Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric CO₂ concentration: from the geological past to the next century
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Summary

The rate of CO₂ assimilation by plants is directly influenced by the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere, c_a. As an environmental variable, c_a also has a unique global and historic significance. Although relatively stable and uniform in the short term, global c_a has varied substantially on the timescale of thousands to millions of years, and currently is increasing at seemingly an unprecedented rate. This may exert profound impacts on both climate and plant function. Here we utilise extensive datasets and models to develop an integrated, multi-scale assessment of the impact of changing c_a on plant carbon dioxide uptake and water use. We find that, overall, the sensitivity of plants to rising or falling c_a is qualitatively similar across all scales considered. It is characterised by an adaptive feedback response that tends to maintain 1/c_a/1/c_a, the relative gradient for CO₂ diffusion into the leaf, relatively constant. This is achieved through predictable adjustments to stomatal anatomy and chloroplast biochemistry. Importantly, the long-term response to changing c_a can be described by simple equations rooted in the formulation of more commonly studied short-term responses.
I. Introduction

More than two centuries after the precise experiments by de Saussure (1804) confirmed the dependence of photosynthesis on atmospheric CO₂, important questions remain about the fundamental relationship between atmospheric CO₂ concentration (cᵣ), plant carbon uptake, and water use. As cᵣ has continued to increase in recent decades researchers have sought to predict the long-term impacts on plant growth. This pursuit is increasingly allied with understanding how large fluctuations of cᵣ in the past might have shaped present-day plant functional diversity (Ehleringer et al., 2005).

Based on the steep positive response of CO₂ assimilation rate to cᵣ in short-term assays, early expectations were of greatly enhanced growth under elevated cᵣ (Radmer & Kok, 1977; Witter, 1979). It later became apparent that in the long term, increases in CO₂ assimilation rate and growth were likely to be more moderate due to various feedback responses and constraints (Kramer, 1981). The primary goal for many decades has been to understand the nature of these feedbacks at different scales within different vegetation systems in an effort to predict the general behaviour of plants under changing cᵣ. Several comprehensive reviews have advanced our knowledge in this area (Bowes, 1993; Ceulemans & Mousseau, 1994; Idso & Idso, 1994; Curtis, 1996; Drake et al., 1997; Saxe et al., 1998; Norby et al., 1999; Amthor, 2001; Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007; Gerhardt & Ward, 2010).

For any given set of environmental conditions, the rate of exchange of carbon dioxide for water vapour at the leaf surface is governed largely by the diffusive conductance of the epidermis (Farquhar & Sharkey, 1982), as determined by the density, size and dynamic properties of stomata. Of the many environmental signals to which stomata respond (Willmer & Fricker, 1996), the short-term sensitivity of stomata to CO₂ concentration has long been known to scientists. In the late 19th century Francis Darwin observed ‘pure CO₂ slowly closes the stomata’ and ‘when illuminated, stomata remain open in the absence of CO₂’ (Darwin, 1898). More than a century later it was demonstrated that prolonged exposure of plants to different CO₂ concentrations could induce permanent changes in stomatal density (Woodward, 1987). This fundamental sensitivity at the anatomical level, which translates to a shift in maximum leaf diffusive conductance, has now been observed across several geological time scales, suggesting a close coupling between long-term changes in cᵣ and the evolution of leaf gas exchange capacity (van der Burgh et al., 1993; van de Water et al., 1994; Wagner et al., 1996; McElwain et al., 1999; Röhr et al., 2001; Beerling et al., 2002; Retallack, 2002; Röhr-Nebelsick & Konrad, 2003; Franks & Beerling, 2009; de Boer et al., 2011; Lammertsma et al., 2011). With significant progress in the refinement of model genetic systems for analysis of stomatal development, and an ever increasing number of sequenced plant genomes, the opportunity now exists for an improved evolutionary perspective on stomatal developmental sensitivity to cᵣ (Vatén & Bergmann, 2012). Along with genomic diversity, recent evidence suggests that the evolution of plant genome size per se could be a central factor in the historic correlations between cᵣ and stomatal traits (Franks et al., 2012a).

Here we evaluate the latest information on the sensitivity of plant carbon dioxide uptake and water use to sustained changes in cᵣ. These fundamental and intimately connected physiological responses are examined from two perspectives: time, ranging from decades to hundreds of millions of years; and magnitude of cᵣ, ranging from pre-industrial lows of c. 200 ppm, to >10 times this, as occurred in ancient atmospheres. We present a concise synthesis and interpretation of the emerging pattern of historic trends in cᵣ, the capacity for CO₂ assimilation, and leaf diffusive conductance, and provide a basic, quantitative framework for characterising these trends.

This review is timely for several reasons. First, the maturation of several long-term forest tree Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) experiments (e.g. Aspen FACE (Darbahl et al., 2010), Duke FACE (Ellsworth et al., 2012), and Oak Ridge FACE (Norby et al., 2010), has allowed the effects of sustained changes in cᵣ on vegetation systems to be more fully assessed. In their design these long-term studies better account for transient effects associated with the imposition of a step change in cᵣ on established (Körner et al., 2005) or newly developing systems (Ellsworth et al., 1995; Uddling et al., 2009). Second, there is an increasing need for better integration of observational data and the theory of underlying mechanisms of plant response to varying cᵣ over more extensive timescales, including the deep geological past. Third, land surface models used to simulate hydrological and climatic processes under different CO₂ forcing scenarios rely crucially upon accurate accounting of stomatal sensitivity to CO₂ (Bettis et al., 1997, 2007; Sellers et al., 1997; Gedney et al., 2006). These models have traditionally utilised simple empirical parameterisations of stomatal sensitivity developed for short-term responses (Collatz et al., 1991). However, it is not known whether these short-term parameterisations adequately describe longer-term responses that may be modified by resource constraints, developmental processes or adaptation.

II. Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations through time

1. Direct measurements: 1958 to present

The longest continuous record of direct measurement of cᵣ is that from the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii, which commenced in 1958 (Keeling et al., 1976). Even after a few years it was clear that cᵣ was increasing, and Keeling et al. (1976) attributed this to industrial CO₂ emissions. This trend has continued to the present day. Over the last decade cᵣ has increased at the rate of c. 2 ppm yr⁻¹, and at the end of 2011 the estimated global annual mean was c. 391 ppm (Conway & Tans, 2012). The trend is not restricted to CO₂. Carbonyl sulphide (abbreviated COS, chemical formula OCS), for example, is an atmospheric trace gas with strong greenhouse properties (Ueno et al., 2009). During photosynthesis, COS co-diffuses with CO₂ into leaves at a ratio of c. 1.4 pmol COS per μmol CO₂ (Stimler et al., 2010), making it a potentially important tracer for large-scale gross primary productivity estimates (Goldan et al., 1988; Campbell et al., 2008). Concentrations of COS have been increasing in a similar fashion to CO₂ since industrialisation, and this too has been attributed to industrial emissions (Montzka et al., 2007; Aydin et al., 2008).
2. Reconstructions: ice cores to geochemical models

