

INDEX

Page references followed by *fig* indicate illustrated figures; followed by *e* indicate exhibits; followed by *t* indicate tables.

A

- Academic disciplines: Budget Support Notebooks
 budget support data on specific, 116t-124, 128; cost variations across institutions within given, 144-145; Delaware Study data applied by science department, 137-141; faculty activity in English instruction, 151-152*fig*; faculty activity in English scholarship, 153*fig*; faculty activity in English services, 153-154*fig*; per student credit hour taught (2001) by, 142*fig*-143; student learning measures specific to, 198. *See also* Institutions
- "Academic ratchet," 2-3
- Accrediting agencies. *See* Regional accrediting agencies
- Achieving Accountability in Higher Education* (Burke and Associates), 205
- ACT, 16, 180
- ACT Evaluation Survey Services, 59, 76-77
- ACT Student Opinion Survey, 35-36, 41-43, 75, 84, 170
- Administrative effectiveness assessment: additional strategies for, 169-171; challenges of, 157-159; "ratchet and lattice" role and, 2-3; role of institutional finances in, 164-169; ten key indicators used in, 159-164. *See also* Institutional effectiveness assessment
- Administrative effectiveness indicators: estimated maintenance backlog percentage of replacement value of plant, 163; excess (deficit) of current fund revenues over current fund expenditures, 160; expenditure structure, 159-160; percent of FTE employees who are faculty, 162; percent of living alumni financial support, 163; percentage of applicants accepted and who enroll, 160-161; ratio of FTE students to FTE faculty, 161; revenue structure, 159; tenure status of FTE faculty, 162; tuition discounting, 161-162
- Admitted Student Questionnaire. *See* ASQ (Admitted Student Questionnaire)
- Alumni research: on percentage of financial support by alumni, 163; survey instruments used for, 86; value of conducting, 85-86
- American Association for Higher Education, 74
- American Association of State Colleges and Universities (AASCU), 16, 98-99, 104
- American Association of University Professors, 34
- American University, 103
- "America's Best Colleges" *U.S. (News and World Report)*: College of New Jersey ranking in, 30; on declining institutional effectiveness, 5-6
- Annuity Association-College Retirement Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF), 133
- ASQ (Admitted Student Questionnaire): advantages of using, 195; ASQ Plus version of, 53, 55; average high school grades of respondents, 58t; comparative financial aid packages from, 56-57; description and measurements of, 52-53; on importance and rating of institution characteristics, 53-55; impressions of university among respondents of, 56t
- Assessing Conditions to Enhance Institutional Effectiveness* (Kuh, Kinzie, Schuh, and Whitt), 196
- Assessing Student Learning: A Common Sense Guide* (Suskie), 96
- Assessing Student Learning in General Education: Good Practice Case Studies* (Bresciani), 200
- Assessment: additional informational resources on, 200-202; Boyer Commission report's, 4-5; history of educational, 1-2; information production as primary objective of, 174-175; need for multiple measurements used in, 20-21; by regional accrediting agencies, 10-15, 11t-15, 91-96; reporting data tools used for, 16-19. *See also* Communicating assessment results; Institutional effectiveness
- Assessment and planning: creating campus culture for, 31-38; faculty and staff salary gains due to, 38-40*fig*; increasing financial aid, 40-41t; increasing student-centeredness through, 41-43; tangible results of, 38-44
- Assessment Clear and Simple: A Practical Guide for Institutions, Departments, and General Education* (Walvoord), 200-201
- Assessment Essentials: Planning, Implementing, Improving* (Palomba, Banta, and Associates), 96
- Assessment strategic planning: four questions related to effective, 23-25; institutional mission role in, 25-31; linking assessing and planning for, 31-44
- Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU), 99, 100
- Association of American Universities Data Exchange (AAUDE), 155
- Association of Physical Plant Administrators (APPA), 169-170
- Astin, A., 62, 193

