17, 20, 21, 28, 30
- Bial Dale College Adaptability Index (BDI), 20–21, 28
- Bok, D., 6, 18
- Bollinger, Gratz v.*, 20, 28, 75
- Bollinger, Grutter v.*, 75
- Bontrager, B., 75
- Bowdoin College, 63, 68
- Bowen, W. G., 6, 18
- Boyer, E. L., 67, 68
- Brandeis University Transitional Year Program (TYP), 25
- Bridgeman, B., 20
- Briggs, T., 63, 67
- Brown, R., 1, 39, 47
- Brown University Talent Quest, 24
- California Institute of the Arts, 62
- California State University Channel Islands, 46
- California State University system, 62
- Carnevale, A., 6, 14, 19
- Christensen, J., 61
- The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 31
- The Chronicle of Higher Education Almanac* (2005), 3
- Clemetsen, B., 75
- Clinton, B., 52
- Cohen, P. A., 18
- College: The Undergraduate Experience in America* (Boyer), 67
- College Adaptability Index (BDI), 20–21, 28
- College admissions: confronting commercialization of, 71–74; discriminating factors of, 18; “legacies” issue of, 58; new tools of assessment used in, 20–21, 26–28; “NIPs” (Not in Profile) issue of, 58; race and ethnicity as factors in, 13–14; relationship between financial aid leveraging and, 37–38; SES as factor in, 6–13; strategies for increasing diversity in, 19–29; test-optional admission policy adopted for, 61–69. *See also* Higher education access; Institutions; Student assessment
- College admissions commercialization: current crisis of, 71–72; disconnect between educational values and, 72–73; opportunities for leadership in,

- 74; role of Education Conservancy to combat, 73–74
- College Board: Achievement Tests introduced by, 56; PROFILE of, 39; on SAT debate, 18, 59
- College Entrance Examination Board (CEEB), 56
- College of the Holy Cross, 68
- College Life Questionnaire, 27
- College and University Journal*, 75, 76, 77
- Community colleges, 52
- Crady, T., 2
- Crouse, J., 18
- Davis, J. S., 40
- Day, J., 1, 31, 38
- Dickinson College, 66
- Disadvantaged students. *See* SES (socioeconomic status)
- Diversity. *See* Student diversity
- Dolberry Hancock, L., 23
- Donhardt, G. L., 18
- Dougherty, K. J., 19, 20
- The Earth Is Flat* (Friedman), 50
- Education Conservancy, 73–74
- Elford, G., 57
- “Enabling Student Swirl:” (Bontrager, Clemetsen, and Watts), 75
- “Enrollment Management:” (Ward), 77
- Ervin, N., 20
- Evans, D., 75
- FAFSA (Free Application for Federal Student Aid), 39
- Fairness debate: on SES as admissions factor, 7–9; test-optional admissions and, 62–63
- FairTest (National Center for Fair and Open Testing), 62
- Family income: correction between SAT/ACT scores and, 41; as University of Washington admissions factor, 12f. *See also* SES (socioeconomic status)
- Financial aid: average debt of graduating students, 32; erosion of public policy on, 33; future of leveraging, 31–38; merit, 39–47; Title IV, 53
- Financial aid leveraging: benefits and problems associated with, 31–32; consequences of, 34–35; four adaptive strategies for, 35–38; history of, 32; process of, 33–34
- Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences* (Gardner), 20
- Franklin and Marshall College, 63, 68
- Friedman, T., 50
- Gandara, P., 21
- Gardner, H., 20
- GI Bill, 32, 51
- Gladieux, L., 6, 7
- Gose, B., 27
- Grades (GPA): as “America’s Best Colleges” criteria, 17; Bates study on use of, 63–64; selective college requirements for, 8–9; STAT predictive measure compared to, 27; as student assessment tool, 43–44, 60–61; test-optional colleges and use of, 62–63; University of Washington’s review of, 9–11, 12–13. *See also* Student assessment
- Gratz v. Bollinger*, 20, 28, 75
- Great Society policies, 33
- Grigorenko, E. L., 20
- Grutter v. Bollinger*, 75
- Gupta, G., 75, 77
- Hamilton College, 63
- Hartwick College, 66
- Harvard University, 17
- Hauptman, A., 5
- HECB (Washington Higher Education Coordinating Board), 9, 12
- Higher Education 2015: How Will the Future Shake Out?* (2005 issue), 31
- Higher education: comparing international systems of, 3; status of American, 3–4, 14–15
- Higher education access: college- and university-based identification programs for, 24–26; consequences of widening gap in, 49–54; gap at selective institutions, 5–6; implications of using SES to close gap, 6–13; independent college access programs for, 21–24; new tools of assessment used for, 26–28; race and ethnicity as factors in, 13–14; as social and economic issue, 4–5. *See also* College admissions
- Higher education access gap: implications of using SES to close, 6–13; policy choices for, 51–53; policy consequences related to, 54; policy imperatives for closing the, 49–51; at selective institutions, 5–6