The $c_a$ record before 1958 comprises a number of indirect measurements and proxy estimates (Fig. 1). The uncertainty of these measures tends to increase with increasing time before present. Measurements of $c_a$ in air occluded in ancient ice sheets extending in age to 800 000 yr are the most precise. The composition of the air in the bubbles trapped within the ice, formed over a period of several years as firn changed to ice, is assumed to closely reflect the local atmospheric composition at the time and to have remained unchanged until extraction for measurement (Oeschger et al., 1984). These measurements have a precision of ± 5–6 ppmv (Oeschger et al., 1984; Neftel et al., 1985). It should be noted that, due to the time taken for the transition from firn to ice, the trapped CO$_2$ in any bubble has an age distribution, rather than a discrete age. This also means that the amplitude of fast changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration is attenuated in the signal extracted from ice cores (Spahni et al., 2003). Estimates of $c_a$ for periods older than the oldest ice sheets rely upon various proxies and biogeochemical models (Royer, 2006). These estimates have considerable uncertainties attached (Berner & Kothavala, 2001; Royer, 2006). As an overview for the Phanerozoic Eon, spanning the last c. 542 million yr (Ma), the geochemical model GEOCARBSULF (Berner, 2006, 2008) is one of the most widely adopted, providing estimates of $c_a$ for 10 Ma intervals (Fig. 1a).

Overall the evidence indicates that $c_a$ has varied substantially through geological time. This point was first realised in the late nineteenth century by Ball (1879) and ran counter to the opinion of many at the time, including that of the foremost geologist Charles Lyell. After estimating the enormous volume of carbon in the Carboniferous coal measures, ‘all of which must have been extracted from the atmosphere’, Ball (1879) reasoned ‘…before the deposition of the [Carboniferous] coal measures, the atmosphere contained twenty times as much carbonic acid gas… than it does at present’. This is within the realm of current estimates of $c_a$ for the early Palaeozoic Era (Berner, 2008; Fig. 1). Since the Carboniferous Period the fluctuations in $c_a$ have been more moderate, with the concentration perhaps exceeding 1000 ppm in the mid Cretaceous Period $c. 100$ Ma before present, then trending steadily down through most of the Cenozoic Era (Hansen & Wallmann, 2003; Berner, 2008; Fig. 1a). For much of the last million years $c_a$ has oscillated between 172 and 300 ppm and includes nine glacial periods in which $c_a$ remained in the lower part of this range for tens of thousands of years (Luthi et al., 2008).
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**Fig. 1** Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration ($c_a$) through time. (a) From 560 to 10 million yr ago simulated by the GEOCARBSULF model (Berner, 2008; parameterised for basalt/granite weatherability $= 2$, NV $= 0.015$, fB0 $= 5$) connecting discrete values (open squares) with straight lines. Also indicated are proposed timings of appearances of embryophytes and angiosperms (815–568 and 240–175 million yr ago, respectively; Clarke et al., 2011), stomata (Edwards et al., 1998), grasses (Strömberg, 2011) and C$_4$ plants (Sage et al., 2012). (b) High-resolution atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration values from Antarctic ice cores as compiled by Luthi et al. (2008) for the period 800 000–1000 yr ago and from the DSS Antarctic ice core for the period 1006–1954 C.E. (Etheridge et al., 1996). That ice core record is extended with annual mean measured in situ values at the Mauna Loa Observatory (1959–1979; Tans & Keeling, 2012) and globally averaged marine surface annual mean measurement data (1980–2011; Conway & Tans, 2012). Discrete values are connected with straight lines. The arrow indicates the lowest CO$_2$ concentration reported from an ice core measurement (171.6 ppm). (c) Measured values since year 1 C.E. as given in (b) but with ice core values shown as discrete points and with the Mauna Loa and global marine surface time series jointly labeled ‘NOAA’. The measured time series is continued (extrapolated) with the four ‘representative concentration pathways’ (RCPs) scenarios RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 (Moss et al., 2010), for the period 2015–2100 C.E. (d) Values for the period 1900–2100 C.E. from (c), but at greater resolution.
3. The future: scenarios, not predictions

There are currently no firm predictions for the course of $c_{e}$ over the remainder of this century. This uncertainty stems in large part from the unpredictable time course of future CO$_2$ emissions, dependent on unknown future economic, political and social factors. Thus, for climate modelling purposes the approach has been to model effects across a range of different feasible greenhouse gas emission scenarios. In 2001 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) published several possible future trends for $c_{e}$ modelled from 1980 through to 2100, based on the IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES; IPCC, 2001). These ‘what if’ trends are distinct from predictions because each is generated from a carbon cycle model using rates of CO$_2$ emission based on prescribed (but intrinsically unknowable) sets of future economic and political circumstances (IPCC, 2000). The IPCC Fourth Assessment Report on climate change adopted a core group of greenhouse gas emissions scenarios from SRES for use in climate simulations, but reiterated that ‘no likelihood has been attached to any of the SRES scenarios’ (IPCC, 2007a). The $c_{e}$ resulting from these scenarios ranged between c. 550 and 850 ppm by the year 2100. It should be noted that the carbon cycle models used in generating these possible future $c_{e}$ trends also contained additional hypothetical assumptions about rates of terrestrial system response and oceanic uptake of atmospheric CO$_2$ (Prentice et al., 2001). The IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, due in 2013/14, will utilise a different approach to generate a set of possible future $c_{e}$ trends for this century. Four ‘Representative Concentration Pathways’ (RCPs) have been devised from different emission trajectories (Moss et al., 2010; Vuuren et al., 2011) resulting in different intensities of radiative forcing by the year 2100 (2.6, 4.5, 6.0 and 8.5 W m$^{-2}$) that correspond to a range of $c_{e}$ between 421 and 936 ppm by the year 2100 (Fig. 1c,d).

At its current rate of increase of c. 2 ppm per year, $c_{e}$ will exceed 500 ppm by the year 2067, but with rates of CO$_2$ emissions still steadily increasing (Peters et al., 2012) it seems almost inevitable that $c_{e}$ will exceed that value sooner. In the latest International Energy Statistics database compiled by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA, 2011), the 2008–2035 reference case projects a doubling of CO$_2$ emissions from both China and India (largely due to power station construction) that together would comprise 38% of projected 2035 CO$_2$ emissions. As a guide, therefore, values of $c_{e}$ between 500 and 1000 ppm should be considered as realistic experimental treatments or conditions for studying plant behaviour in the context of atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations for the end of this century.

