
Index

- Accountability system, 103–104
- Adolescents. *See* Youth
- Adult wizards: Henry and Iris example
of, 86–87; identifying, 53
- After-school programs. *See* Youth programs
- Akiva, T., 4, 9, 37, 93, 108
- Arrieux, D., 4, 9, 93, 108
- Art First, 80
- Asset-based approach, 80
- Bales, S. N., 3, 7, 11, 23, 27
- Beth (artistic director), 114–116
- Beyer, J., 4, 8, 45, 56
- Bigelow, M., 81
- Blyth, D. A., 3, 5, 7, 25, 43
- Bruininks, R., 12
- Choreographer problem case study,
114–115
- Communities: assessing development
opportunities to identify gaps in,
71; professional learning, 94*fig*,
99–100; types supporting youth
development opportunities,
60–61*fig*, 63*t*; What’s Up? study
implications for, 54–55
- Cultures of intentionality, 101–103
- Developmental nutrients: caring people,
30; challenging possibilities, 31;
constructive places, 30–31;
described, 30; developmental
meals, 31–32
- Developmentally intentional program
framework: applied to evaluation of
program, 89–91; embracing youth
in context of their lives, 81–82;
illustrated diagram of, 77*fig*; learn-
ing experience model as part of,
82–83, 84*fig*; overview of, 77–79;
youth development ethos element
of, 77*fig*, 79–80, 84*fig*, 85–86
- Developmentally intentional programs:
frontline intentionality of,
94*fig*–95; goodness of fit of, 76;
point of service and key develop-
mental experiences, 94*fig*; practical
applications of, 89–91; strategies
for practice with early adolescents,
83–88; theory of developmental
intentionality, 76, 94–95
- Developmentally intentional strategies:
using activities that draw youth, 88;
elements of, 83–85, 84*fig*; ethos
transparency, 85–86; relationships
as central to, 86–87; Soul Academy
use of, 87–88; YouthRESPOND
use of, 87
- Diet-and-exercise analogy: described,
28–30, 29*t*; developmental nutri-
ents of, 30–32; implications of new
paradigm of, 34–41; strengthening
developmental muscles, 32–34
- Dworkin, J., 4, 8, 45, 56
- Ethos. *See* Youth development ethos
- Evaluation: developmental intention-
ality approach to, 89–91;
High/Stakes model quality metrics
used in, 4, 95–99; implications of
new paradigm for, 40–41; Youth
Program Quality Assessment
(PQA), 98–99. *See also* Youth
programs
- “Fadumo” (young immigrant woman),
81–82
- Farmers’ market project, 85–86
- Focus groups: formed to explore partic-
ipation rates, 58–60; Minnesota
Commission use of, 18

