

Index

A

Acquirer self-assessment, 55
Alignment. *See* Cultural alignment
All-Managers Sessions: communicating results of, 103–104; described, 99–100*fig*; developmental streams of activities during, 107–108; Feedback-Based Management Planning Sessions following, 105–107, 106*fig*; follow-up sessions to, 109*fig*–110; gaining agreement on values/practices, 102–103; gaining clarity on organizational direction during, 100–101; individual action plans developed during, 109; Tiger Teams used during, 104*fig*–105; values/practices reviewed during, 101–102*fig*. *See also* Cultural alignment
All-Staff sessions: “Case for Change” presentation during, 113–115; design and activities for, 111–112*fig*; sample agenda for, 112*e*; work-process re-engineering sessions of, 115*fig*–116
AMOCO, 20
Andrade, G., 9
AOL/Time Warner, 1
Athos, 18

B

Bank of America, 12
British Airways, 16
British Caledonian Airways, 16
British Institute of Management, 13
British Petroleum, 20
Burke, W. W., 19
Business Week study, 8

C

Carleton, J. R., 17, 37, 59
Case for Change presentations:
 competitor/customer focus activity during, 113; described, 113;
 management action plans during, 114;
 overview of organization’s direction during, 113; performance improvement suggestions during, 114–115;
 values briefing during, 113
Case, S., 1
CDD (Cultural Due Diligence): case for doing, 54–56; Cultural Alignment and Integration Plan, 81; cultural assessment/Focus Group Summary Report, 76–81*e*; defining, 53; deliverables of, 60; discussing results with CEO, 93*fig*; flowchart of, 3*fig*;

- CDD (Cultural Due Diligence) (*continued*)
 Hewlett-Packard and Compaq merger and, 67; illustrated diagram of, 50*fig*; legal restrictions of, 61; off-the-shelf vs. customized assessments of, 56–59; process of, 69–76; research on, 2; sequential steps in, 55. *See also* Cultural assessment; Due diligence; Target companies
- CDD (Cultural Due Diligence) process: assessing cultural indicators/artifacts, 75–76; assessing perceptions and expectations, 74–75; identification of infrastructure, 72; identification of key business drivers, 71–72; identification of key measures, 71; identification of leadership/management practices, 6; identification of organizational practices, 72; identification of supervisory practices, 73; identification of technology use, 74; identification of work practices, 73; intended direction and results ascertained, 70–71; methodologies used during, 69–70; physical environment assessment, 74
- CEO: discussing CDD results with, 93*fig*; discussion alignment/integration results with, 91–94; Feedback-Based Management Planning Sessions role by, 109. *See also* Executive group; Management
- Change management: Focus Group Summary Report on, 79*e*–80*e*; fundamentals of change used by, 87*e*; general considerations for, 85*e*–87*e*; incremental/transformational changes by, 86*e*; participative/declarative changes by, 86*e*. *See also* Management
- Chrysler, 20
- Clemente, M. N., 51
- Compaq, 12
- Competitor/customer focus activity, 113
- Consequences, 25
- Coopers and Lybrand, 13
- Corporate Culture and Performance* (Kotter and Heskett), 16
- Cultural alignment: discussing results with CEO, 91–94; of the executive group, 94–96; of management group, 99–110; nine-step model for, 91, 92*e*; planning, 55, 89–90; throughout organization, 91; of total organization, 111–116. *See also* All-Managers Sessions; Organizational alignment
- Cultural Alignment and Integration Plan, 81
- Cultural assessment: flowchart of, 3*fig*; four types of cultural due diligence, 55; off-the-shelf vs. customized, 56–59; research on, 2. *See also* CDD (Cultural Due Diligence)
- Cultural Cornerstones (New HP), 66
- Cultural integration: discussing results with CEO, 91–94; of the executive group, 94–96; flowchart of, 3*fig*, 84*fig*; importance of, 89; nine-step model for, 91, 92*e*; planning, 55, 89–90; research on, 2; success measures of, 118–119
- Culture: functional model of, 58. *See also* Organizational culture
- Culture clash: Cultural Alignment and Integration Plan to avoid, 81; M&A failures caused by, 13–14, 17; organizational culture and, 15–16
- Culture's Consequences* (Hofstede), 21
- Cultures stet and Organizations* (Hofstede), 21–22
- Customized CDD model, 59
- Customized culture assessment model, 58

D

- Deal, 18
- Delaware Chancery Court decision (1991), 15
- Delaware Chancery Court decision (2002), 66
- Doing Business Internationally* (Medina-Walker, Walker, & Schmitz), 22
- Due diligence: checklist for traditional, 52e–53e; defining, 51; legal restrictions of, 60–61. *See also* CDD (Cultural Due Diligence)

E

- Executive group: aligning/integrating the, 94–96; issues-based team building for new, 96fig–98; sample vision, mission, strategy, and values of, 95e. *See also* CEO; Management

F

- Feedback: improving performance through, 25; 360-degree, 108
- Feedback-Based Management Planning Sessions, 105–107, 106fig
- Financial success measures, 117–118
- Fiorina, C., 65, 66, 67
- First Chicago, 20
- Focus Group Summary Report: on change management, 79e–80e; on the culture, 78e–79e; on leadership, 80e–81e; on the merger, 77e–78e
- Follow-up sessions, 109fig–110
- Functional model of culture, 58
- Funkhouser, 18

G

- Galpin, T. J., 9, 11
- General Electric, 8

- “Golden handcuffs,” 63
- Granularity issue, 57
- Greenspan, D. S., 51
- Groupe Schneider, 20