III. Plant sensitivity to CO$_2$ at geological timescales

1. The biochemical and anatomical apparatus

In all green plants the photosynthetic apparatus comprising the chloroplast appears to function much the same as it did in cyanobacteria approximately 2.3–3.5 billion yr ago (Blankenship, 1992; Farquhar et al., 2011). However, recent molecular evidence suggests that the kinetic properties of the chloroplast enzyme Rubisco have undergone substantial selection during periods of decreasing $c_{e}$ over the last 1.5 billion yr (Young et al., 2012). At the leaf and canopy scale, net CO$_2$ assimilation rate ($A_{n}$) on a per-unit area basis comprises a number of structural and compositional properties including leaf diffusive conductance (as determined by stomata and mesophyll arrangement), leaf area index and other gross morphological properties such as leaf shape and orientation, leaf surface properties and branching pattern (Smith et al., 2004). All of these properties, together with those of the chloroplast itself, are potentially adaptable as part of the response of $A_{n}$ to $c_{e}$.

2. The basic leaf-level CO$_2$ feedback loop

An immediate effect of a change in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration is a change in the rate of carboxylation by Rubisco (in C$_3$ plants) or PEP carboxylase (in C$_4$ plants), each a crucial limiting step in the enzymatic reactions of these respective pathways of photosynthesis. This translates to an initial increase in $A_{n}$, albeit less pronounced in C$_4$ plants at ambient $c_{e}$ and above because of their unique CO$_2$ concentrating mechanism (von Caemmerer & Furbank, 1999). The final response depends on the magnitude and direction of change in $c_{e}$, but at every scale there are negative feedbacks that counteract the initial effect of the change in $c_{e}$ (Farquhar et al., 1978; Stitt, 1991; Diaz et al., 1993; Norby et al., 2010). In both C$_3$ and C$_4$ plants, a fundamental component of this feedback response is the control of leaf diffusive (stomatal) conductance to CO$_2$ and water vapour. Following the initial change in $c_{e}$ away from ambient, stomatal conductance seemingly adjusts back towards a value that will optimise carbon gain with respect to water loss (Cowan & Farquhar, 1977; Dubbe et al., 1978; Farquhar et al., 1978; Medlyn et al., 2011). This involves reducing stomatal conductance under elevated $c_{e}$, or increasing it under reduced $c_{e}$, as widely observed (Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007). The short-term stomatal response to $c_{e}$ is a core physiological trait across all vascular plant lineages (Mansfield & Willmer, 1969; Morison & Gifford, 1983; Brodribb et al., 2009; Ruszala et al., 2011). It has been suggested (Gedney et al., 2006) that over recent decades this feedback response to rising $c_{e}$ at the landscape scale has been sufficient to suppress plant water loss via transpiration and increase river runoff.

3. Fossils and the adaptive feedback response

Many factors have contributed to the evolution and diversification of plants, but selection by environment has undoubtedly played a crucial role (Knoll & Niklas, 1987; Chapin et al., 1993; Raven, 2002). Evolution of planate leaves as atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration fell during the Devonian Period (Beerling et al., 2001) and the rise of C$_4$ photosynthesis in the increasingly arid and CO$_2$-starved late Cenozoic Era (Ehleringer et al., 1991; Ehleringer, 2005; Osborne & Sack, 2012; Sage et al., 2012) are striking examples of selection pressure exerted on leaf functional traits by large and sustained changes in $c_{e}$. Certainty about the timing and phylogenetic positioning of many of the formative steps in plant evolution is improving as a result of new molecular tools and approaches (Clarke et al., 2011). Increasingly, it is the analysis of
the remains of fossilised plants that is revealing the underlying functional traits upon which selection has acted.

Over 400 Ma of evolution, plant adaptation to $c_a$ could be viewed as one of adaptive feedback, involving both positive and negative feedback elements. The evolution of stomata, as well as rudimentary xylem and a primitive root system in the Silurian Period, coincident as they were with decreasing atmospheric CO2 concentration, set in train a self-reinforcing evolutionary feedback loop that promoted an explosion in plant stature, coupled with transformation of the soil, hydrological and climatic environments (Algeo & Scheckler, 1998; Berry et al., 2012; van der Burgh et al., 1993; van de Water et al., 1994; Royer, 2001; Lammertsma et al., 2011). This pattern is also seen in the developmental response of stomatal density to experimental manipulation of $c_a$ (Woodward & Kelly, 1995; Royer, 2001; Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007). There are exceptions to the overall negative trend in stomatal density with increasing $c_a$ (e.g. Reid et al., 2003), and the reason for this is not clear. Nevertheless, across fossil studies and CO2 experiments there is an average reduction of 2–4% in stomatal density per 100 ppm rise in $c_a$ (Franks et al., 2012b). The tendency for stomatal density to decrease with increasing $c_a$ appears to be part of a broader pattern of adaptation of plant gas exchange capacity to changing $c_a$. Fossils reveal that, across many different plant clades, coordinated changes in stomatal density and stomatal size over tens of millions of years altered the maximum leaf diffusive conductance in the direction that counteracted the effects of falling $c_a$ (Fig. 2). In similar fashion to the short-term feedback adjustment of stomatal conductance to $c_a$ (Farquhar et al., 1978; Santrucek & Sage, 1996), this pattern is consistent with an adaptive negative feedback system of plant gas exchange control that operates across developmental and evolutionary timescales, moving maximum stomatal conductance towards a value that improves carbon gain with respect to water loss (Franks et al., 2012b). The sensitivity or gain of the adaptive feedback process depends on the spatial and temporal scale of observation as well as the phylogenetic structure and history of the system. Overall, however, fossils reveal that the pattern of short-term, leaf-level physiological response of stomata to a change in $c_a$ is reiterated over geological timescales via evolutionary changes in stomatal and leaf hydraulic traits. The full mechanism for this has yet to be determined, but its expression will be constrained by intrinsic physical, genomic and genetic limits on the plasticity of stomatal density and stomatal size (Franks et al., 2009, 2012a; Vatén & Bergmann, 2012).

IV. Plant sensitivity to CO2 over the last 200 yr

1. Increased growth?

As a direct result of increasing $c_a$, particularly in recent decades, forests may have absorbed and sequestered carbon (as woody growth) at an increasing rate (LaMarche et al., 1984; Hari & Arovaara, 1988). However, forest carbon cycles are complex and other contributing factors make it difficult to test this hypothesis, especially at the global scale (Malhi & Grace, 2000; Gedalof & Berg, 2010). Significant increases in the rate of forest carbon accumulation (i.e. ‘increasing biomass increment’) for sites across the USA and Europe have in many instances been attributed to land...
use change and recovery from degradation (Schimel et al., 2000; Ciais et al., 2008; Kauppi et al., 2009; Hoover, 2011). Nonetheless, there is mounting evidence to suggest that, across a range of forest types, increasing \( c_i \) has contributed to increased rates of tree growth over the past two centuries (Graybill & Idso, 1993; Cannell et al., 1998; Baker et al., 2004; Voelker et al., 2006; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2008; Lewis et al., 2009; Cole et al., 2010; Bellassen et al., 2011).