B

- Banta, T. W., 96
- BearingPoint, Inc., 203

236 INDEX

- Beginning College Survey of Student Engagement (BCSSE), 73
- Boyer Commission, 4–5
- Boyer, E., 3–4
- Branding. *See* Institutional branding
- Bresciani, M., 200
- Bridges, B. K., 193
- British Quality Assurance Agency, 103
- Brookdale Community College (New Jersey), 49–50, 103
- Buckley, J. A., 193
- Budget Support Notebooks (University of Delaware): budget support data (College of Arts and Science), 116t–120, 123, 128; budget support data (Graduate Program in Physical Sciences), 120–124; departmental expenditures by object and function, 129t–131; departmental workload verification data, 125t–128; origins of, 133
- Burke, J. C., 205
- C**
- Campus culture: creating intellectual climate as part of, 62–63; for planning and assessment, 31–38; student-centered, 34, 41–43
- Campus Pulse Surveys, 85, 170–171
- Capstone experiences: description of, 97; student learning assessed using, 101
- Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 4
- Carnegie Institutional Taxonomy, 70, 137
- Center for Postsecondary Research (Indiana University), 67, 69, 73, 74, 195
- Change magazine, 2, 3
- Characteristics of Excellence: Eligibility Requirements and Standards for Accreditation*, 91
- Charts and graphs: national study of instructional costs and productivity, 177t–178t; presenting assessment information using, 175–176; reporting trend in faculty productivity, 176fig
- Claremont Graduate Schools, 47
- Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) code, 135–136
- College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA-HR), 35, 144, 170
- College Board: ASQ (Admitted Student Questionnaire) of, 52–58t, 195; EPS (Enrollment Planning Service) of, 49
- College Navigator website, 9
- The College of New Jersey, 29–30
- The College Profile*, 17
- College Student Expectations Questionnaire (CSXQ), 60–61, 195
- College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CSEQ): assessment dimensions in the, 65e–66e; comparing NSSE and, 67–69; description of, 60, 63–64; disadvantages of the, 66–67; two versions of, 64
- College Student Needs Assessment Survey, 59–60
- College Student Selection Survey, 35, 52
- Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency (CAAP), 19, 20, 98
- Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA), 19, 98
- Common Data Set (CDS), 17
- Communicating assessment guidelines: 1. use charts and graphs, 175–176fig, 177t–178t; 2. remember your audience, 176, 179; 3. statistical significance, 179
- Communicating assessment results: basic guidelines for, 175–179; dashboard used for, 179–190; institutional data reporting template for, 104–106; of student learning findings, 102–107; student retention and attrition findings, 81–85; VSA data template used for, 16–17, 104–106. *See also* Assessment; Information
- Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSE), 67, 73, 74
- Compensation. *See* Faculty salaries
- Council of Independent Colleges (CIC), 156
- Curriculum Mayor* (Universidad Mayor), 103–104
- D**
- Dashboard of Key Operational Indicators (University of Delaware): first-time freshman applications (2001–2006), 184fig; five categorical headings of, 180–181, 182fig–183fig; freshman-to-sophomore retention rates, 181, 185t–186t; representative charts associated with, 184fig; six-year graduation rates, 187fig–188fig; template for the, 190fig; total operating revenue, expenses, and transfers, 184fig
- Data collection form (Delaware Study), 134–137, 147, 148e–150e
- DEEP (Documenting Effective Educational Practice) Project: description of, 195–196; Inventory for Student Engagement and Success (ISES) of, 196–197; twenty institutions involved in the, 196
- Delaware Faculty Activity Study, 151
- Delaware Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity: benchmarking out-of-classroom faculty activity by, 151–154; conclusions and implications of benchmarking data from, 154–156; data collection form for the, 134–137, 147, 148e–150e; description of, 37, 132, 134, 202–203; example of data usage in science department, 137–141; faculty productivity data from, 145–151; fiscal resources data from the, 141–145; origins of the, 133; three data collection cycles examined from the, 111, 141–142. *See also* Kansas Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity; University of Delaware
- Delaware Technical and Community College: comparing University of Delaware and, 27–28; open access policy of, 48–49
- Designing and Assessing Courses and Curricula: A Practice Guide* (Diamond), 201
- Diamond, R., 201
- Dickeson, R., 203–204
- Discipline. *See* Academic discipline
- Dissemination. *See* Communicating assessment results
- Dressel, P., 90
- E**
- Eastern Seaboard State University weekly admissions monitoring report, 51t
- Educational Testing Service (ETS), 98
- Enrollment Planning Service (College Board), 49
- F**
- Facilities: estimated maintenance backlog percentage of replacement value of, 163; Facilities Performance Indicators Survey on, 169–170; planning and assessment gains for renewal of, 43–44; student learning facilitated by design of, 94, 95; University of Delaware's priority on, 34