H

- Heskett, J. L., 16, 17, 19
- Hewlett, B., 65
- Hewlett, W., 15, 65
- Hewlett-Packard, 12, 20
- Hewlett-Packard Cultural Cornerstones, 66
- Hewlett-Packard/Compaq merger (2002), 15, 65–67
- Hofstede, G., 21
- Homans, 18

I

- IBM, 21
- Improving Performance* (Rummler), 25
- Individual action plans, 109
- Individual capacity, 25
- Integration. *See* Cultural integration
- Issues-based team building, 96fig–98

J

- Jackson, S., 35
- Journal of Economic Perspectives* report, 9

K

- Kennedy, 18
- Key people: identification/retention of, 63; sample interview of, 64e
- Kotter, J. P., 16, 17, 19

L

- Lane, K., 10
- “Lazarus Problems,” 46
- Lineberry, C. S., 17
- Litwin, 18, 19

M

M&A failures: costs of, 9–13; culture clash as cause of, 13–14, 17; increasing concern of stakeholders regarding, 1–2; report card on, 8–9

M&A failures costs: bad bidders become good targets, 10; brand confusion, 12; changes in productivity, 10; decreased customer service levels/satisfaction, 12–13; decreased profitability, 10; excessive acquisition premiums, 9–10; lack of external focus on customer, competition, marketplace, 10–11; loss of key executives, 11; loss of key staff, 11–12; low staff motivation/morale, 11; lower of market share, 10; lower share price, 9

M&A (mergers and acquisitions): creation of successful, 121–122; cultural integration success measures, 118–119; financial success measures of, 117–118; increasing rate of, 7–8; pre letter of intent/acceptance activities, 61–63; success of Hewlett Packard-Compaq, 65–67; typical post-merger performance, 14*fig*

McGlickin, R., 10

McKinsey Consulting study, 9

McNealy, S., 66

Management: aligning, 99–110; daily behavior as driver of culture, 32; multicultural team leadership in, 21–22; system-aware and system-sensitive, 24; systems and, 29–30. *See also* CEO; Change management; Executive group

Management action plans, 114

Manager's Action Planning Guide, 107

A Manager's Guide to Globalization (Rhinesmith), 20

Medina-Walker, D., 22

Mercedes-Benz, 20

Mitchell, M. L., 9, 10

Mueller, D. C., 10

Multicultural team leadership:

“American” profile of, 22; characteristics of, 21; considering local culture in selecting, 22

N

National culture, 20–22

Nations Bank, 12

Nguyen, S., 10

Nissan, 20

O

Organizational alignment: described, 35–36; systemic approach to, 36–37. *See also* Cultural alignment

Organizational Alignment Model: capstone for, 97*fig*; illustrated diagram of, 36*fig*; on three interdependent drivers of results, 37

Organizational culture: adaptive vs. non-adaptive, 20; British Airways case study in managing, 16; culture clash and, 15–16; debate over, 17; defining, 18–19; hard data on performance and, 19–20; management daily behavior as driver of, 32; misinformation on, 16–17; national vs., 20–22; as separate from systems, 31–33. *See also* Culture

Organizational Scan process, 35

Organizational System Model: described, 37–38; external focus of, 38–39*fig*; organizational focus of, 38*fig*

Organizational System Scan Model: organizational level of, 44*fig*–46; overview of, 39–40*fig*, 41; overview of the, 46–47; people level of, 43*fig*–44; Values

- and Beliefs box of, 39, 40*fig*; work level of, 41–42*fig*
- Organizations: All-Managers Sessions on direction of, 100–101; Case for Change overview of direction of, 113; cultural alignment of total, 111–116. *See also* Target companies
- O’Toole, 19
- Ouchi, 18
- P**
- Paramount, Time Warner vs., 15
- Pascale, 18
- Performance improvement suggestions, 114–115
- Performance specifications, 25
- Psychology Today* (Reynolds), 32
- Q**
- Qualitative methodology, 69
- Quantitative methodology, 69–70
- R**
- Re-engineering work-process sessions, 115*fig*–116
- Reynolds, P. C., 32
- Rhinesmith, S., 20, 21, 22
- Ritti, 18
- Rolls-Royce, 20
- Rummler, G., 25
- S**
- Safford, E., 9
- San Francisco Chronicle*, 66
- Schein, E., 18
- Schmitz, J., 22
- Shenk, 10
- Shoar, 10
- Siemens, A.G., 21
- Sirower, M., 13
- Skills and knowledge, 25
- Square, D., 20
- Sun Microsystems, 66
- The Synergy Trap* (Sirower), 13
- System awareness, 24
- System theory, 30
- Systems: conditions of basic, 23–24; cross-functional teamwork between, 29; culture as separate from, 31–33; definition of, 23; individual as performance, 25; management and, 29–30; organizations as, 23; relationships between two, 26–29; synthesis before analysis of, 30–31
- T**
- Tagiuri, 18
- Target companies: assessing degree of difficulty of cultural integration with, 65; assessing executive team compatibility, 62–63; assessment of potential, 55, 62; detailed cultural assessment of, 55; identification/retention of key people in, 63. *See also* CDD (Cultural Due Diligence); Organizations
- Task support, 25
- 360-degree feedback, 108
- Tiger Teams, 104*fig*–105
- Time Warner vs. Paramount, 15
- Toshiba Corporation, 21
- Tosti, D., 35, 37
- U**
- “Ugly American” stereotype, 22
- University of Chicago survey (1992), 8
- V**
- Van Maanen, 18
- Volkswagen, 20

W

Walker, T., 22

The Wall Street Journal, 21

Watson-Wyatt, 13

Watson-Wyatt study (1999), 14

Wharton Journal News, 66

Work processes: CDD identification
of, 73; re-engineering, 115fig-
116

X

Xerox, 20