If increasing \( c_i \) has contributed to accelerated tree growth in some ecosystems the question then becomes, can this be sustained? The world’s forests have an immense capacity for sequestering increasing amounts of atmospheric CO\(_2\) (Luyssaert et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2011) and models have predicted that future increases in \( c_i \) will enhance forest growth (Melillo et al., 1993; Lloyd, 1999; Scheiter & Higgins, 2009). But there must be an upper limit to the biomass that any given forest can hold (Phillips et al., 1998), and the CO\(_2\) ‘fertilisation effect’ will diminish as this limit is approached. It is difficult to say when this might occur, but Canadell et al. (2007) have suggested that the strength of the large terrestrial carbon sink in the northern hemisphere and tropics, of which forests are a key component, could be considerably diminished by the end of this century. Long-term CO\(_2\)-enrichment experiments with forests also point to the possible slowing-down of the CO\(_2\) fertilisation effect in the long term, highlighting nutrient availability as a significant constraint (see section V Plant sensitivity to long-term environmental changes or under drought. Because \( c_i \) directly influences \( A_0 \), global changes in \( c_i \) will have some influence on WUE.

WUE may be written in terms of the ratio of concentrations (mole fraction) of CO\(_2\) and water vapour on either side of the epidermis as (Farquhar et al., 1989):

$$ A_n = \frac{c_i \left( 1 - \frac{g}{c_i} \right)}{1.6v} $$

Eqn 1

where \( c_i \) is the CO\(_2\) concentration inside the leaf and \( v \) is the water vapour pressure difference between the saturated air in the leaf intercellular air spaces and the atmosphere. The discrimination against \(^{13}\)C during photosynthesis can be expressed in its simplest form, in terms of the ratio \( c_i/c_s \), as (Farquhar et al., 1989):

$$ \Delta^{13}\text{C} = a + (b - a) \frac{c_i}{c_s} $$

Eqn 2

where \( a \) and \( b \) are fractionations occurring during diffusion through stomata and during carboxylation (as modified by mesophyll conductance), respectively. Eqns 1 and 2 may be combined to give WUE in terms of carbon isotope discrimination:

$$ \frac{A_n}{E} = \frac{c_i \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta^{13}\text{C} - a}{b - a} \right)}{1.6v} $$

Eqn 3

Eqn 3 reduces to

$$ \frac{A_n}{g_w} = \frac{c_i \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta^{13}\text{C} - a}{b - a} \right)}{1.6} $$

Eqn 4

where \( g_w \) is the leaf diffusive (stomatal) conductance to water vapour. The quantity \( A_n/g_w \) is often referred to as the ‘physiological’ or ‘intrinsic’ water-use efficiency (Feng, 1999) because it provides an indication of potential WUE based on its physiological components; that is, it excludes the direct influence of \( v \), which is determined mainly by environmental conditions.

Caution should be used when comparing different measurements of \( A_n/g_w \) in the context of potential WUE, particularly across different species. This is because \( v \) also has an indirect effect on WUE via its role in the stomatal feedback control mechanism that determines \( g_w \) (Cowan, 1977; Franks & Farquhar, 1999; Buckley et al., 2003; Franks, 2004). Higher \( v \), for example, tends to reduce \( g_w \) and therefore \( E \), increasing WUE. If \( A_n/g_w \) in one plant measured at high \( v \) is similar to that of another measured at low \( v \), then the second plant is likely to have a higher inherent WUE than the first plant despite exhibiting the same \( A_n/g_w \). Ideally, when considering \( A_n/g_w \) as an indicator of WUE, comparisons should be made only where it can be assumed that \( v \) is constant. It should also be noted that Eqn 2 is a simplified description of the isotopic effects occurring during photosynthesis. Leaf internal conductance to CO\(_2\) (or ‘mesophyll conductance’, \( g_m \)) can impart a significant influence on \( \Delta^{13}\text{C} \) (Flexas et al., 2008). Although \( g_m \) is known to vary significantly across species and environments, usually in correlation with photosynthetic capacity (von Caemmerer & Evans, 1991; Warren et al., 2003; Ethier & Livingston, 2004), the short-term and long-term effects of \( c_i \) on \( g_m \) remain unclear, with reports of both rapid adjustment of \( g_m \) to \( c_i \) (Flexas et al., 2007) and no response (Tazoe et al., 2009). The method of estimating \( A_n/g_w \) here will need to be reassessed when a stronger mechanistic understanding of \( g_m \) has been developed.

The stable carbon isotope composition of tree rings provides a valuable record of plant response to the environment over time (Franca & Farquhar, 1982). Numerous studies over the past few decades have constructed historic time sequences of \( A_n/g_w \) using Eqn 4 and wood \(^{13}\)C from tree ring chronologies (McCarrroll & Loader, 2004; Gagen et al., 2011). Most of these studies have indicated a significant increase in average growing season \( A_n/g_w \) as atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentration increased from the year 1900 to present. A recent study by Peñuelas et al. (2011) assessed changes in
$A_d/g_w$ and stem growth between the early 1960s and the early 2000s for 47 tree ring studies around the world. Over the 40-yr period, they found that $A_d/g_w$ increased by 20.5%, on average, while stem growth showed no significant change. As trees increase in height there are numerous structural and environmental changes that may result in increased $A_d/g_w$ and decreased $\Delta^{13}C$ (Lloyd et al., 2010; McDowell et al., 2011b). These height-dependent changes can be reflected in a less negative $\delta^{13}C$ for the wood being laid down in older trees, this then giving rise to a potential ‘age effect’ (McCarroll & Loader, 2004). This ‘age effect’ could potentially confound the above interpretation of a widespread CO$_2$ mediated increase in $A_d/g_w$ over recent decades as most dendro-isotope studies have not encompassed trees of many different ages and analysed them individually. This is required to allow any ontogenetic effects to be effectively removed from the longer-term climate change signal (McCarroll & Loader, 2004). Nevertheless, from a simple consideration of measured gradients in $\Delta^{13}C$ with height (Lloyd et al., 2010; McDowell et al., 2011b) and known height/dbh allometries (e.g. Feldpausch et al., 2011) it seems unlikely that this effect could account for all of the apparent changes in $A_d/g_w$ shown in Fig. 3, especially for the most recent decades.

Using the same approach, we have calculated $A_d/g_w$ from tree ring $\Delta^{13}C$ reported in a range of studies that have examined tree rings spanning approximately the last 200 yr. The results (Fig. 3) show little change in $A_d/g_w$ between the late 1800s and 1920s (4 ± 2% increase on average; not significantly different from zero) but there is an overall 16.9 ± 2% increase in $A_d/g_w$ between 1920 and 1960, and (for the subset of the Peñuelas et al. (2011) data assessed here) an overall 17.9 ± 2% increase in $A_d/g_w$ between 1960 and 2000. The same trend of increasing $A_d/g_w$ was observed across each of the biomes sampled in this assessment, including boreal, high elevation, temperate, Mediterranean and tropical forests. If $v$ at these study sites has not increased systematically in this time (and at a global scale there is little evidence to indicate it has; Roderick & Farquhar, 2002), then tree WUE has been increasing over the past 200 yr.