- Faculty: "academic ratchet" description of, 2-3; comparative gains in compensation of, 39t-40t; general education skills as defined by, 198-199; percent of FTE employees who are, 162; ratio of FTE student to FTE, 161; tenure status of FTE, 162; University of Delaware's strategic priority on retaining, 33-35. *See also* Staff
- Faculty activity: Budget Support Notebooks (University of Delaware) on, 116t-131; Delaware Study benchmarking out-of-classroom, 151-154; description of, 109-110; developing appropriate analytical metrics for, 112-131; English discipline instruction, 151-152fig; English discipline scholarship, 153fig; English discipline service, 153-154fig; extending budget support metrics of, 131-132; implications of Delaware Study benchmarking of, 154-156; NSOPF and HERI description of, 110-111; University of Delaware budget support data (2004-2007) on, 116t-124
- Faculty productivity: additional references on assessing, 203-204; Delaware Study data on, 145-151; graph reporting trend in, 176fig; national study of instructional costs and, 177t-178t
- Faculty salaries: Oklahoma Salary Study on average entry-level, 143-144; planning and assessment approach to, 38-40fig
- Final projects: description of, 97; student learning assessed using, 100-101
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), 164, 169
- Financial aid: ASQ information on institution package for, 55-56, 57t; federal government's emerging role in, 7-15; history of growing need for, 2-6; Pell Grants, 9, 15; planning and assessment to increase, 40-41t; University of Delaware's strategic priority on, 34, 35
- Fiscal resources: additional references for assessing, 203-204; administrative effectiveness assessment and role of, 164-169; administrative effectiveness indicators related to, 159-164; Budget Support Notebooks (University of Delaware) on, 116t-131, 133; Delaware Study data on, 141-145; developing appropriate analytical metrics for, 112-131; extending budget support metrics of, 131-132; GASB and FASB requirements on, 164-169; per student credit hour taught (2001), 142fig
- Focused Vision* (University of Delaware), 33-34
- Freshman Year Experience (FYE), 200
- Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE), 98, 100, 133, 146
- G**
- General education skills: faculty defined, 198-199; Middle States Commission on competencies of, 199-200
- Golden Fleece Award, 110
- Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), 164, 169
- Graduate education: Budget Support Notebooks for physical sciences, 120-124; student learning assessment in, 101-102
- Graduation rates, 187fig-188fig
- Graham, R., 111, 141
- Graphs. *See* Charts and graphs
- H**
- Harker, P. T., 62-63
- Hayek, J. C., 193
- Higher education: "America's Best Colleges" issue on state of, 5-6; Boyer Commission report on, 4-5; calls for greater transparency in, 15-21; changes following World War II in, 3-4; history of federal government role in, 7-15; metrics for assessing cost containment of, 9-10; "ratchet and lattice" within U.S., 2-3; VSA (Voluntary System of Accountability) reporting on, 16, 17-19. *See also* Institutional effectiveness
- Higher Education Act (1998), 7
- Higher Education Research Institute (HERI), 110-111
- Hollowell, D., 23, 31
- How College Affects Students* (Pascarella and Terenzini), 62
- Human resources. *See* Faculty; Staff
- I**
- Indiana University: Center for Postsecondary Research at, 67, 69, 73, 74, 195; College Student Expectations Questionnaire (CSXQ) of, 60-61, 74, 195; NSSE Institute at, 74
- Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis, 86
- Information: dashboard used to organize/present, 179-190; as primary assessment objective, 174-175; shaping policy and making decisions through, 175. *See also* communicating assessment results
- Institution type: direct expense per student credit hour taught (2001), 143fig-145; per student credit hour taught (2001) by, 142fig-143
- Institutional accreditation: commonality of regional standards for, 11t-14t; decentralized system of regional, 10-15. *See also* Regional accrediting agencies
- Institutional branding: The College of New Jersey's experience with, 29-30; University of Delaware's approach to, 28-29; University of Phoenix's experience with, 30
- Institutional effectiveness: "America's Best Colleges" (1996 issue) on declining, 5-6; assessing, 47-87; Boyer Commission on changes in, 3-5; calls for greater transparency to improve, 15-21; commonality of regional standards for assessing, 11t-14t; federal government efforts to improve, 7-15; planning and assessment linked to increase, 31-44; "ratchet and lattice" phenomenon affecting, 2-3; summary of critique on higher education, 6. *See also* Assessment; Higher education
- Institutional effectiveness assessment: alumni research included in, 85-86; issues related to entering students, 47-61; student engagement measures of, 62-74; student learning measure of, 5, 62-74, 89-107; student retention and attrition findings of, 81-85; student satisfaction measures of, 74-81. *See also* Administrative effectiveness assessment
- Institutional finances: additional references for assessing, 203-204; administrative effectiveness assessment role of, 164-169; administrative effectiveness indicators related to, 159-164; Budget Support Notebooks (University of Delaware) on, 116t-131, 133; Delaware Study data on, 141-145; developing appropriate analytical metrics for, 112-131; extending budget support metrics of, 131-132;