- Formal youth programs: school-based versus community-based opportunities and, 63*t*; youth development opportunities of, 62
- 4-H clubs, 53
- Frame-Works Institute study: description of, 13–14; findings on public framing of youth, 17–21; lessons on framing youth issues, 21–22; origins of, 11; Strategic Frame Analysis from, 14–16
- Frames: definition and functions of, 14; diet-and-exercise analogy as youth development, 28–34; lessons learned on creating youth issue, 21–22; reframing youth messages, 20–21; Strategic Frame Analysis approach to, 14–16; types used in the news media, 15; understanding/testing public frames of youth, 17–21
- Frontline intentionality strategy, 94*fig*–95
- Garbarino, J., 40
- Girl Power, 112
- Goodness of fit, 76
- Henry and Iris, 86–87
- High/Scope model: cultures of intentionality of, 101–103; as evaluation or assessment tool, 4, 95–99; frontline intentionality strategy of, 94*fig*–95; functions of, 93; metrics of quality used in, 95–99; professional learning community used in, 94*fig*, 99–100; program quality improvement process using, 102*fig*; program quality work using, 37; pyramid of program quality used in, 97*fig*; systems accountability environment used in, 94*fig*, 101
- Hirsch, B., 95
- Intentional programs. *See* Developmentally intentional programs
- Iyengar, S., 15
- Jake (student assistant choreographer), 115
- Jones, M. M., 4, 9, 37, 93, 108
- Larson, R. W., 4, 10, 80, 109, 118
- Learning: building on basic youth needs, 79; cohesive approach to, 80; professional learning community role in, 94*fig*, 99–100; situated in everyday life, 80, 81–82. *See also* Youth development
- Learning experience model, 82–83, 84*fig*
- Levine, A., 38
- Linda (youth practitioner), 112–114
- Lippmann, W., 14
- Lochner, A., 1, 3, 7, 11, 23, 27
- Marczak, M. S., 4, 8, 45, 56
- Marketing strategies: increasing participation through youth-led, 72; YAC neighborhood map as, 68, 69*e*
- Media frames, 15
- Minnesota Commission on Out-of-School Time: experience of the, 1–3; focus of research done by, 16; Frame-Works Institute study sponsored by, 11, 13–16; origins and description of, 12–13
- National Academy of Sciences, 33
- Neighborhood map (YAC), 68, 69*e*
- News media frames, 15
- Nutrition-and-exercise analogy. *See* Diet-and-exercise analogy
- Opportunities. *See* Youth development opportunities
- Opportunity-depleted communities, 61*fig*
- Opportunity-rich communities, 61*fig*, 63*t*
- Parents: defining good parenting by, 16; findings on youth program “wants” by, 51–52; impact on today’s youth by, 16; youth activity role by, 48–49. *See also* Youth
- Pittman, K., 17
- Point-of-service: key developmental experiences combined with, 94*fig*–95; quality of, 96–98
- Practitioner-youth relationship: analysis

- of daily dilemmas of, 111–112; dealing with problematic choreographer example of, 114–116; personal dilemmas of, 109–110; when to share personal information with youth, 112–114. *See also* Youth
- Practitioners: adult wizard, 53, 86–87; implications of new paradigm for, 38–39; intentional strategies used by, 83–88; personal challenges faced by, 109–116; practicing developmental intentionality, 89–91; professional learning community and, 94*fig*, 99–100. *See also* Youth programs
- Presidential Initiative on children, Youth, and Families, 12
- Prime Time, 103–104
- Professional learning community, 94*fig*, 99–100
- Public frames: implications of new youth development paradigm for, 35–37; lessons learned on framing youth issues, 21–22; Minnesota research findings on, 19–20; news media, 15; reframing youth messages, 20–21; about youth, 17–21
- Public policy, 39–40
- The pyramid of program quality, 97*fig*
- Quality. *See* Youth program quality
- REACH Youth Programs, Inc., 104–105
- Research: Frame-Works Institute study, 11, 13–22; implications of new paradigm for, 40–41; What's Up? study on developing quality programs, 46–55
- Saito, R. N., 8, 57, 74
- School-based opportunity-rich communities, 61*fig*, 63*t*
- Skuzaj, J., 4, 8, 45, 56
- Smith, C., 4, 9, 37, 93, 108
- Soul Academy, 87–88
- Strategic Frame Analysis, 14–16
- Strengthening developmental muscles: connection muscle group, 33; contribution muscle group, 34; described, 32; health engagement, 34; mastery muscle group, 33; thinking muscle group, 32–33
- Systems accountability environment, 94*fig*, 101
- Teen programming: characteristics of attractive, 65; creating quality, 68–70; different approach required for, 72; included in after-school programs, 64
- Theory of developmental intentionality, 76, 94–95
- Transparency issue, 85
- W. T. Grant Foundation, 16, 19
- Walker, J. A., 4, 9, 75, 80, 92
- Walker, K. C., 5, 10, 109, 118
- What's Up? study: findings on how to build learning experiences, 52–54; implications for communities and youth organizations, 54–55; interviews conducted with youth participants, 46–47; reports on involvement by youth, 47–48; on role of parents in youth activities, 48–49; on satisfaction with time use/participation in activities, 49; on what involved youth want, 50; on what parents want, 51–52; on what uninvolved youth want, 50–51
- Whiteman Action Crew project, 82–83
- Youth: building program on basic needs of, 79; cognitive, physical, and social development of, 28; as customers, market researchers, and change agents, 73; developing activities that draw, 88; good parenting of, 16; impact of parent's on todays, 16; intentional strategies for intentional practice with, 83–88; reasons given for non-participation in programs, 66–68; strategies for increasing program participation by, 57–73; understanding/testing public frames about, 17–21; what they want out of youth programs, 50–51; when to share personal information with, 112–114. *See also* Parents; Practitioner-youth relationship