Fig. 3 The change in the ratio of net CO$_2$ assimilation rate to stomatal conductance, $A_d/g_w$, estimated from $\delta^{13}C$ of tree rings ($\delta^{13}C_p$), between 1750 and the present day for five climatic zones. Assuming there has not been a systematic increase in the evaporation potential in this time (Roderick & Farquhar, 2002) then the trend of increasing $A_d/g_w$ indicates tree water-use efficiency is increasing. $A_d/g_w$ was estimated by first calculating the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration ($c_a$) and its $\delta^{13}C$ from the relationships $c_a = 282.23 + (\exp(\text{year/51.35}) \times 1.03 \times 10^{-15})$ and $\delta^{13}C_p$ (in per mil) = $-6.40 – (0.004 \times \exp(0.0197 \times (\text{year} – 1695.06)))$, then $\Delta^{13}C$ discrimination $\Delta^{13}C_p$ (in per mil) = $(\delta^{13}C_p – \delta^{13}C_a)/(1 + \delta^{13}C_a)$. $A_d/g_w$ was then calculated from Eqn 4 using $a = 4.4$ and $b = 27$ (Francay & Farquhar, 1982; Farquhar et al., 1989). Data are from published studies. (a) Boreal forest: black, Pinus sylvestris, Turuhansk, central Siberia (Arnett et al., 2002); red, Pinus sylvestris, Krasnoyarsk, central Siberia (Arnett et al., 2002); green, Pinus sylvestris, northern Finland (Berninger et al., 2000); blue, Picea sitchensis, Alaska, (Stuiver et al., 1984); cyan, Larix gmelinii, Taimyr Peninsula, northern Siberia (Sidorova et al., 2010); magenta, Larix gmelinii, north-eastern Yakutia, northern Siberia (Sidorova et al., 2010); yellow, Larix gmelinii, central Siberia (Sidorova et al., 2009). (b) Semi-arid: all from Leavitt & Long (1986); black, Pinus edulis, Alton Utah; red, Pinus edulis, Dry Canyon Colorado; green, Pinus edulis, Cerro Colorado New Mexico. (c) Temperate: black, Pinus nigra, Iberian Peninsula (Andreu-Hayles et al., 2011); red, Pinus uncinata, Iberian Peninsula (Andreu-Hayles et al., 2011); green, Abies alba, selection forest, Jura Mountains, eastern France (Bert et al., 1997); blue, Abies alba, even-aged forest, Jura Mountains, eastern France (Bert et al., 1997); cyan, Fagus sylvatica, coppiced, north-eastern France (Duquesnay et al., 1998); magenta, Fagus sylvatica tall trees, north-eastern France (Duquesnay et al., 1998); yellow, Pinus ponderosa, Prewitt, California (Leavitt & Long, 1988); dark yellow, Pinus coulteri, San Dimas Mountains, California (Feng & Epstein, 1995); navy, Quercus lobata, Santa Monica Mountains, California (Feng & Epstein, 1995); purple, Araucaria angustifolia, grassland, Southern Brazil (Silva et al., 2010); wine, Araucaria angustifolia, forest, Southern Brazil (Silva et al., 2010); olive, central elevation, dark cyan, high elevation, and royal blue, low elevation, all Fagus sylvatica, Monterey Mountains, north-east Spain (Peñuelas et al., 2008). (d) Mediterranean: black, Juniperus phoenicea, Sinai, (Epstein et al., 1990); red, Abies pinsapo, southern Spain (Linares et al., 2009). (e) Tropical: black, Melia azedarach, red, Toona ciliata, green, Chukrasia tabularis, all west-central Thailand (Nock et al., 2011).
V. Plant sensitivity to long-term experimental manipulation of CO₂

1. Overview of the trend in long-term CO₂ enrichment experiments

Several decades of research with plants grown under elevated and sub-ambient CO₂ treatments have built an increasingly clear picture of the relationship between CO₂ assimilation rate and the CO₂ at which plants grow (see reviews listed in section I Introduction). Because some experimental results potentially contain artefacts of methodology (e.g. pot effects, pulsed vs continuous nutrient supply), the overall picture has improved as the number of studies has increased. Here we have compiled an updated summary of the relative response of net CO₂ assimilation rate \( A_{\text{r}} \) across a broad range of CO₂ from c. 150 to 2500 ppm (Fig. 4). This includes data from plants grown in pots in climate-controlled chambers or in CO₂-enhanced natural systems where CO₂ was controlled at specified concentrations. The survey covers a wide range of growth forms, from grasses and herbs to forest trees (see data and sources in Supporting Information Table S1). For each treatment \( c_a \), we report the relative net CO₂ assimilation rate \( A_{\text{rel}} \), calculated as the ratio of light-saturated \( A_{\text{r}} \) in plants grown and measured at the treatment \( c_a \), relative to \( A_{\text{r}} \) in plants grown and measured at ambient \( c_a \). Overall, plants grown and measured at elevated \( c_a \) exhibit higher \( A_{\text{r}} \), and those grown and measured at sub-ambient \( c_a \) exhibit lower \( A_{\text{r}} \) relative to plants growing and measured under ambient \( c_a \). This relationship appears to be nonlinear, with a greater rate of change in \( A_{\text{rel}} \) at sub-ambient \( c_a \) compared to elevated \( c_a \).

2. An equation for long-term CO₂ assimilation vs \( c_a \)?

Do long-term CO₂ manipulation experiments reveal an adaptive relationship between \( A_{\text{r}} \) and \( c_a \)? There is at present no generally accepted model for predicting long-term \( A_{\text{rel}} \) in response to \( c_a \), partly because of the complexity of other mitigating ecological factors that can influence the relationship. Earlier studies, characterised by resource-abundant and/or rapidly developing systems, suggested that \( A_{\text{rel}} \) could be sustained at much greater rates than what now appears to be the case, especially for natural systems (Körner, 2006). The study by Aoki & Yabuki (1977) with potted cucumber (Cucumis sativus) was one of the first to highlight the potentially unsustainable transient characteristics of a system exposed to a step increase in \( c_a \). Their study showed a two-fold enhancement of \( A_{\text{rel}} \) in the initial resource-abundant phase, but this response dissipated within weeks to a more modest enhancement in the resource-limited phase. More extensive, long-term studies on various plant systems, including perennial herbs (Tissue & Oechel, 1987) and forests (Körner et al., 2005; Norby et al., 2010) have reported qualitatively similar transient characteristics.

Recognising that plants have been equipped with most, if not all, of the fundamental physiological characteristics governing \( A_{\text{r}} \) (e.g. stomata, chloroplasts, leaves, roots, hydraulic systems) for at least 370 Ma (Kenrick & Crane, 1997; Kenrick et al., 2012), and that \( c_a \) has fluctuated five- to ten-fold its current ambient concentration over the same period (and perhaps more; Berner, 2006), it is possible, even likely, that a generalised long-term \( A_{\text{r}} \) vs \( c_a \) relationship evolved early in the history of vascular plants. Given the absence, so far, of a method to directly quantify \( A_{\text{r}} \) in long extinct vegetation, the description of such a relationship must be speculative.