238 INDEX

Institutional finances: (Continued)

- GASB and FASB requirements on, 164, 169; national study on faculty productivity and, 177t-178t; per student credit hour taught (2001) cost of, 142fig; University of Delaware revenues/expenses, 165-169; University of Delaware total operating costs, 184fig
- Institutional mission: on admissions philosophy, 48; assessing effectiveness of strategies in context of, 30; student learning outcomes and, 92; University of Delaware's planning in context of, 33-34; University of Delaware's statement of, 25-26, 179-180
- Institutions: ASQ on financial package of specific, 55-56, 57t; ASQ respondents on their impressions of, 56t; Carnegie Institutional Taxonomy of, 70, 137; characteristics related to student enrollment, 53-55; DEEP Project, 195-197; Golden Fleece Award to, 110; information used to shape policy/decision making by, 175; Inventory for Student Engagement and Success (ISES) for, 196-197; maximizing human and fiscal resources of, 109-132; student enrollment competition by, 49-50. *See also* Academic disciplines
- Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 119, 169, 181
- Integrating Higher Education Planning and Assessment: A Practical Guide* (Hollowell, Middaugh, and Sibolski), 23, 31
- Inventory for Student Engagement and Success (ISES), 196-197
- IPEDS Peer Analysis tool, 169

J

- Johnson County Community College (Kansas), 49-50, 74

K

- Kansas Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity, 134, 155, 203. *See also* Delaware Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity
- Kinzie, J., 193, 195, 197
- Kuh, G. D., 67, 193, 195, 197

L

- Learning. *See* Student learning
- Locally produced tests: description of, 97; student learning assessed using, 99
- London Metropolitan University (LMU), 103