- Youth Action Crew (YAC): creation of, 59–60; lessons learned from, 71–73; neighborhood map created by, 68, 69*e*; youth-led marketing strategy by, 72
- Youth in America, 27
- Youth development: diet-and-exercise analogy for, 28–34; ethos of, 77*fig*, 79–80, 84*fig*, 85–86; implications of new paradigm for, 34–41; moving toward a new paradigm for, 25–26; six tenets of, 26–28; theory of developmental intentionality on, 76; traditional practices and research base of, 25; types of communities supporting, 60–61*fig*, 63*t*; typology for programs promoting, 60–64. *See also* Learning
- Youth development ethos: on active cocreation between youth and adults, 79–80; Art First program use of, 80; to build on basic youth needs, 79; illustrated framework including, 77*fig*; learning strategies as part of, 80; to provide choice and flexibility, 79; transparency of, 85–86
- Youth development opportunities: addressing supply issues by creating more, 72; assessing communities for gaps in, 71; formal programs promoting, 62–63*t*; informal relationships and, 63–64; opportunity-depleted communities and, 61*fig*; opportunity-rich communities and, 61*fig*, 63*t*; point of service/developmental experiences leading to, 94*fig*–95; programming for teen, 64; school-based opportunity-rich communities and, 61*fig*, 63*t*
- Youth farmers' market, 85–86
- Youth issues: implications of new paradigm for, 37–39; lessons learned on framing, 21–22
- Youth program participation: barriers to, 66–68; creating activities that draw, 88; focus group data on rates of, 58–60; lessons learned on increasing, 71–73; programming for teens to increase, 64, 65, 68–70, 72; understanding and igniting demand for, 64–70; Youth Action Crew (YAC) to increase, 59–60, 68, 69*e*; youth-led marketing strategies to increase, 72–73
- Youth program participation barriers: access, 66–67; lack of interest in and knowledge about program, 67–68; youth feel unwelcome, 67
- Youth program quality: building learning experiences through, 52–54; case examples from the field, 103–105; cultures of intentionality supporting, 101–103; defining, 94, 99; frontline intentionality to create, 94*fig*–95; High/Scope model used for, 4, 37, 93–107; implications of new paradigm for developing, 37–38; informal relationships and development opportunities for, 63–64; metrics of, 95–99; in multi-level systems, 99–101; Prime Time example of accountability for, 103–104; process of improving, 102*fig*; professional learning community level of, 99–100; REACH Youth Programs, Inc. example of, 104–105; What's Up? study on developing, 46–55
- Youth Program Quality Assessment (PQA), 98–99
- Youth program quality metrics: challenge of developing, 95–96; point-of-service quality, 96–98; pyramid of program quality, 97*fig*
- Youth programs: adult wizards working in, 53, 86–87; Art First, 80; farmers' market, 85–86; formal programs promoting development, 62–63*t*; Girl Power, 112; Prime Time, 103–104; programming for teens, 64, 65, 68–70, 72; REACH Youth Programs, Inc., 104–105; reasons given for non-participation, 66–68; Soul Academy, 87–88; strategies to increase participation in, 57–73; taking theory to practice through intentional, 75–91; types of communities supporting development in, 60–61*fig*, 63*t*; typology of youth development promoting,

- 60–64; understanding and igniting demand for, 64–70; what parents want out of, 51–52; what youth want out of, 50–51; What’s Up? study implications for, 54–55; Whiteman Action Crew, 82–83; Youth Action Crew (YAC), 59–60, 68, 69*e*, 71–73; Youth in America, 27; YouthRESPOND, 87. *See also* Evaluation; Practitioners
- Youth programs typology: of formal programs, 62–63*t*; of informal opportunities and relationships, 63–64; program-supporting communities and, 60–61*fig*, 63*t*; programming for teens, 64, 65,