For the purpose of discussion, however, we consider the hypothetical case in which, over sufficiently long periods of time in a given system, net CO₂ assimilation rate \( A_{\text{r}} \) tends towards the condition of optimal nitrogen allocation. Nitrogen is a limiting resource in most natural systems (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991). In the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry \( C_3 \) photosynthesis model (Farquhar et al., 1980), \( A_{\text{r}} = \min (W_e, W_c) \), where \( W_e \) is the light-limited (or ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) regeneration-limited) rate and \( W_c \) is the Rubisco capacity-limited rate. Strictly this holds only for \( c_a > \Gamma^* \), where \( \Gamma^* \) is the CO₂ compensation point in the absence of dark respiration. In a nitrogen-limited system the distribution of protein to these co-limiting photosynthetic processes will be optimal when \( W_e = W_c \) at the average incident light intensity. Solar luminosity has not changed by more than c. 2% since the origin of vascular plants (Bahcall et al., 2001), so, except for catastrophic events, it can be assumed that photosynthesis-saturating irradiance has not changed appreciably either. Accordingly, the expression for \( W_c \) may be used to describe the relative change in \( A_{\text{r}} \) with long-term changes in \( c_a \):

\[
A_{\text{rel}} \approx \left[ \frac{(c_a - \Gamma^*)(c_a + 2\Gamma^*)}{(c_a + 2\Gamma^*)(c_a - \Gamma^*)} \right]
\]

Eqn 5

where \( c_a \) is the reference ambient atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Although \( \Gamma^* \) is influenced by leaf temperature (Farquhar et al., 1980) there is evidence to indicate that despite widely varying seasonal and latitudinal temperatures, much of the photosynthetic productivity of plants occurs within a relatively narrow band of
assimilation rate-weighted temperature ranging from c. 19°C in boreal systems through to 26°C in tropical systems (Helliker & Richter, 2008; Song et al., 2011). Therefore, we assume a mean leaf temperature during photosynthesis of 25°C, giving T* a mean value of 40 μmol mol⁻¹ as a first approximation. Using this parameterisation for Eqn 5 we have plotted Aₜ(ref) vs long-term cₜ in Fig. 4 (solid line). This long-term adaptation model closely resembles the trend in the data compilation from many independent studies in which cₜ was experimentally manipulated (black symbols in Fig. 4). Eqn 5 may therefore be a useful basis for reconstructing Aₜ(ref) for times in the geological past where cₜ has been determined, or for future estimates of Aₜ(ref) based on cₜ projections or scenarios.

3. The ecosystem approach: forest FACE studies

Long-term CO₂ enrichment of forests using FACE has provided insights into plant sensitivity to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration at the ecosystem scale. These studies, some running for over a decade, have yielded crucial quantitative information and challenged some initial assumptions (Norby & Zak, 2011).

Early CO₂ enrichment experiments with tree seedlings and saplings demonstrated that stomatal conductance is generally reduced in response to elevated CO₂ (mean reduction of 21%; Medlyn et al., 2001). Meta-analyses of FACE experiments reported somewhat similar reductions of 16–19% (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007). Persistent reductions in stomatal conductance in a forest canopy in a CO₂-enriched future atmosphere would increase the probability of reductions in whole-canopy water use (transpiration), and increases in soil moisture and water yield. In turn, these changes could increase drought resistance and influence many other secondary responses that are governed by plant or soil water status (Wullschleger et al., 2002). However, increases in forest stand leaf area index (LAI, or total leaf area per unit ground area) of forest stands in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere could counteract leaf-level reductions in water use (Warren et al., 2011). Furthermore, at the scale of whole forest canopies and growing seasons, relationships between stomatal conductance and atmospheric CO₂ concentration are confounded by many other factors. Understanding the connectivity between leaf-level physiological responses and higher-order ecological consequences of those responses was a primary rationale for long-term CO₂ enrichment experiments (Norby & Zak, 2011).

Most long-term experiments of CO₂ enrichment of forests have included measurements of both stomatal conductance and transpiration rate, permitting an analysis of the relationship between these variables and cₜ (Fig. 5). Most of the data conform to the general pattern of reduced stomatal conductance in elevated CO₂ (7–19% reduction after normalisation to an elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 550 ppm). While many of the individual differences were not statistically significant, Keel et al. (2007) argued that small, CO₂-induced decreases in stomatal conductance at the leaf level, although hard to measure and document, are nevertheless sufficient to cause cumulative increases in soil water content in the area exposed to elevated CO₂ concentration. An exception to the general response of a reduction in stomatal conductance under elevated CO₂ concentration came from the Aspen FACE experiment (Uddling et al., 2009). Here, stomatal conductance in trees was 19% greater in elevated CO₂, although measurements earlier in the experiment when the trees were young and the canopy was still expanding had shown reduced stomatal conductance in elevated CO₂ (Noormets et al., 2001). Uddling et al. (2008, 2009) suggest that increased leaf-level stomatal conductance and whole-tree sap flux in the Aspen FACE experiment could be attributed to increased hydraulic efficiency caused by long-term cumulative effects on plant and

![Fig. 5](image-url) Effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on stomatal conductance (from leaf-level porometer measurements; blue bars), canopy transpiration (from sap flux measurements; orange bars), and leaf area index (LAI; green bars) in long-term Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) experiments in forests. Data were extracted from published reports from the latest year in which conductance and transpiration both were measured. Reported values were normalized to a CO₂ enrichment of 180 ppm (corresponding to a treatment cₜ of c. 550 ppm) assuming a linear response to CO₂ enrichment over this range. Actual experimental enrichments during the year of measurement ranged from 151 to 198 ppm, except for the Florida OTC site (+350 ppm). Data sources: POPEuroFACE, P. x euroamericana in 2000 (Gielen et al., 2003; Tricker et al., 2009); webFACE, mixed deciduous species in 2005 (Keel et al., 2007; Leuzinger & Körner, 2007); Florida OTC, Quercus myrtifolia in 2000 (Li et al., 2003); Duke FACE, Pinus taeda in 1996 (conductance) and 1998–2000 (transpiration; Ellsworth, 1999; Schäfer et al., 2002); http://public.ornl.gov/face/npp_synthesis.shtml; ORNL FACE, Liquidambar styraciflua in 2008, (Warren et al., 2010); J. M. Warren, unpublished data; http://public.ornl.gov/face/ORNL/; Aspen FACE, pure Populus tremuloides stands in ambient ozone, 2005 (Uddling et al., 2008, 2009).
stand structure, including increased tree size, LAI and fine-root biomass.