M

- Marywood University, 190
- Massy, W. F., 2-3, 158, 159, 161, 163, 164, 203
- Measure of Academic Proficiency and Progress (MAPP), 19, 98
- Michigan State University, 90
- Middaugh, M. F., 23, 110, 111, 141, 144
- Middle States Commission on Higher Education: accreditation statistics provided by, 15; commonality of standards with other accrediting agencies, 11t; on facilities renewal, 43-44; on general education competencies, 199-200; institutional self-study and development observed by, 173-174; London Metropolitan University (LMU) accredited by, 103; Standard 14 on student learning assessment, 91, 93, 94-95, 96; student learning report (2006) by, 91; Universidad Mayor as candidate for accreditation by, 104. *See also* Regional accrediting agencies
- Mission. *See* Institutional mission

N

- National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), 170, 203
- National Association of Collegiate and University Business Officers, 203
- National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges (NASULGC), 16, 98, 104
- National Center for Education Statistics CIP Taxonomy, 136
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 9, 47-48, 119, 169
- National Commission on Educating Undergraduates, 4
- National Commission on the Cost of Higher Education, 7-9, 109
- National Community College Benchmark Project, 74
- National Council Licensure Exam (NCLEX), 98
- National Faculty Salary Survey for Four Year Institutions, 144
- National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF), 110-111
- National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE): advantages of the, 69-73; assessment dimensions in the, 68t; CCSE version of the, 67, 73, 74; comparing the CSEQ and, 67-69; description of, 18, 60, 67-68; institutional data reporting template developed by, 104, 106; student behaviors contributing to student success, 193; University of Delaware use of, 70-71
- New England Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Institutions of Higher Education, 11t-12t
- New York Stock Exchange, 30
- Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory, 75-76, 78-79
- North Central Association of Colleges and Schools Higher Learning Commission, 12t
- Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities, 12t-13t
- NSSE Institute, 74

O

- Office of Institutional Research and Planning, 35, 38
- Oklahoma Salary Study, 143-144

P

- Pace, C. R., 63, 67
- Palomba, C. A., 96
- Pascarella, E. T., 62, 63, 74, 90, 193
- The Path to Prominence* (Harker), 62-63
- Peer analysis: first-time freshman applications (2001-2006), 184fig; freshman-to-sophomore retention rates, 181, 185t-186t; IPEDS tool for, 169, 181; six-year graduation rates, 187fig-188fig
- Pell Grants, 9, 15
- Penn State University, 28
- Pew Charitable Trust, The, 67
- PGI (predicted grade index), 158
- Philadelphia University, 76
- "Piecing Together the Student Success Puzzle: Research, Propositions, and Recommendations" (Kuh, Kinzie, Buckley, Bridges, and Hayek), 193-194
- Planning and assessment: creating campus culture for, 31-38; faculty and staff salary gains due to, 38-40fig; increasing financial aid, 40-41t; increasing student-centeredness through, 41-43; tangible results of, 38-44
- Planning. *See* Assessment strategic planning