- Higher Education Act (1965), 51  
*Higher Education and the Color Line: College Access, Racial Equity and Social Change*, 19  
 Higher Education Opportunity Program (HEOP), 21, 25  
 Hiss, B., 63  
 Hooker-Haring, C., 64  
 Hoover, E., 31  
 Hope and Lifetime Learning Tax Credits (1997), 52  
 HOPE Scholarship Program (Georgia), 45, 53  
*Hopwood v. State of Texas*, 40  
 Horn, C. L., 19  
 “How Can We Simultaneously Enhance both Academic Excellence and Diversity?” (Sternberg), 76  
 Human capital economy, 49–50  
 Humphrey, K. B., 76
- IB exam, 63  
 Income. *See* Family income  
 Independent college access programs: A Better Chance, 21–22; Posse Foundation, 20, 21, 22–23, 28; Project Grad, 21, 22; Quest Scholars Program, 23–24  
 Institute of Higher Education (Jiao Tong University), 3  
 Institutions: “America’s Best Colleges” ranking of, 17–18; college- and university-based identification programs of, 24–26; current student demographics at U.S., 19–21; financial aid leveraging and, 31–38; policy choices made by, 53; test-optional admission policy of, 61–69. *See also* College admissions  
 Iowa Testing Programs, 56
- Jiao Tong University, 3  
 Johnson, L., 33
- Kahlenberg, R., 5, 6  
 Karen, D., 19, 20  
 Knox College, 65  
 Kraimer, M. L., 18
- Lafayette College, 64, 66  
 Latino students. *See* Minority populations  
 Lawrence University, 60–61
- “Legacies,” 58  
 Legislation: Higher Education Act (1965), 51; Middle Income Student Act (1978), 52; Morrill Act (1862), 51; Morrill Act (1890), 51; National Defense Education Act (1958), 51; No Child Left Behind, 55; Servicemen’s Education Act (1944) [GI Bill], 32, 51. *See also* Public policies  
 Lemann, N., 56  
 Lewis & Clark College, 63  
 Lounsbury, J. W., 18
- Maasa, R., 66  
 Maben, P., 66  
 McCamley-Jenkins, L., 20  
 McDermott, A., 68  
 Marin, P., 19  
 Merit: expanding definition of, 20; financial aid based on, 18, 39–46  
 Merit aid: awards, 41–42; consequences and future of, 45–46; description and evolution of, 39–40; expansion and practice of, 43–45; race/ethnic factor in, 40; scholarships based on, 18, 40  
 Merit awards: description of, 41; National Merit Scholarship Program, 44, 66; perception of, 41–42; rapid expansion of, 43–44  
 Michigan Bridge Program, 21  
 Middle Income Student Act (1978), 52  
 Milwaukee Institute of Art and Design, 62  
 Minnesota’s Private Colleges, 42  
 Minority populations: changing demographics of U.S., 50–51; current U.S. higher education, 19–21; negative effect of SAT on some, 20, 64; state land grant universities created for, 52. *See also* Racial/ethnic differences; Student diversity  
 Morrill Act (1862), 51  
 Morrill Act (1890), 51  
 Mortenson, T., 1, 49  
 Mount, M. K., 18  
 Moynihan, D. P., 33  
 Muhlenberg College, 64  
 Myron, L. P., 76
- NACAC (National Association for College Admission Counseling), 4, 5, 59, 63  
 NACAC National Conference (2004), 63

- National Center for Fair and Open Testing (FairTest), 62  
 National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education, 5  
 National Defense Education Act (1958), 51  
 National Merit Scholarship Corporation, 44  
 National Merit Scholarship Program, 44, 66  
 NCAA, 43  
 "NIPs" (Not in Profile), 58  
 No Child Left Behind, 55  
 Non-Cognitive Questionnaire (NCQ), 27–28
- Oram, F., 62  
 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 5  
 Orfield, G., 19  
 Oseguera, L., 60
- Payea, K., 4  
 Pell Grant, 42, 52  
*Peterson's Four-Year Colleges* (Oram), 62  
 Philip, P., 75  
 Portland State University, 62  
 Posse Foundation, 20, 21, 22–23, 28  
 Posse Foundation Scholars, 23  
 Preliminary SAT (PSAT/NMSQT), 44  
 Princeton University, 17  
 PROFILE (College Board), 39  
 Project Grad, 21, 22  
 Public policies: Great Society, 33; higher education access gap and related, 49–54. *See also* Legislation; Test-optional admission policy; United States
- Quest Partner Colleges, 24  
 Quest Scholars Program, 21  
 QuestBridge program, 24  
 QuestLeadership, 23
- Racial/ethnic differences: in SAT scores, 20, 65; as scholarship factor, 40; University of Washington admissions policy on, 13–14. *See also* Minority populations  
 Rainbow Project, 27  
 Redd, K. E., 40  
 Ringling School of Art and Design, 62  
 Ripple, G., 66
- Rodriguez, A., 1, 30  
 Rose, S., 6, 14, 19
- St. John's College, 61–62, 63  
 Sarah Lawrence College, 63, 67  
 SAT (Scholastic Aptitude Test): current admission process usage of, 60–61; discontent with the, 58–60; origins and development of, 56–58. *See also* Standardized tests  