The response of transpiration to elevated CO₂ was similar to that of stomatal conductance in three of the six experiments shown in Fig. 5, but there were substantial differences in both magnitude and direction of response in the other three. The relationship between stomatal conductance and transpiration rate under elevated c₄ is explained in part by changes in LAI, which were small in forests with high LAI (e.g. Web-FACE and ORNL FACE) but significantly greater in forests with lower LAI (Norby & Zak, 2011). Increased LAI under elevated CO₂ concentration in the POP-EuroFACE and Duke FACE experiments led to increased whole-tree water use (sap flux) despite reduced leaf-level stomatal conductance, but the large increase in LAI in the scrub oak ecosystem of the Florida OTC experiment did not increase water use. Li et al. (2003) argued that increased LAI in the scrub oak ecosystem indirectly reduced transpiration via self-shading of the lower canopy which reduced the amount of energy to drive transpiration.

Although partial stomatal closure may be a robust, primary response to elevated CO₂, other biological and site factors can serve to modify its extent. Furthermore, the stomatal conductance response itself is not always an accurate predictor of stand-level transpiration and higher-order responses of water budgets to elevated CO₂. Given the importance of water to ecosystem function, more formal ecohydrological modelling approaches (sensu Warren et al., 2010) will be needed to evaluate the integrated hydrological response of forests to elevated CO₂. The effect is likely to be different between regions depending on whether incoming energy or water is limiting (Farquhar, 1997; Roderick & Farquhar, 2011).

4. Drought mitigating effects of elevated CO₂

Some assessments of global trends in drought severity have concluded that, globally, droughts have become more intense and longer in duration (Dai et al., 2004; IPCC, 2007a,b). These conclusions were based on the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), a supply-vs-demand model for soil moisture that typically concludes were based on the Palmer Drought Severity Index and longer in duration (Dai et al., 2004). Elevated c₄ enhances net CO₂ assimilation rate in plants with C₃ photosynthesis, which includes most forest trees and food crops. On balance, if the photosynthesis-stimulating effect of elevated c₄ is great enough it may cancel out the reduction in photosynthesis from drought-induced stomatal closure. When drought is severe enough to induce complete stomatal closure, then no direct benefit of elevated CO₂ on photosynthesis can be manifest.

Drought-stressed plants grown under elevated c₄ maintained higher CO₂ assimilation rates than drought-stressed plants at ambient c₄ (Fig. 6). In large-scale studies, the relative photosynthetic benefits of elevated CO₂ are generally greater in more arid environments compared to temperate, due at least in part to water savings through stomatal closure (Morgan et al., 2004). Elevated c₄ can affect stomatal conductance indirectly by altering the leaf or xylem hydraulic conductance, an important component of the stomatal feedback control system. In a stand of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) grown under elevated c₄, Domec et al. (2009) reported lower leaf hydraulic conductance and greater diurnal loss of hydraulic conductance compared to ambient controls, which they concluded as contributing to a reduction in stomatal conductance.

In cases where leaf area increases in response to elevated CO₂, there may be no soil water savings at the ecosystem scale; subsequently, drought impacts may be similar under ambient and elevated CO₂. Further, increased leaf area per tree may result in lower stomatal conductance and photosynthesis during drought, and increase the risk of leaf-level hydraulic failure and subsequent canopy loss (Warren et al., 2011). Prolonged suppression of CO₂ assimilation under drought may require plants to utilise stored increased in the eastern United States. Overall, it seems that there is insufficient evidence for a globally consistent trend in drought severity and duration.

The effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on plants under drought are complex. As a defence against tissue water deficit, plants reduce transpirational water loss by closing stomata, but this substantially reduces net CO₂ assimilation rate. However, elevated c₄ is less in C₄ plants than in C₃ plants. Photosynthesis in C₄ plants is less affected by drought and elevated c₄, so the overall increase in A₄(CO₂) under the combined effects of drought and elevated c₄ is less in C₃ plants than in C₄ plants.

Data are from several independent studies (see Table S2).
carbohydrates to maintain metabolism, enhancing the chances of survival under prolonged drought (McDowell et al., 2011a). Drought may also overwhelm the benefits of elevated $c_a$ in certain biomes. In a study focusing on northern hemisphere mid- and high-latitude forests, tree ring records show that under increasing $c_a$ growth has been enhanced in only 20% of forests, indicating that other factors, including drought, have counteracted the potential benefits of higher $c_a$ (Gedalof & Berg, 2010). In Amazonian tropical forests tree growth has been stimulated by increasing $c_a$ (Phillips et al., 1998) but this could be reversed if drought in these forests becomes more prevalent this century, as some studies predict (Phillips et al., 2009). If drought frequency and/or magnitude do not increase, then tropical forests are likely to continue as carbon sinks despite projected increases in leaf temperature (Lloyd & Farquhar, 2008) (but see section IV Plant sensitivity to CO2 over latitude forests, tree ring records show that under increasing $c_a$, survival under prolonged drought (McDowell et al., 2011a). Nevertheless, there are alternative ways to circumvent such problems (e.g., Lloyd et al., 2002), and Bonan et al. (2011) have recently suggested that $A_i$ (as in Eqn 7) rather than $A_C$ should be used in the formulation of $g_w$ in the NCAR model along with revised photosynthetic parameters.

Arguing that stomata respond to the leaf-to-air water vapour pressure difference rather than relative humidity per se, Leuning (1995) presented a slightly different formulation, written here in terms of $g_w$:

$$g_w = m\left(\frac{A_i}{c_a}\right) + k'$$  

where $h_s$ is relative humidity at the leaf surface, $c_a$ is CO2 concentration at the leaf surface, and $m$ and $k$ are the slope and intercept which define the linear relationship between $g_w$ and $A_i/c_a$. A slightly modified version of Eqn 7 is used in CLM4, where $A_i$ is replaced by the gross CO2 assimilation rate, $A_C$ (i.e. excluding foliar respiration). This modification was introduced to avoid numerical problems in the model simulations as it prevents $k$ (effectively the minimum stomatal conductance) becoming negative at night when $A_i \leq 0$. Nevertheless, there are alternative ways to circumvent such problems (e.g., Lloyd et al., 2002), and Bonan et al. (2011) have recently suggested that $A_i$ (as in Eqn 7) rather than $A_C$ should be used in the formulation of $g_w$ in the NCAR model along with revised photosynthetic parameters.

VI. Simple formulation of stomatal conductance in land surface models for simulating long-term CO2 response

Global climate models (GCMs) and land surface models (LSMs) are used together to model the interactions of climate and landscape, for example the response of vegetation to perturbations in climate, or the response of climate to forcing factors such as elevated $c_a$. An important element in these exercises is the inclusion of climate–vegetation feedbacks. In LSMs the short-term response of vegetation to climate, or ‘physiological response’, is characterised principally by equations calculating net CO2 assimilation rate ($A_n$) and stomatal conductance to CO2 and water vapour ($g_w$, $g_w$ where $g_w$ is c. 1.6 $g_C$). The equations used are based on leaf-level physiological observations, but scaled to the canopy level (Sellers et al., 1992). Accurate estimates of canopy conductance are essential for realistic estimation of the carbon, hydrological and energy cycles in GCMs (Sellers et al., 1997).