- Portfolios: description of, 97; student learning assessed using, 99-100
- Prager, Sealy & Co., 203
- Praxis tests series, 98
- Princeton University, 29
- Prioritizing Academic Programs and Services: Reallocating Resources to Achieve Strategic Balance* (Dickeson), 203-204
- Problem-based learning, 94
- Project DEEP (Developing Effective Education Practices), 74
- Project Vision* (University of Delaware), 32-33
- Proxmire, W., 110
- R**
- "Ratchet and lattice" phenomenon, 2-3
- Regional accrediting agencies: New England Commission, 11t-12t; North Central Commission, 12t; Northwest Commission, 12t-13t; Southern Commission, 13t; student learning measures looked for by, 91-96; student learning/institutional effectiveness focus of, 10, 15; Western Commission, 14t. *See also* Assessment; Institutional accreditation; Middle States Commission on Higher Education
- Reinventing Undergraduate Education* (Boyer Commission), 4-5
- Report of the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Education, 9
- Rockefeller University, 47
- Roselle, D., 33, 133
- S**
- Salaries. *See* Faculty salaries
- SAT, 16, 180
- Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate* (Boyer), 3-4
- Schuh, J. H., 195, 197
- Seybert, J., 97
- Shahid, A., 111, 141
- Sibolski, E., 23
- Society for College and University Planning, 23, 45
- Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges, 13t
- Southern Universities Group (SUG), 155
- Spellings, M., 8
- Spellings report (2006), 8-9, 109
- Staff: planning and assessment approach to salary gains, 38-40fig; University of Delaware's strategic priority on retaining, 33-34. *See also* Faculty
- Standard 14 (Middle States Commission), 91, 93, 94-95, 96
- Standardized tests: ACT and SAT, 16, 180; CLA, CAAP, and MAPP, 104; description and types of, 97; learning assessed through, 98-99
- State University of New York, 52
- Statistical significance, 179
- Straight Talk About College Costs and Prices* report (1998), 7-8
- Strategic Financial Analysis for Higher Education* (Prager, Sealy & Co., KPMG LLP, and BearingPoint, Inc.), 203
- Strategic Indicators for Higher Education* (Taylor and Massy), 203
- Student attrition: findings on, 81-85; identifying areas of discontent and, 84-85; for seven cohorts of first-time freshmen, 82t-83t
- Student engagement: alumni research on, 85-86; CSEQ measuring, 60, 63-69; developing usable measures of, 62; Inventory for Student Engagement and Success (ISES) on, 196-197; measuring, 62-74; relationship between success and, 193-197; student retention/attrition findings from, 81-85
- Student enrollment: first-time freshman applications (2001-2006) and, 184fig; as indicator of institutional functioning, 160-161; institution competition for, 49-50; mission statement on admissions philosophy, 48; NCES records on, 47-48; open access policies on, 48-49; quantitative tools for measuring, 49-61; selected institutional characteristics related to, 53-55
- Student enrollment measures: ACT Evaluation Survey Services for, 59; ASQ (Admitted Student Questionnaire) for, 52-58t; College Student Expectations Questionnaire (CSXQ) for, 60-61; College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CSEQ) for, 60; College Student Needs Assessment Survey for, 59-60; College Student Selection Survey for, 35, 52; National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) for, 60; weekly admissions monitoring report for, 50-52
- Student learning: assessing graduate education, 101-102; Boyer Commission report on, 5; classroom building design to facilitate, 94, 95; communicating assessment findings on, 102-107; as consonant with institutional mission, 92; criteria for assessing, 91-96; criticism of institutional measures of, 89-90; effectiveness of problem-based, 94; general education skills component of, 198-200; importance of multiple, 90-91; mastery of general education, 198-199; measuring, 197-202; measuring student engagement in, 62-74; Standard 14 on assessing, 91, 93, 94-95, 96; strategies for measuring, 96-101
- Student retention: Dashboard of Key Operational Indicators on rates of, 181, 185t-186t; findings on, 81-85; identifying areas of discontent and, 84-85; for seven cohorts of first-time freshmen, 82t-83t
- Student satisfaction: alumni research on, 85-86; developing usable measures of, 62; measuring, 74-81; relationship between success and, 193-197; student retention/attrition findings from, 81-85
- Student success: alumni research on, 85-86; forces impacting, 194fig; Inventory for Student Engagement and Success (ISES) on, 196-197; relationship between engagement, satisfaction, and, 193-197; student behaviors contributing to, 193
- Student Success in College: Creating Conditions That Matter* (Kuh, Kinzie, Schuh, Whitt, and Associates), 195
- Student-centered campus: planning and assessment gains for, 41-43; University of Delaware's strategic priority on, 34
- Students: planning and assessment to increase financial aid to, 40-41t; ratio of FTE faculty to FTE, 161; retention and attribution findings on, 81-85, 185t-186t; "three strikes" policy for underage alcohol consumption, 80-81
- A Study of Higher Education Instructional Expenditures: The Delaware Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity*, 141
- Suskie, L. A., 96