 SAT scores: as "America's Best Colleges" criteria, 17, 18; Bates study on use of, 63–64; correlation between family income and, 41; racial/ethnic differences in, 20, 64; selective college requirements for, 8–9; STAT predictive measure compared to, 27; as student assessment tool, 26, 43–44, 55–69; University of Washington's review of, 9–11. *See also* Student assessment  
 SAT-1 optional admission policy, 63  
 SAT-I (Reasoning Tests), 56, 59, 63, 64, 66, 68  
 SAT-II (Subject Tests), 56, 63  
 Scholarships. *See* Merit aid  
 "Secrets of Success" (*Economist*), 3  
 Sedlacek, W., 20, 27, 28  
 Seibert, S. E., 18  
 Selective university admissions: access gap at, 5–6; SAT and grade requirements of, 8–10; strategies for increasing diversity through, 17–29; University of Washington, 9–13  
 Servicemen's Education Act (1944) [GI Bill], 32, 51  
 SES (socioeconomic status): access gap at selective institutions and, 5–6; admissions and fairness of using, 7–9; of higher education access factor, 4–5; implications of college admissions using, 6–7; University of Washington admissions considering, 9–13. *See also* Family income  
 Shapiro, M. O., 31  
 Simpson, M. W., 76  
 Skinner, W., 60  
 Standardized tests: Bates study on admissions use of, 63–64; current usage in the admission process, 60–61; discontent with the SAT, 58–60; growing outcry over the use of, 55–56; history of the SAT and ACT, 56–58; test-optional admission policy, 61–69; test-

- optional admission policy and, 61–68.  
*See also* ACT (American College Testing Program); SAT (Scholastic Aptitude Test)
- State land grant universities, 52
- State of Texas, Hopwood v.*, 40
- Steele, R., 68
- Steenis, P., 66
- Sternberg, J. S., 76
- Sternberg, R., 20, 27
- Sternberg Triarchic Abilities Test (STAT), 27
- Student assessment: Bial Dale College Adaptability Index for, 20–21, 28; Non-Cognitive Questionnaire (NCQ) for, 27–28; Sternberg Triarchic Abilities Test (STAT) for, 27. *See also* ACT scores; College admissions; Grades (GPA); SAT scores
- Student diversity: admission strategies for increasing, 19–29; college- and university-based identification programs for, 24–26; current U.S. demographics of, 19–21; independent college access programs to increase, 21–24. *See also* Minority populations
- Student leaders: “college adaptability index” on potential, 20–21; Quest Leadership for developing, 23
- Students: financial aid debts of graduating, 32; “legacies” of, 58; “NIPs” (Not in Profile), 58; strategies for increasing diverse population of, 19–29
- Summer Bridge Program (University of Michigan), 25–26
- Sumner, J., 2
- “The Supreme Court and Affirmative Action:” (Myron, Simpson, and Weber), 76
- Sygielski, J. J., 76
- Syverson, S., 1, 55, 70
- Talent Quest (Brown University), 21, 24
- Test-optional admission policy: advantages of, 66–67; Bates study on, 63–64; challenges to adopting, 67–68; examples of, 62–63; future trends in, 68–69; implementation of, 65–66; rationale for adopting, 61–62. *See also* Public policies
- Tests. *See* Standardized tests
- Texas Christian University, 57
- Thacker, L., 1, 71, 74
- Thelin, J. R., 71
- Timpane, P., 5
- Title IV, 53
- Torff, B., 20
- Transitional Year Program (TYP) [Brandeis University], 21, 25
- Trotter, D., 68
- Tuition discounting practice, 40
- United States: changing demography of, 50–51; educational level rank by, 5; higher education access in the, 4–6; human capital economy of the, 49–50; status of higher education in the, 3–4, 14–15. *See also* Public policies
- University of Michigan Summer Bridge Program, 25–26
- University of Minnesota, 42
- University of Washington: admission policy on race/ethnicity as factor, 13–14; SAT/grade admission standards of, 9–11, 12–13; using SES as admissions factors, 9–11; specific SES data used in holistic review process of, 11–13
- Urso, D., 76
- U.S. News & World Report*, 17, 43, 58, 61, 68
- U.S. Supreme Court decisions: *Gratz v. Bollinger*, 20, 28, 75; *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 75; *Hopwood v. State of Texas*, 40
- Ward, J., 77
- Washington Higher Education Coordinating Board (HECB), 9, 12
- Washington State Achievers Scholarships, 28
- Washington State Office of Financial Management, 13–14
- Washington’s Initiative 200 (1998), 13
- Watts, T., 75
- Weber, J. C., 76
- Weinberger, C., 33
- Whitla, D. K., 21
- “Why Community College Students Make Successful Transfer Students” (Sygielski and Urso), 76
- Williams College, 17, 31
- York, P., 23
- Zwick, R., 55, 56, 59, 60