Over the last two decades, two leading community LSMs have emerged: (1) the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) model, which began as LSM1 (Bonan, 1996) and, via the Common Land Model (CLM1) (Dai et al., 2003) is now the Community Land Model (CLM4; Oleson et al., 2010); (2) The UK Meteorological Office MOSES model (Cox et al., 1999), now the Joint UK Land Environment Simulator, JULES (Best et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2011). For the modelling of stomatal conductance, these and other LSMs (e.g. SDGVM (Woodward et al., 1995); ORCHIDEE (Krinner et al., 2005); JSBACH (Raddatz et al., 2007)) draw an approach first enshrined within the Ball-Woodrow-Berry model advantage was taken of a recently established close and apparently almost inevitable covariance between $g_w$ and $A_n$ (Wong et al., 1979). This was combined with a second empirical result, namely that even when the ambient $c_a$ varied, for any given leaf the relative gradient for CO2 diffusion into the leaf, $1 - c/l/c_a$ (with $c_l/c_a$ as in section IV Plant sensitivity to CO2 over the last 200 yr) remains remarkably constant (Wong et al., 1978; Wong et al., 1979). Because $1 - c_l/c_a \approx 1.6 A_i/(g_w c_a)$ (Farquhar & Sharkey, 1982) this also implies that

$$g_w = \text{Constant} \times \frac{A_i}{c_a}$$  

Eqn 6

Then, through taking into account that stomata also tend to close in response to variations in atmospheric humidity, the following ‘Ball-Woodrow-Berry’ equation was developed (Ball et al., 1987; Berry et al., 2010):

$$g_w = m\left(\frac{A_i}{c_a}\right) + k$$  

Eqn 7

where $h_s$ is relative humidity at the leaf surface, $c_a$ is CO2 concentration at the leaf surface, and $m$ and $k$ are the slope and intercept which define the linear relationship between $g_w$ and $A_i/c_a$. A slightly modified version of Eqn 7 is used in CLM4, where $A_i$ is replaced by the gross CO2 assimilation rate, $A_C$ (i.e. excluding foliar respiration). This modification was introduced to avoid numerical problems in the model simulations as it prevents $k$ (effectively the minimum stomatal conductance) becoming negative at night when $A_i \leq 0$. Nevertheless, there are alternative ways to circumvent such problems (e.g., Lloyd et al., 2002), and Bonan et al. (2011) have recently suggested that $A_i$ (as in Eqn 7) rather than $A_C$ should be used in the formulation of $g_w$ in the NCAR model along with revised photosynthetic parameters.
Thus, it seems that stomata optimise for RuBP regeneration-limited carbon gain. Eqn 6 if changes in direct effects of atmospheric humidity are of daily carbon gain (Cowan & Farquhar, 1977), also reduces to

$$g_w \approx \frac{A_n}{c_w}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eqn 9}

where $g_w$, $A_n$, and $c_w$ are, respectively, $g_w$, $A_n$, and constant $c_w$ relative to the value in a similar system at constant current ambient $c_a$ (noting that in this case the term $m_b$ is constant and cancels out of the expression).

This simple representation of $g_w$ is plotted in Fig. 7(a) (dashed line) together with data from numerous experiments in which plants (including roots and crops) were grown at different $c_a$ and measurements of $g_w$ and $A_n$ reported (see Table S1). The data agree closely with the model. In remarkable similarity to Wong’s original formulation, the slope and intercept of a regression of measured $g_w$ on $A_n/c_w$ (solid line) are not significantly different from the 1 : 1 line represented by Eqn 9 (dashed line). Long-term adaptation of $A_n$ to $c_w$ (Fig. 4) appears to follow a saturating form similar to the response to short-term manipulation of $c_a$ (von Caemmerer & Farquhar, 1981), so there is an accompanying decline in $g_w$ with increasing growth $c_a$ (Fig. 7b). The variance in the data here is partially due to the wide range of species and slightly different protocols under which $g_w$ and $A_n$ were measured across the various independent studies. The high level of agreement between Eqn 9 and the data in Fig. 7(a) indicates that plants adapt to long-term changes in $c_a$ in a manner that is consistent with their short-term responses, maintaining $1 - c_i/c_0$ relatively constant (i.e. within a narrow range).

Interestingly, a recent model of stomatal behaviour under short-term environmental changes (Medlyn et al., 2011), which links back to the idea that stomata act to optimise the marginal water cost of daily carbon gain (Cowan & Farquhar, 1977), also reduces to Eqn 6 if changes in direct effects of atmospheric humidity are ignored. Consistent with Fig. 4, Medlyn et al. (2011) find also that stomata optimise for RuBP regeneration-limited carbon gain. Thus, it seems that $g_w$ sensitivity to long-term changes in $c_a$ can be parameterised simply in quantitative terms using Eqn 6 or qualitatively using Eqn 9. Although developed primarily to simulate diel and annual variations in $g_w$, these basic empirical models may constitute a convenient tool for use in LSMs to simulate the response of vegetation to $c_a$ throughout Earth history and into the next century.

VII. Conclusions

Across a range of timescales, leaf gas exchange responses to changing atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations ($c_a$) have been surprisingly similar, even though the relative contributions of underlying mechanisms differ (such as changes in stomatal aperture vs changes in stomatal density and size). The observed response is typically consistent with negative feedback optimisation of RuBP regeneration-limited carbon gain with respect to water loss under limiting nitrogen availability. The feedback loops operate in different timeframes and with changeable or adaptable gain characteristics, so over developmental and evolutionary (geological) time the response to long-term changes in $c_a$ is better described as adaptive negative feedback control. One example of this is found in the fossil record with good evidence of adaptations in maximum leaf...
diffusive conductance in relation to changing $c_a$ over the past c. 400 Ma.

Analysis of isotope chronologies in tree rings indicate a widespread increase in tree water-use efficiency over the last 200 yr, consistent with suggestions of increased continental river runoff in the twentieth century and with land surface simulations that incorporate the leaf-level feedback response to $c_a$. Vegetation systems, particularly those comprising C3 plants, may exhibit a greater relative increase in net CO$_2$ assimilation rate in response to increasing $c_a$ under drought compared to non-drought conditions. Long-term CO$_2$ enrichment experiments reveal potentially strong feedbacks on the initial response of CO$_2$ assimilation and growth rates, mediated by the rate of nutrient supply, particularly nitrogen. Over the full range of $c_a$ considered here, the relationship between relative CO$_2$ assimilation rate (referred to that in systems at ambient $c_a$) and the prevailing $c_a$ at which plants grow is consistent with that predicted by optimal allocation of protein to the co-limiting biochemical components of carbon fixation in the chloroplast. This, in combination with the basic empirical models for stomatal response to $c_a$ (Eqns 6–9) it is hoped may serve as a template for describing adaptation and evolution of plant photosynthetic capacity in response to, or under selection by, $c_a$.
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