240 INDEX

T

Taylor, B. E., 158, 159, 161, 163, 164, 203
 Templates: University of Delaware strategic dashboard, 189fig; VSA data, 16-17, 104-106
 Tennessee Higher Education Commission (THEC), 156
 Terezini, P. T., 62, 63, 74, 90, 193
A Test of Leadership: Charting the Future of U.S. Higher Education (2006) [Spellings report], 8-9, 109
 Tests: locally produced, 97, 99; standardized, 16, 97, 98-99, 104, 180
 "Three strikes" policy, 80-81
 Trenton State College (The College of New Jersey), 29-30
 Tufté, Edward, 204
 Tuition discounting, 161-162

U

Universidad Mayor (Chile), 103-104
 University of California at Los Angeles, 110
 University of Delaware: ACT Student Opinion Survey administered by, 35-36, 41-43, 75, 84, 170; budget support data (2004-2007) at, 116-124; Budget Support Notebooks developed at, 113-131, 133; Campus Pulse Surveys used by, 85, 170-171; College Student Selection Survey used by, 35, 52; comparing community college to, 26-28; connecting planning to mission, 33-34; Dashboard of Key Operational Indicators, 180-189; Facilities Inventory of, 36; Faculty Senate General Education Resolution at, 199; financial aid priority of, 34, 35; *Focused Vision* planning document of, 33-34; general education skills defined by faculty, 198-199; human and fiscal resource metrics developed at, 112-131; identifying areas of student discontent at, 84-85; institutional branding by, 28-29; linking planning and assessment at, 31-44; mission statement of, 25-26, 179-180; NSSE data used by, 70-71; Office of Educational Assessment website, 103; Office of Institutional Research and Planning at, 113, 133; PGI (predicted grade index) used at, 158; priority on retraining faculty and staff, 33-35; problem-based learning study conducted by, 94; *Project Vision* planning document of, 32-33; Research University-Very High Activity classification of, 137; revenues and expenses (2007) of, 165-169; student engagement initiative at, 64; student satisfaction at, 79-80fig; "three strikes" policy for underage alcohol, 80-81; total operating revenue, expenses, and transfers, 184fig; University Advisement Center developed by, 171. *See also* Delaware Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

University of Maryland, 28
 University of Missouri System, 156
 University of North Carolina System, 156
 University of Pennsylvania, 2
 University of Phoenix, 30
 University of Virginia, 40
 U.S. Department of Education, 98, 181
U.S. News and World Report, 5-6, 30

V

Voluntary System of Accountability (VSA), 16, 17-19, 104-106

W

Walvoord, B., 200-201, 202
 Websites: ACT Evaluation Survey Services, 59; American Association of State Colleges and Universities (AASCU), 99; American University, 103; ASQ (Admitted Student Questionnaire), 52; Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU), 99; Brookdale Community College, 103; College and University Professional Association for Human Resources (CUPA-HR), 170; College Navigator, 9; *The College Profile*, 17; College Student Experiences Questionnaire (CSEQ), 63; Delaware Faculty Activity Study, 151; Faculty Senate General Education Resolution, 199; Higher Education Research Institute (HERI), 110; Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 169, 181; London Metropolitan University (LMU), 103; National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), 170, 203; National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges (NASULGC), 98; National Center for Education Statistics, 47-48; National Community College Benchmark Project, 74; National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF); National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 67; Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory, 75; NSSE Institute, 74; Society for College and University Planning, 45; Universidad Mayor (Chile), 103; University of Delaware's Office of Educational Assessment, 103
 Weekly admissions monitoring report, 50-52
 Western Association of Colleges and Schools Accrediting Commission of Senior Colleges and Universities, 14t
 Whitt, E. J., 195, 197

Z

Zemsky, R., 2-3